



## Miami-Dade County Board of County Commissioners

### Office of the Commission Auditor

#### **Board of County Commissioners Meeting**

December 3, 2013  
9:30 A.M.  
Commission Chamber

#### **Research Division**

Charles Anderson, CPA  
Commission Auditor  
111 NW First Street, Suite 1030  
Miami, Florida 33128  
305-375-4354

**Board of County Commissioners**  
**December 3, 2013 Meeting**  
**Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes																				
4A 132316	ORDINANCE RELATING TO ANNEXATION PROCEDURES; REQUIRING CONSENT FROM PROPERTY OWNERS IN AN AREA PROPOSED TO BE ANNEXED IN CERTAIN CIRCUMSTANCES, IF THERE IS NO REQUIRED VOTE OF RESIDENT ELECTORS BECAUSE THERE ARE 250 OR FEWER RESIDENT ELECTORS IN THE AREA AND THE AREA IS FIFTY PERCENT OR LESS DEVELOPED RESIDENTIAL; PROVIDING THAT SUCH REQUIREMENT IS APPLICABLE TO PENDING AND FUTURE ANNEXATION REQUESTS, UNLESS SUCH REQUESTS HAVE RECEIVED A RECOMMENDATION FROM THE PLANNING ADVISORY BOARD ON OR BEFORE THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THIS ORDINANCE; AMENDING SECTION 20-9 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA ("CODE"); PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE																				
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance relating to annexation procedures amends Section 20-9 of the Code of Miami-Dade County (Code), Election on Proposed Boundary Changes, to do the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Require consent from property owners in an area proposed to be annexed, if there is no required vote of resident electors because there are 250 or fewer resident electors in the area and the area is fifty percent or less developed residential;</li><li>Provides that such requirement is applicable to pending and future annexation requests, unless such requests have received a recommendation from the Planning Advisory Board (PAB) on or before the effective date of this ordinance.</li></ul> <p>Currently, under Section 6.05 of the Home Rule Charter and Section 20-7 of the Code, the County Commission can accomplish an annexation by ordinance without a vote of resident electors when there are 250 or fewer resident electors in the area proposed to be annexed and the area is less than fifty percent developed residential</p> <table><tr><th colspan="4">Comparison of Current Code and the Proposed Amendments Section 20-9: Election on Proposed Boundary Changes.</th></tr><tr><th>Section of Code</th><th>Current Code</th><th>Proposed Amendments <i>Bold refers to proposed amendments.</i></th><th>Notes</th></tr><tr><td>Sec. 20-9(a)</td><td>The determination of <i>whether an area is more or less than fifty (50) percent</i> developed residential shall be made in the sole discretion of the Director of the Department of <b>Planning and Zoning</b>.</td><td>The determination of <b>the percentage of an area that is</b> developed residential shall be made in the sole discretion of the Director of the Department <b>Regulatory and Economic Resources</b>.</td><td><i>Amends percentage language and provides the current name of the department.</i></td></tr><tr><td>Sec. 20-9(b)</td><td>In the event that a boundary change involves the annexation or separation of an area of which more than two hundred fifty (250) residents are electors, the Board of County Commissioners, pursuant to <b>Section 5.04(B)</b> of the Home Rule Charter of Miami-Dade County, Florida, may call an election to be held for the purpose of submitting to these electors the question whether the proposed boundary change shall be approved or disapproved. All costs of such elections shall be paid in advance by the persons, group or municipality initiating the proposed boundary change.</td><td>In the event that a boundary change involves the annexation or separation of an area of which more than two hundred fifty (250) residents are electors, the Board of County Commissioners, pursuant to <b>Section 6.04(B)</b> of the Home Rule Charter of Miami-Dade County, Florida, may call an election to be held for the purpose of submitting to these electors the question whether the proposed boundary change shall be approved or disapproved. All costs of such elections shall be paid in advance by the persons, group or municipality initiating the proposed boundary change.</td><td><i>Amends to provide the current section of the Miami-Dade Home Rule Charter.</i></td></tr><tr><td>Sec. 20-9(c)  <b>New Subsection of the Code.</b></td><td>N/A</td><td><b>Notwithstanding the provisions of subsection (a) above, if the area to be annexed has two hundred fifty (250) or fewer resident electors and is developed 50 percent or less residential, such area shall not be annexed unless more than 50 percent of the owners of parcels in the area consent to the proposed annexation. Such consent(s) shall be obtained by the parties proposing the annexation prior to the submittal of any annexation petition or application or where such annexation petition or application has been submitted prior to the effective date of this ordinance, such consent(s) shall be obtained prior to consideration by the Board of County Commissioners or any of its committees and shall be on a form approved by the Office of Management and Budget. It is provided, however, the requirements of this subsection shall not apply to an annexation petition or application that has received a</b></td><td><i>Creates new subsection of the Code requiring consent from property owners in an area proposed to be annexed, if there is no required vote of resident electors because there are 250 or fewer resident electors in the area and the area is fifty percent or less developed residential.</i></td></tr></table>	Comparison of Current Code and the Proposed Amendments Section 20-9: Election on Proposed Boundary Changes.				Section of Code	Current Code	Proposed Amendments <i>Bold refers to proposed amendments.</i>	Notes	Sec. 20-9(a)	The determination of <i>whether an area is more or less than fifty (50) percent</i> developed residential shall be made in the sole discretion of the Director of the Department of <b>Planning and Zoning</b> .	The determination of <b>the percentage of an area that is</b> developed residential shall be made in the sole discretion of the Director of the Department <b>Regulatory and Economic Resources</b> .	<i>Amends percentage language and provides the current name of the department.</i>	Sec. 20-9(b)	In the event that a boundary change involves the annexation or separation of an area of which more than two hundred fifty (250) residents are electors, the Board of County Commissioners, pursuant to <b>Section 5.04(B)</b> of the Home Rule Charter of Miami-Dade County, Florida, may call an election to be held for the purpose of submitting to these electors the question whether the proposed boundary change shall be approved or disapproved. All costs of such elections shall be paid in advance by the persons, group or municipality initiating the proposed boundary change.	In the event that a boundary change involves the annexation or separation of an area of which more than two hundred fifty (250) residents are electors, the Board of County Commissioners, pursuant to <b>Section 6.04(B)</b> of the Home Rule Charter of Miami-Dade County, Florida, may call an election to be held for the purpose of submitting to these electors the question whether the proposed boundary change shall be approved or disapproved. All costs of such elections shall be paid in advance by the persons, group or municipality initiating the proposed boundary change.	<i>Amends to provide the current section of the Miami-Dade Home Rule Charter.</i>	Sec. 20-9(c)  <b>New Subsection of the Code.</b>	N/A	<b>Notwithstanding the provisions of subsection (a) above, if the area to be annexed has two hundred fifty (250) or fewer resident electors and is developed 50 percent or less residential, such area shall not be annexed unless more than 50 percent of the owners of parcels in the area consent to the proposed annexation. Such consent(s) shall be obtained by the parties proposing the annexation prior to the submittal of any annexation petition or application or where such annexation petition or application has been submitted prior to the effective date of this ordinance, such consent(s) shall be obtained prior to consideration by the Board of County Commissioners or any of its committees and shall be on a form approved by the Office of Management and Budget. It is provided, however, the requirements of this subsection shall not apply to an annexation petition or application that has received a</b>	<i>Creates new subsection of the Code requiring consent from property owners in an area proposed to be annexed, if there is no required vote of resident electors because there are 250 or fewer resident electors in the area and the area is fifty percent or less developed residential.</i>
Comparison of Current Code and the Proposed Amendments Section 20-9: Election on Proposed Boundary Changes.																					
Section of Code	Current Code	Proposed Amendments <i>Bold refers to proposed amendments.</i>	Notes																		
Sec. 20-9(a)	The determination of <i>whether an area is more or less than fifty (50) percent</i> developed residential shall be made in the sole discretion of the Director of the Department of <b>Planning and Zoning</b> .	The determination of <b>the percentage of an area that is</b> developed residential shall be made in the sole discretion of the Director of the Department <b>Regulatory and Economic Resources</b> .	<i>Amends percentage language and provides the current name of the department.</i>																		
Sec. 20-9(b)	In the event that a boundary change involves the annexation or separation of an area of which more than two hundred fifty (250) residents are electors, the Board of County Commissioners, pursuant to <b>Section 5.04(B)</b> of the Home Rule Charter of Miami-Dade County, Florida, may call an election to be held for the purpose of submitting to these electors the question whether the proposed boundary change shall be approved or disapproved. All costs of such elections shall be paid in advance by the persons, group or municipality initiating the proposed boundary change.	In the event that a boundary change involves the annexation or separation of an area of which more than two hundred fifty (250) residents are electors, the Board of County Commissioners, pursuant to <b>Section 6.04(B)</b> of the Home Rule Charter of Miami-Dade County, Florida, may call an election to be held for the purpose of submitting to these electors the question whether the proposed boundary change shall be approved or disapproved. All costs of such elections shall be paid in advance by the persons, group or municipality initiating the proposed boundary change.	<i>Amends to provide the current section of the Miami-Dade Home Rule Charter.</i>																		
Sec. 20-9(c)  <b>New Subsection of the Code.</b>	N/A	<b>Notwithstanding the provisions of subsection (a) above, if the area to be annexed has two hundred fifty (250) or fewer resident electors and is developed 50 percent or less residential, such area shall not be annexed unless more than 50 percent of the owners of parcels in the area consent to the proposed annexation. Such consent(s) shall be obtained by the parties proposing the annexation prior to the submittal of any annexation petition or application or where such annexation petition or application has been submitted prior to the effective date of this ordinance, such consent(s) shall be obtained prior to consideration by the Board of County Commissioners or any of its committees and shall be on a form approved by the Office of Management and Budget. It is provided, however, the requirements of this subsection shall not apply to an annexation petition or application that has received a</b>	<i>Creates new subsection of the Code requiring consent from property owners in an area proposed to be annexed, if there is no required vote of resident electors because there are 250 or fewer resident electors in the area and the area is fifty percent or less developed residential.</i>																		

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 3, 2013 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes																															
			recommendation from the Planning Advisory Board on or before the effective date of this ordinance.																													
	<p><b>Additional Information</b></p> <p>On November 20, 2012, under Resolution No. 983-12, the BCC created the Annexation and Incorporation Task Force (Task Force) to review pending annexation and incorporation proposals and to make recommendations by May 19, 2013 (extended to September 30, 2013 under Resolution No. 379-13), on how the County should proceed to address the remainder of the unincorporated communities.</p> <p>The County Mayor’s memo dated April 1, 2013, titled, <i>Municipal Incorporation and Annexation</i>, included general recommendations for the Task Force, including the following under Annexation Recommendations:</p> <p><u>Elections</u></p> <p><i>The County Code requires that annexations be put to a vote of the electorate if there are more than 250 resident electors or the area is developed with more than 50 percent residential. The county Code makes no provision for the annexation of an area that is commercial. One of the issues that the PAB has struggled with is that commercial properties owners have no say if their property is being annexed. Florida statutes provides that the annexation of commercial areas without electors requires the annexing municipality to obtain consent from 50 percent of the property owners in the annexation area if more than 70 percent of the area is owned by individuals, corporations or legal entities that are not registered electors. There should be a requirement that municipalities annexing commercial areas obtain a petition from 50 percent of the property owners in these circumstances.</i></p> <p>Subsequently, the Task Force Final Report dated September 11, 2013 included the following recommendation:</p> <p><b>Recommendation 6</b></p> <p><i>Retain the current process for annexation of fewer than 250 electors.</i></p> <p><i>Background: The current process Charter and Code for annexations requires that a vote of the electorate be conducted if the area has more than 250 resident electors. Additionally, the area is developed with more than 50 percent residential the Code requires and election. Currently, in the County Code there is no provision that applies to commercial areas for an annexation that allows for owners of commercial properties to vote, unless they reside within the area. However, according to the Code, the Board can amend boundaries to include a commercial; area of a proposed annexation. Motion Passed: 9 – 3</i></p>																															
4B 132338	ORDINANCE RELATING TO ZONING AND SUBDIVISION REGULATIONS; PROVIDING MINIMUM LOT REQUIREMENTS FOR LOTS WITH WATER BODIES; AMENDING SECTIONS 33-1, 33-49, AND 28-1 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA (CODE); CREATING SECTION 33-6.1 OF THE CODE; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE																															
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance relating to Zoning and Subdivision Regulations, does the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Provides minimum lot requirements for lots with water bodies;</li><li>• Amends Sections 33-1, 33-49, and 28-1 of the Code of Miami-Dade County (Code); and</li><li>• Creates Section 33-6.1 of the Code.</li></ul> <table><tr><th colspan="4">Comparison of Current Zoning and Subdivision Code and the Proposed Amendments</th></tr><tr><th colspan="4">Amendments to Sections 33-1, 33-49, and 28-1 and Creation of Section 33-6.1 of the Code.</th></tr><tr><th>Section of Code</th><th>Current Code</th><th>Proposed Amendments</th><th>Notes</th></tr><tr><td>Sec. 33-1(61)</td><td>Lot. Parcel of land shown on a recorded plat or on the official County zoning maps or any piece of land described by a legally recorded deed.</td><td>Lot. Parcel of land, <b>which may include a water body as provided in Section 33-6.1 of this chapter</b>, shown on a recorded plat or on the official County zoning maps or any piece of land described by a legally recorded deed.</td><td>Adds language to the definition of lot, referring to new section of the Code.</td></tr><tr><td>Sec. 33-6.1</td><td>N/A</td><td><b>Lots located within the following zoning districts may include water bodies and rights-of-way to satisfy the minimum lot area requirement: AU, GU, EU-S, EU-1, EU-1C, and EU-2.</b></td><td>Creates new section of the Code, providing regulations for lots with a water body.</td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td><b>The following criteria shall apply to those lots that use the water body portion to satisfy minimum lot requirements:</b></td><td><u>Zoning Districts</u></td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td><b>1. The water body portion of the lot (up to the top of the bank) shall be maintained in a satisfactory manner, without expense to the general taxpayer of Miami-Dade County, by a Homeowners Association, Special Taxing District, or similar entity as approved by the Director.</b></td><td>AU - Agricultural / Residential 5 Acres gross; GU - Interim District - Uses depend on character of neighborhood, otherwise EU-2</td></tr></table>				Comparison of Current Zoning and Subdivision Code and the Proposed Amendments				Amendments to Sections 33-1, 33-49, and 28-1 and Creation of Section 33-6.1 of the Code.				Section of Code	Current Code	Proposed Amendments	Notes	Sec. 33-1(61)	Lot. Parcel of land shown on a recorded plat or on the official County zoning maps or any piece of land described by a legally recorded deed.	Lot. Parcel of land, <b>which may include a water body as provided in Section 33-6.1 of this chapter</b> , shown on a recorded plat or on the official County zoning maps or any piece of land described by a legally recorded deed.	Adds language to the definition of lot, referring to new section of the Code.	Sec. 33-6.1	N/A	<b>Lots located within the following zoning districts may include water bodies and rights-of-way to satisfy the minimum lot area requirement: AU, GU, EU-S, EU-1, EU-1C, and EU-2.</b>	Creates new section of the Code, providing regulations for lots with a water body.			<b>The following criteria shall apply to those lots that use the water body portion to satisfy minimum lot requirements:</b>	<u>Zoning Districts</u>			<b>1. The water body portion of the lot (up to the top of the bank) shall be maintained in a satisfactory manner, without expense to the general taxpayer of Miami-Dade County, by a Homeowners Association, Special Taxing District, or similar entity as approved by the Director.</b>	AU - Agricultural / Residential 5 Acres gross; GU - Interim District - Uses depend on character of neighborhood, otherwise EU-2
Comparison of Current Zoning and Subdivision Code and the Proposed Amendments																																
Amendments to Sections 33-1, 33-49, and 28-1 and Creation of Section 33-6.1 of the Code.																																
Section of Code	Current Code	Proposed Amendments	Notes																													
Sec. 33-1(61)	Lot. Parcel of land shown on a recorded plat or on the official County zoning maps or any piece of land described by a legally recorded deed.	Lot. Parcel of land, <b>which may include a water body as provided in Section 33-6.1 of this chapter</b> , shown on a recorded plat or on the official County zoning maps or any piece of land described by a legally recorded deed.	Adds language to the definition of lot, referring to new section of the Code.																													
Sec. 33-6.1	N/A	<b>Lots located within the following zoning districts may include water bodies and rights-of-way to satisfy the minimum lot area requirement: AU, GU, EU-S, EU-1, EU-1C, and EU-2.</b>	Creates new section of the Code, providing regulations for lots with a water body.																													
		<b>The following criteria shall apply to those lots that use the water body portion to satisfy minimum lot requirements:</b>	<u>Zoning Districts</u>																													
		<b>1. The water body portion of the lot (up to the top of the bank) shall be maintained in a satisfactory manner, without expense to the general taxpayer of Miami-Dade County, by a Homeowners Association, Special Taxing District, or similar entity as approved by the Director.</b>	AU - Agricultural / Residential 5 Acres gross; GU - Interim District - Uses depend on character of neighborhood, otherwise EU-2																													

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 3, 2013 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes			
			<p>2. Lot coverage requirements shall be based only on the land portion of the lot (as measured from the top of the bank).</p> <p>3. Setbacks shall be measured from the property line.</p> <p>4. The following is prohibited in the water body portion of a lot:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. filling.</li> <li>b. alteration of approved slope.</li> <li>c. temporary or permanent structures.</li> <li>d. swimming or the use of watercrafts or boats.</li> </ul> <p>5. No variances of setbacks, lot coverage, frontage requirements or applicable depth requirements are allowed.</p>	<p><i>standards apply; EU-S - Estate use, suburban Single-family 25,000 ft<sup>2</sup> gross; EU-1 - Estates, Single-Family, 1 acre or more in area; EU-1C - Estates, Single-Family, 2<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> acres or more in area; and EU-2 - Estates, Single-Family, 5 Acres Gross.</i></p>
	<p>Sec. 33-49</p> <p><b>Table of minimum widths, area of lots; maximum lot coverage, and minimum building sizes.</b></p>	<p><i>Table providing the minimum width and area of lots, the maximum lot coverage, and minimum building sizes.</i></p>	<p><i>Amends the Minimum Lot Area under the AU, GU, EU-S, EU-1, EU-1C, and EU-2 Zoning Districts to specifying that the minimum lot area for those Zoning Districts will include right of way and/or water body.</i></p>	<p><i>Adds wording, "and/or water body."</i></p>
	<p>Sec. 28-1(c)</p> <p><b>Subdivision - Definition of Lot</b></p>	<p>"Lot" is a portion of a subdivision or other parcel of land, however designated, intended as a single building site or unit for transfer of ownership or for development.</p>	<p>"Lot" is a portion of a subdivision or other parcel of land, <b>which may include a water body as provided in Section 33-6.1 of this code</b>, however designated, intended as a single building site or unit for transfer of ownership or for development.</p>	<p><i>Adds language to the definition of lot, referring to new section of the Code.</i></p>
<p><b>4C 132336</b></p>	<p>ORDINANCE RELATING TO VEHICLES FOR HIRE; AMENDING CHAPTER 31 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, TO PROVIDE THAT MODEL YEAR 2005 TAXICABS SCHEDULED FOR RETIREMENT ON DECEMBER 31, 2013 SHALL BE ALLOWED TO BE OPERATED UNTIL JUNE 30, 2014; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE</p>			
<p><b>Notes</b></p>	<p>The proposed ordinance relating to Vehicles for Hire, amends Chapter 31 of the Code of Miami-Dade County (Code), to provide that model year 2005 taxicabs scheduled for retirement on December 31, 2013, will be allowed to be operated until June 30, 2014.</p> <p><b>Additional Information</b> According to the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources, there are 514 taxicabs scheduled to be retired on December 31, 2013. This number includes 284 model year 2005 vehicles.</p> <p><u>Previous Legislation</u> On March 1, 2011, under Ordinance No. 11-11, the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) amended Chapter 31 of the Code, relating to Vehicles for Hire, to provide that taxicabs, passenger motor carriers, luxury limousine sedans, stretch limousines and super-stretch limousines scheduled for retirement on December 31, 2010, be allowed to be operated for an additional one-year period.</p> <p>On December 19, 2011, under Ordinance No. 11-102, the BCC amended Chapter 31 of the Code, to provide that taxicabs, passenger motor carriers, luxury limousine sedans, stretch limousines and super-stretch limousines scheduled for retirement on December 31, 2011, be allowed to be operated for an additional one-year period.</p> <p>On September 4, 2012, under Ordinance No. 12-68, the BCC amended Chapter 31 of the Code, relating to Vehicles for Hire, to provide that taxicabs scheduled for retirement on December 31, 2012 will be allowed to be operated for an additional one-year period.</p>			
<p><b>4D 132337</b></p>	<p>ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING "TRADE AND CULTURAL AMBASSADOR" PROGRAM; PROVIDING FOR APPOINTMENT, TERM, QUALIFICATIONS, ORGANIZATION, PROCEDURE, POWER AND DUTIES; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE</p>			
<p><b>Notes</b></p>	<p>The proposed ordinance establishes the Trade and Cultural Ambassador Program and names Gloria and Emilio Estefan as the inaugural Trade and Cultural Ambassadors of Miami-Dade County during the initial term, which expires December 31, 2017.</p> <p>The Board of County Commissioners (BCC) will appoint a Trade and Cultural Ambassador to provide symbolic representation on behalf of the County at various events, functions, meetings, gatherings and social occasions.</p>			

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 3, 2013 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes																
	<p>The Miami-Dade County Economic Development Committee, or its successor, will nominate to the BCC those individuals worthy of serving as the Trade and Cultural Ambassador of Miami-Dade County. The selection criteria includes, but is not limited to consideration of the national or international stature of the nominee, the nominee’s ability to serve as an ambassador-at-large on behalf of Miami-Dade County, the nominee’s commitment to the interchange of ideas and cultural exchange, and the nominee’s ability to promote trade, goodwill, cooperation, and understanding on behalf of the citizens of Miami-Dade County. A Trade and Cultural Ambassador will serve a four-year term commencing on January 1 of the year of appointment.</p> <p>The Trade and Cultural Ambassador:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Will not have any advisory or final decision-making authority on behalf of the County;</li><li>Will not serve as an alternate member of any commission, board, committee, subcommittee, or the Board of County Commissioners; and</li><li>Will not constitute a public board or committee, will not have authority to meet as a public board or committee and will not have voting privileges afforded to members of a public board or committee.</li></ul>																
4E 132345	ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 2-116.1 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; AMENDING PROCEDURE FOR AMENDING COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT MASTER PLAN; RELATING TO CHANGES TO THE URBAN DEVELOPMENT BOUNDARY AND THE URBAN EXPANSION AREAS; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE																
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance amends Section 2-116.1 of the Code of Miami-Dade County (Code), Amendment Procedure for Comprehensive Development Master Plan, relating to changes to the Urban Development Boundary (UDB) and the Urban Expansion Areas (UEA).</p> <p>The proposed ordinance creates Subsection (e) stating that:</p> <p>“No change to include additional land within the UDB or the UEA may be considered by the Community Councils, the Planning Advisory Board, or the Board of County Commissioners unless and until the Director, through the Department, has analyzed any such request and made a recommendation on the proposed change to include additional land within the UDB or the UEA.”</p>																
4F 132289	ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 33-314 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; AMENDING PROCEDURE FOR ZONING APPLICATIONS; PROVIDING FOR JURISDICTION TO THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS ON ZONING APPLICATIONS AFTER COMMUNITY ZONING APPEALS BOARD HAD A LACK OF QUORUM; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE																
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance amends Section 33-314 of the Code of Miami-Dade County (Code), in the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Amends the procedure for Zoning applications; and</li><li>Provides for jurisdiction to the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) on Zoning applications after Community Zoning Appeals Board (CZAB) had a lack of quorum.</li></ul> <table><tr><th colspan="4">Comparison of Current Zoning Code and the Proposed Amendments</th></tr><tr><th colspan="4">Section 33-314 of the Code, Direct Applications and Appeals to the County Commission.</th></tr><tr><th>Section of Code</th><th>Current Code</th><th>Proposed Amendments</th><th>Notes</th></tr><tr><td>Sec. 33-314(A)(5)</td><td>N/A</td><td><b>Applications which would normally be heard by a Community Zoning Appeals Board, but which have been postponed due to lack of quorum of the applicable Community Zoning Appeals Board on at least two consecutive occasions. Such jurisdiction by the County Commission shall be at the option of the applicant, and under these circumstances the cost of providing notice of an application before the County Commission shall be borne by the County.</b></td><td><i>Provides for jurisdiction to the BCC on Zoning applications after CZAB has a lack of quorum.</i></td></tr></table>	Comparison of Current Zoning Code and the Proposed Amendments				Section 33-314 of the Code, Direct Applications and Appeals to the County Commission.				Section of Code	Current Code	Proposed Amendments	Notes	Sec. 33-314(A)(5)	N/A	<b>Applications which would normally be heard by a Community Zoning Appeals Board, but which have been postponed due to lack of quorum of the applicable Community Zoning Appeals Board on at least two consecutive occasions. Such jurisdiction by the County Commission shall be at the option of the applicant, and under these circumstances the cost of providing notice of an application before the County Commission shall be borne by the County.</b>	<i>Provides for jurisdiction to the BCC on Zoning applications after CZAB has a lack of quorum.</i>
Comparison of Current Zoning Code and the Proposed Amendments																	
Section 33-314 of the Code, Direct Applications and Appeals to the County Commission.																	
Section of Code	Current Code	Proposed Amendments	Notes														
Sec. 33-314(A)(5)	N/A	<b>Applications which would normally be heard by a Community Zoning Appeals Board, but which have been postponed due to lack of quorum of the applicable Community Zoning Appeals Board on at least two consecutive occasions. Such jurisdiction by the County Commission shall be at the option of the applicant, and under these circumstances the cost of providing notice of an application before the County Commission shall be borne by the County.</b>	<i>Provides for jurisdiction to the BCC on Zoning applications after CZAB has a lack of quorum.</i>														
4G 132354	ORDINANCE RELATING TO VEHICLES FOR HIRE; AMENDING CHAPTER 31 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, RELATING TO RATE REGULATION; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE																
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance relating to the Rate Regulations for Vehicles for Hire, amends Chapter 31 of the Code of Miami-Dade County (Code).</p> <table><tr><th colspan="3">Comparison of Current Code and the Proposed Amendments</th></tr><tr><th colspan="3">Chapter 31, Vehicles for Hire – Rate Regulations</th></tr><tr><th>Section of Code</th><th>Current Code</th><th>Proposed Amendments</th></tr><tr><td>Sec. 31-87(C)(1)</td><td>Except as otherwise provided herein, the Board of County Commissioners of Miami-Dade County, Florida, shall establish all rates for taxicabs and for-hire vehicles operating in Miami-Dade County. From and after the effective date of this article, rates shall be established, altered, amended, revised, increased or decreased in</td><td>Except as otherwise provided herein, the Board of County Commissioners of Miami-Dade County, Florida, shall establish all rates for taxicabs and for-hire vehicles operating in Miami-Dade County. From and after the effective date of this article, rates shall be established, altered, amended, revised, increased or decreased in</td></tr></table>	Comparison of Current Code and the Proposed Amendments			Chapter 31, Vehicles for Hire – Rate Regulations			Section of Code	Current Code	Proposed Amendments	Sec. 31-87(C)(1)	Except as otherwise provided herein, the Board of County Commissioners of Miami-Dade County, Florida, shall establish all rates for taxicabs and for-hire vehicles operating in Miami-Dade County. From and after the effective date of this article, rates shall be established, altered, amended, revised, increased or decreased in	Except as otherwise provided herein, the Board of County Commissioners of Miami-Dade County, Florida, shall establish all rates for taxicabs and for-hire vehicles operating in Miami-Dade County. From and after the effective date of this article, rates shall be established, altered, amended, revised, increased or decreased in				
Comparison of Current Code and the Proposed Amendments																	
Chapter 31, Vehicles for Hire – Rate Regulations																	
Section of Code	Current Code	Proposed Amendments															
Sec. 31-87(C)(1)	Except as otherwise provided herein, the Board of County Commissioners of Miami-Dade County, Florida, shall establish all rates for taxicabs and for-hire vehicles operating in Miami-Dade County. From and after the effective date of this article, rates shall be established, altered, amended, revised, increased or decreased in	Except as otherwise provided herein, the Board of County Commissioners of Miami-Dade County, Florida, shall establish all rates for taxicabs and for-hire vehicles operating in Miami-Dade County. From and after the effective date of this article, rates shall be established, altered, amended, revised, increased or decreased in															

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 3, 2013 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes			
		accordance with the following procedures: 1. The CSD, <b>upon request of the Commission or the County Manager</b> , shall investigate and prepare a report concerning the existing rates. In the case of taxicab rates, said investigation shall specify the relative changes in the consumer price index over the preceding <b>two-year period</b> and shall quantify what rates would be if the currently approved uniform taxicab meter rates were adjusted for such change. Such investigation shall also consider any additional matters, or review of special service rates when requested by the Commission or Manager. For ratemaking purposes, the CSD will not consider any costs incurred in the acquisition of a license and political contributions. Costs which will be considered in rate studies will include vehicle operating, maintenance and repair expenses, salaries of drivers, dispatchers and supervisors, insurance costs, taxes and license fees, and administrative and general expenses as prescribed on CSD financial and operating report forms.	accordance with the following procedures: 1. The CSD shall <b>annually</b> investigate and prepare a report concerning the existing rates. In the case of taxicab rates, said investigation shall specify the relative changes in the consumer price index (" <b>CPI</b> ") over the preceding <b>year</b> and shall quantify what rates would be if the currently approved uniform taxicab meter rates were adjusted for such change. Such investigation shall also consider any additional matters, or review of special service rates when requested by the Commission or Manager. For ratemaking purposes, the CSD will not consider any costs incurred in the acquisition of a license and political contributions. Costs which will be considered in rate studies will include vehicle operating, maintenance and repair expenses, salaries of drivers, dispatchers and supervisors, insurance costs, taxes and license fees, and administrative and general expenses as prescribed on CSD financial and operating report forms.	
	Sec. 31-87(C)(2)  <b>Rate Regulations</b>	2. The CSD's report shall be forwarded to the County Manager who shall prepare a recommendation to the Board of County Commissioners.	2. The CSD's report, <b>including proposed rate adjustments reflecting the CPI</b> , shall be forwarded to [[the County Manager who shall prepare a recommendation to]] the Board of County Commissioners <b>annually</b> .	
	Sec. 31-87(C)(3)  <b>Rate Regulations</b>	3. A public hearing concerning rates shall be scheduled. At such hearing, all interested parties shall have an opportunity to be heard. The Board of County Commissioners shall consider the CSD's report, <b>the County Manager's recommendation</b> , and all evidence produced at the hearing and, by resolution, shall determine and set the appropriate rates <b>as may be in the public interest</b> . Appeals of the Board of County Commissioners' decision shall be in accordance with the Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure for review of administrative action.	3. A public hearing concerning <b>the report regarding rates</b> shall be scheduled <b>annually</b> . At such hearing, all interested parties shall have an opportunity to be heard. The Board of County Commissioners shall consider the CSD's report, and all evidence produced at the hearing and, by resolution, shall determine and set the appropriate rates <b>which shall be no less than the previous rates adjusted by the CPI, unless the County Commission finds that no change in rates or a reduction in rates is in the public interest</b> . Appeals of the Board of County Commissioners' decision shall be in accordance with the Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure for review of administrative action.	
<b>5B 132264</b>	ORDINANCE RELATING TO AD VALOREM TAXATION; REPEALING SECTIONS 29-127; 29-128; AND 29-131 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; ELIMINATING THE REQUIREMENT THAT A PERSON FILE AN ANNUAL APPLICATION FOR A GRANNY FLAT EXEMPTION; ELIMINATING PENALTY PROVISION TO PROVIDE CONSISTENCY WITH EXISTING LAW; WAIVING THE REQUIREMENT FOR ANNUAL APPLICATION AT THE REQUEST OF THE PROPERTY APPRAISER; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE(Office of Property Appraiser)			
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed ordinance pertaining to Ad Valorem Taxation provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Repeals Sections 29-127, 29-128 and 29-131 of the Code of Miami-Dade County;</li><li>• Eliminates the requirement that a person file an annual application for a Granny Flat Exemption;</li><li>• Eliminates penalty provision to provide consistency with existing law; <i>The penalty prior to the enactment of Chapter 2013-72 was a civil penalty of not more than \$1,000, and disqualification from receiving a Granny Flat Exemption for a period of five years. Chapter 2013-72 changed the penalty for wrongly receiving a Granny Flat Exemption to a lien for the taxes improperly exempted, going back up to ten years, plus a penalty of 50 percent of the unpaid taxes for each year, and interest at a rate of 15 percent per annum, as further described in Section 193.703(7) of the Florida Statutes and this amended penalty provision makes the penalty for a wrongful Granny Flat Exemption consistent with the penalty for improperly receiving other ad valorem tax exemptions; repealing Section 29-131 will provide for consistency with existing state law, and with any future statutory amendments to the penalty provisions in Section 193.703; and</i></li><li>• Waives the annual application requirement for a Granny Flat Exemption after the initial application is filed and the reduction is granted, as provided in Section 193.703(5) of the Florida Statutes.</li></ul> <p>However, an application will be required if property granted such a reduction is sold or otherwise disposed of, if the ownership changes in any manner, if the applicant for the reduction ceases to use the property as his or her homestead or if the status of the owner changes so as to change the use of the property qualifying for the reduction.</p>			

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 3, 2013 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>This waiver will apply to the 2014 assessment roll and the assessment roll of each year thereafter until rescinded by the Board.</p> <p><b>Additional Information</b> The Florida Legislature enacted Chapter 2013-72, Laws of Florida, which amended section 193.703 of the Florida Statutes, related to a reduction in ad valorem assessments for living quarters of parents or grandparents Granny Flat Exemption.</p> <p>Under the Assessment Reduction of New Construction for Parent(s) or Grandparent(s) Living Quarters, commonly called the Granny Flat Exemption, homesteaded property owners who add living quarters for a parent or grandparent can apply to have all or part of the value of this new construction deducted from the assessment.</p> <p>General requirements and limitations are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The property must be homesteaded by the property owner.</li> <li>• The parent or grandparent must be 62 or older as of January 1.</li> <li>• The Granny Flat must be the permanent residence of the parent or grandparent.</li> <li>• Only construction or reconstruction completed after January 7, 2003 qualifies.</li> <li>• Construction or reconstruction must be properly permitted.</li> <li>• The maximum reduction allowable is 20% of the total assessed value as improved.</li> </ul>
<b>5C 131942</b>	ORDINANCE AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 04-117 TO PROVIDE THAT TRAFFIC SURCHARGE SECURING OUTSTANDING COURTHOUSE BONDS SHALL MEAN SURCHARGES IMPOSED BY SECTION 11-12 OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY CODE, AS AMENDED; AND PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY, EXCLUSION FROM CODE AND EFFECTIVE DATE(Finance Department)
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed ordinance amends Ordinance No. 04-117 to provide that the Traffic Surcharge securing outstanding courthouse bonds will mean surcharges imposed by Section 11-12 of Miami-Dade County Code (Code), as amended.</p> <p>The Traffic Surcharge is used to fund debt service for bonds issued that support the financing of the Courthouse Center located at 175 NW 1 Avenue in District 5, and the Children's Courthouse located at 155 NW 3 Street in District 3.</p> <p>The proposed ordinance (the 2013 Ordinance) amends Ordinance No. 04-117 (the 2004 Ordinance) to provide that the definition of Traffic Surcharge means the traffic surcharge imposed by Section 11-12 of the County Code (currently \$30.00) on certain non-criminal traffic law infractions and certain criminal violations described in Chapter 318.14 and 318.17, Florida Statutes, as amended, rather than \$15.00 as stated in the 2004 Ordinance.</p> <p><b>Background and Relevant Legislation</b> The State Legislature at its 2004 general session enacted legislation curing certain items contained in the 2003 Legislation including enacting a provision permitting counties to impose by ordinance a \$15.00 surcharge on certain non-criminal traffic law infractions and certain criminal violations described in Sections 318 and 318.17, Florida Statutes, respectively, to fund courthouse facilities, including paying debt service on the Bonds in substitution for the authority granted in the repealed legislation to pledge certain court filing fees and charges and fines and forfeitures (Prior Pledge). The \$15.00 surcharge may not be waived by the Courts.</p> <p>On June 8, 2004, under Ordinance No. 04-117 (the 2004 Ordinance), the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) authorized the substitution of the Traffic Surcharge for the Prior Pledge and further provided for a secondary pledge of a budget and appropriate in the event the Traffic Surcharge does not provide the necessary funding to pay the principal and interest on the outstanding Bonds. Ordinance No. 04-117 created a first lien on the Traffic Surcharge in favor to the holders of the Bonds.</p> <p>In 2004, the Traffic Surcharge was \$15.00, and was increased from \$15.00 to \$30.00 by the State legislature in 2009. The County responded when it enacted Ordinance No. 09-72 on September 1, 2009 that amended Section 11-12 of the Code to increase the traffic surcharge to \$30.00. However, the County did not amend the definition of Traffic Surcharge in the 2004 Ordinance to account for the \$15.00 increase. No additional bonds have been issued pursuant to the Master Bond Ordinance since 2009.</p> <p>The County needs to issue additional bonds to complete the Children's Courthouse. When preparing a series resolution pursuant to the Master Bond Ordinance and Ordinance 02-172, bond counsel discovered the inconsistency in the 2004 Ordinance, which limited the Traffic Surcharge to \$15.00 and the County Code which increased it to \$30.00. The 2013 proposed Ordinance corrects this inconsistency by revising the definition of Traffic Surcharge in the 2004 Ordinance to include the \$30.00 now imposed by the County Code as well as any future increase imposed. As a result, the Senior Lien Prior Bonds, the Junior Lien Prior Bonds and the additional Bonds to be issued to complete the Children's Courthouse will all be secured by the Traffic Surcharge imposed by Section 11-12 of the County Code.</p>
<b>5D 131943</b>	ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING ISSUANCE FROM TIME TO TIME OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA RICKENBACKER CAUSEWAY REVENUE BONDS FOR PURPOSES OF FINANCING AND REFINANCING IMPROVEMENTS TO RICKENBACKER CAUSEWAY; AUTHORIZING INITIAL ISSUANCE OF BONDS IN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$34,000,000.00; PROVIDING THAT PRINCIPAL OF, PREMIUM, IF ANY, AND INTEREST ON BONDS SHALL BE PAYABLE SOLELY FROM PLEDGED REVENUES; ESTABLISHING CERTAIN GENERAL TERMS, SECURITY, RIGHTS OF BONDHOLDERS, COVENANTS, INTEREST RATE MODES AND OTHER PROVISIONS OF BONDS; CREATING CERTAIN FUNDS AND ACCOUNTS; PROVIDING TERMS AND CONDITIONS FOR ISSUANCE OF ADDITIONAL BONDS AND REFUNDING BONDS; PROVIDING THAT CERTAIN DETAILS AND BOND FORM OF EACH SERIES OF BONDS BE DETERMINED IN SUBSEQUENT SERIES RESOLUTION OR RESOLUTIONS; AND PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY AND EFFECTIVE DATE [SEE AGENDA ITEM NO. 8D3]



**Board of County Commissioners  
December 3, 2013 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes				
<p><b>8D3 132144</b></p>	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ISSUANCE OF NOT TO EXCEED \$34,000,000.00 AGGREGATE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA RICKENBACKER CAUSEWAY REVENUE BONDS, IN ONE SERIES, TO PAY COST OF CERTAIN IMPROVEMENTS; PROVIDING FOR CERTAIN DETAILS OF BONDS AND THEIR SALE BY NEGOTIATION; AUTHORIZING MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE, WITHIN CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND RESTRICTIONS, TO FINALIZE DETAILS, TERMS AND OTHER PROVISIONS OF BONDS AND THEIR NEGOTIATED SALE; APPROVING FORMS OF AND AUTHORIZING EXECUTION AND DELIVERY OF CERTAIN DOCUMENTS; PROVIDING CERTAIN COVENANTS; AUTHORIZING COUNTY OFFICIALS TO DO ALL THINGS DEEMED NECESSARY IN CONNECTION WITH ISSUANCE, SALE, EXECUTION AND DELIVERY OF BONDS; AND PROVIDING SEVERABILITY [SEE AGENDA ITEM NO. 5D]</p>				
<p><b>Notes</b></p>	<p>The proposed ordinance (the 2013 Ordinance) authorizes the issuance from time to time of Miami-Dade County, Florida Rickenbacker Causeway Revenue Bonds for purposes of financing and refinancing improvements to the Rickenbacker Causeway (Causeway).</p> <p>The accompanying Resolution (2013 Series Resolution), authorizes the issuance of Miami-Dade County, Florida Rickenbacker Causeway Revenue Bonds, Series 2013 (Series 2013 Bonds) in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$34,000,000. The 2013 Series Resolution authorizes the issuance of new money bonds to fund and to reimburse the County for funds spent in advance for emergency construction repairs to the Bear Cut Bridge and the West Bridge.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="269 657 1487 1236"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="269 657 878 688">2013 Ordinance</th><th data-bbox="878 657 1487 688">2013 Series Resolution</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="269 688 878 1236"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Authorizes the issuance in an amount not to exceed \$34,000,000 for an initial Miami-Dade County, Florida Rickenbacker Causeway Revenue Bond, Series 2013 (Series 2013 Bonds) for the purpose of funding the cost of certain improvements;               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Series 2013 Project includes the design and construction required to rehabilitate the Bear Cut and West Bridges on the Causeway. The total estimated to be funded from Series 2013 Bond Proceeds is \$30,378,000, and the total estimated to be funded from Miami-Dade Water and Sewer is \$3,000,000.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Provides that the principal, premium, if any, and interest on the bonds will be payable solely from Pledged Revenues;</li> <li>Establishes the rights of the bondholders, covenants, interest rate modes and other bond provisions;</li> <li>Creates certain funds and accounts;</li> <li>Provides terms and conditions for issuance of additional bonds and refunding bonds; and</li> <li>Provides that certain details and bond form of each series of bonds will be determined by subsequent series resolution.</li> </ul> </td><td data-bbox="878 688 1487 1236"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Authorizes the issuance of not to exceed \$34,000,000.00 aggregate principal amount of Miami-Dade County Rickenbacker Causeway Revenue Bonds, in one series, to pay cost of certain improvements;</li> <li>Provides for certain details of bonds and their sale by negotiation;</li> <li>Authorizes the Mayor or his designee, within certain limitations and restrictions, to finalize details, terms and other provisions of bonds and their negotiated sale;</li> <li>Approves forms of and authorizing execution and delivery of certain documents;</li> <li>Provides certain covenants; and</li> <li>Authorizes County officials to do all things deemed necessary in connection with issuance, sale, execution and delivery of bonds.</li> </ul> </td></tr> </tbody> </table> <p><i>In addition, a waiver of Resolution No. 130-06 is required. Resolution No. 130-06 provides that any County contract with a third party be finalized and executed prior to its placement on a committee agenda. The sale of the Series 2013 Bonds, which will set their final terms, will not occur until after the effective date of the Series 2013 Resolution in order to provide the County maximum flexibility in the market.</i></p> <p><u>Pledged Revenues</u></p> <p>When issued, the Series 2013 Bonds will be limited obligations of Miami-Dade County secured with the Pledged Revenues, which are defined in the 2013 Ordinance as the Net Revenues of the Causeway, moneys and investments held for the credit of the Funds and Accounts as provided for in the 2013 Ordinance and any other legally available revenues pledged by the Board in a subsequent ordinance. Net Revenues of the Causeway are the excess of revenues over current expenses for any particular period, usually a fiscal year. <b>Revenues to the Causeway primarily include vehicle tolls and concession fees.</b> Current expenses primarily consist of maintenance, repairs and operation of the Causeway, among other things. Principal and interest payments (semi-annual) resulting from the issuance of the Series 2013 Bonds will be funded with Net Revenues.</p> <p>The Series 2013 Ordinance contains a rate covenant that requires that the County maintain sufficient net revenues in order to maintain an annual debt service coverage that is at least equal to 125 percent of a given year's annual principal and interest payment while bonds are outstanding plus 100 percent of all required deposits to the credit of sinking and other funds including a Renewal, Replacement and Improvement fund (1/12 per month of the annual requirement) as specified annually by the Consulting Engineers. Failure to meet the rate covenant will require the County to raise tolls and concession fees on the Causeway.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u></p> <p>The Series 2013 Bonds are to be secured by the net revenues of the Causeway. No other County revenues will be pledged to their repayment unless approved by subsequent action of the Board. There are no other outstanding bonds or outstanding refunding bonds related to this net revenue pledge and toll facility credit.</p> <p><b>Background and Relevant Legislation</b></p>	2013 Ordinance	2013 Series Resolution	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Authorizes the issuance in an amount not to exceed \$34,000,000 for an initial Miami-Dade County, Florida Rickenbacker Causeway Revenue Bond, Series 2013 (Series 2013 Bonds) for the purpose of funding the cost of certain improvements;               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Series 2013 Project includes the design and construction required to rehabilitate the Bear Cut and West Bridges on the Causeway. The total estimated to be funded from Series 2013 Bond Proceeds is \$30,378,000, and the total estimated to be funded from Miami-Dade Water and Sewer is \$3,000,000.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Provides that the principal, premium, if any, and interest on the bonds will be payable solely from Pledged Revenues;</li> <li>Establishes the rights of the bondholders, covenants, interest rate modes and other bond provisions;</li> <li>Creates certain funds and accounts;</li> <li>Provides terms and conditions for issuance of additional bonds and refunding bonds; and</li> <li>Provides that certain details and bond form of each series of bonds will be determined by subsequent series resolution.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Authorizes the issuance of not to exceed \$34,000,000.00 aggregate principal amount of Miami-Dade County Rickenbacker Causeway Revenue Bonds, in one series, to pay cost of certain improvements;</li> <li>Provides for certain details of bonds and their sale by negotiation;</li> <li>Authorizes the Mayor or his designee, within certain limitations and restrictions, to finalize details, terms and other provisions of bonds and their negotiated sale;</li> <li>Approves forms of and authorizing execution and delivery of certain documents;</li> <li>Provides certain covenants; and</li> <li>Authorizes County officials to do all things deemed necessary in connection with issuance, sale, execution and delivery of bonds.</li> </ul>
2013 Ordinance	2013 Series Resolution				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Authorizes the issuance in an amount not to exceed \$34,000,000 for an initial Miami-Dade County, Florida Rickenbacker Causeway Revenue Bond, Series 2013 (Series 2013 Bonds) for the purpose of funding the cost of certain improvements;               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Series 2013 Project includes the design and construction required to rehabilitate the Bear Cut and West Bridges on the Causeway. The total estimated to be funded from Series 2013 Bond Proceeds is \$30,378,000, and the total estimated to be funded from Miami-Dade Water and Sewer is \$3,000,000.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Provides that the principal, premium, if any, and interest on the bonds will be payable solely from Pledged Revenues;</li> <li>Establishes the rights of the bondholders, covenants, interest rate modes and other bond provisions;</li> <li>Creates certain funds and accounts;</li> <li>Provides terms and conditions for issuance of additional bonds and refunding bonds; and</li> <li>Provides that certain details and bond form of each series of bonds will be determined by subsequent series resolution.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Authorizes the issuance of not to exceed \$34,000,000.00 aggregate principal amount of Miami-Dade County Rickenbacker Causeway Revenue Bonds, in one series, to pay cost of certain improvements;</li> <li>Provides for certain details of bonds and their sale by negotiation;</li> <li>Authorizes the Mayor or his designee, within certain limitations and restrictions, to finalize details, terms and other provisions of bonds and their negotiated sale;</li> <li>Approves forms of and authorizing execution and delivery of certain documents;</li> <li>Provides certain covenants; and</li> <li>Authorizes County officials to do all things deemed necessary in connection with issuance, sale, execution and delivery of bonds.</li> </ul>				



**Board of County Commissioners  
December 3, 2013 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes												
	<p>The original Bear Cut and West Bridges on the Rickenbacker Causeway were built in 1944 and consisted of a concrete substructure, steel girders, and a concrete deck superstructure. The Bridges were widened in the mid to early 1980's with concrete substructures, pre-stressed concrete girders and a concrete deck superstructure, and have undergone various maintenance and repair actions through the years in order to extend their life expectancy. The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) conducts recurring bridge inspections, with those performed in 2006, 2007, and 2010 not disclosing any critical findings.</p> <p>In March 2012, PWWM was informally notified via email by FDOT that the bridge inspection performed on January 7, 2012, found deterioration of a number of the steel beams on the West Bridge, and that PWWM was "to correct such deficiencies before they become a critical deficiency". As a result, PWWM immediately proceeded to restrict truck traffic to the right outside lane of the West Bridge for the inbound (Eastbound) direction, and as a further precaution moved to effectuate similar restrictions on the Bear Cut Bridge. This action placed truck loads on the concrete beam segment, not on the steel beams found to be in a deteriorated condition.</p> <p>Additionally, between the months of August to September 2012, PWWM initiated detailed field inspections of the Bridge's 584 steel beams through the services of an engineering consultant. This effort also found previously undetected deterioration of the steel beams on the Bear Cut Bridge. This information was transmitted to FDOT, along with the request for a Load Rating in order to determine the load carrying capacity of the Bridges in their current condition. On October 19, 2012, based on the provided information, FDOT notified PWWM that the right outbound (westbound) lane on the Bear Cut Bridge had to be closed to traffic. PWWM immediately closed the lane upon being notified. However, in close coordination with FDOT, PWWM had its consultant re-analyze the Load Rating for the Bridges. This led to FDOT's subsequent concurrence to open the closed lane by restricting traffic to cars on the outside lane, limiting heavier vehicles to the inside lane. FDOT's formal letter of concurrence was received on November 1, 2012. PWWM implemented these restrictions through the installation of signs and pavement markings.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Relevant Legislation</th></tr> <tr> <th colspan="2">Bear Cut and West Bridges on the Rickenbacker Causeway</th></tr> <tr> <th>Date and Reso.</th><th>Legislation</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1/23/2013 R-32-13</td><td>RESOLUTION WAIVING COMPETITIVE BIDS AND AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO CONTRACT FOR THE REPAIRS OF THE BEAR CUT BRIDGE AND THE WEST BRIDGE [PLEASE SEE ORIGINAL VERSION UNDER FILE NO. 130069]</td></tr> <tr> <td>1/23/2013 R-33-13</td><td>RESOLUTION AMENDING IMPLEMENTING ORDER 4-57 RELATING TO THE SCHEDULE OF FEES AND TOLLS FOR THE RICKENBACKER CAUSEWAY <i>Resolution No. 33-13, amended Implementing Order 4-57 to adjust the toll structure of the Causeway in order to strengthen reserves and facilitate the sale of bonds needed to fund the necessary capital improvements to address the emergency conditions. The Board was advised that the funding source would be a combination of toll revenues and financing proceeds backed by toll revenues.</i></td></tr> <tr> <td>4/16/13 R-288-13</td><td>RESOLUTION APPROVING RATIFICATION OF THE CONTRACT AWARD TO KIEWIT INFRASTRUCTURE SOUTH CO., IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$31,000,000.00, FOR THE DESIGN-BUILD SERVICES FOR THE PROJECT ENTITLED REHABILITATION OF BRIDGES NO. 874541 (WEST BRIDGE) AND 874544 (BEAR CUT BRIDGE) ON THE RICKENBACKER CAUSEWAY <i>Resolution No. 288-13 ratified a \$31,000,000 contract award to Kiewit Infrastructure South Co. (Kiewit) for the design-build services to rehabilitate the Bear Cut and West Bridges. A portion (\$3,000,000) of the Kiewit contract is funded by the Water and Sewer Department for costs related to a water main relocation and replacement. Bond proceeds from this financing will fund the remaining \$28,000,000 of the Kiewit contract plus capitalized costs that are not included in the contract but necessary to complete the construction including police traffic management during construction (\$942,000) and engineering staff time (\$686,000). In addition, \$750,000 is added to the construction fund for unforeseen conditions and remediation costs relating to asbestos abatement.</i></td></tr> </tbody> </table> <p><b>Additional Information</b></p> <p>Pursuant to a Memo dated August 28, 2013, from the Public Works and Waste Management Department to the Mayor, the Kiewit- Bear Cut Bridge Existing Foundation Analysis Engineering Report provided the following conclusion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In Summary, the foundation of the Bridge has exhibited its integrity and safely supported the loads imposed on it for the past 67 years. The report notes that "due to the fact that the new superstructure is not increasing the axial loads on the existing foundation the factors of safety which existed prior to the rehabilitation will remain consistent upon completion of the County's specified work."</li> <li>Furthermore, "If the contract prescribed substructure improvements, as specified by Miami-Dade County, is all that is required and periodic maintenance of the substructure which includes sealers, cathodic coatings, active cathodic protection and other maintenance methods is performed, this may allow this bridge to provide an additional 20-30 years of continued life, barring an extreme event such as coastal storm surges, extreme wind events (above 100 mph) and vessel collision."</li> <li>Finally, the County will proceed with the planned demolition and replacement of the older portion of both Bear Cut and West bridges in order to maintain the project's schedule of substantial completion by February 2014.</li> <li>Upon completion of the work, the County will begin the process of identifying funding for a Project Development and Environmental Study for the complete replacement of the Bear Cut and West bridges.</li> </ul> <p>The report was requested by Miami-Dade County in order to evaluate the load carrying capacity of the existing piles on the portion of the Bear Cut Bridge that was built in the 1940's and that are to remain in place following the rehabilitation of the Bridge.</p>	Relevant Legislation		Bear Cut and West Bridges on the Rickenbacker Causeway		Date and Reso.	Legislation	1/23/2013 R-32-13	RESOLUTION WAIVING COMPETITIVE BIDS AND AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO CONTRACT FOR THE REPAIRS OF THE BEAR CUT BRIDGE AND THE WEST BRIDGE [PLEASE SEE ORIGINAL VERSION UNDER FILE NO. 130069]	1/23/2013 R-33-13	RESOLUTION AMENDING IMPLEMENTING ORDER 4-57 RELATING TO THE SCHEDULE OF FEES AND TOLLS FOR THE RICKENBACKER CAUSEWAY <i>Resolution No. 33-13, amended Implementing Order 4-57 to adjust the toll structure of the Causeway in order to strengthen reserves and facilitate the sale of bonds needed to fund the necessary capital improvements to address the emergency conditions. The Board was advised that the funding source would be a combination of toll revenues and financing proceeds backed by toll revenues.</i>	4/16/13 R-288-13	RESOLUTION APPROVING RATIFICATION OF THE CONTRACT AWARD TO KIEWIT INFRASTRUCTURE SOUTH CO., IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$31,000,000.00, FOR THE DESIGN-BUILD SERVICES FOR THE PROJECT ENTITLED REHABILITATION OF BRIDGES NO. 874541 (WEST BRIDGE) AND 874544 (BEAR CUT BRIDGE) ON THE RICKENBACKER CAUSEWAY <i>Resolution No. 288-13 ratified a \$31,000,000 contract award to Kiewit Infrastructure South Co. (Kiewit) for the design-build services to rehabilitate the Bear Cut and West Bridges. A portion (\$3,000,000) of the Kiewit contract is funded by the Water and Sewer Department for costs related to a water main relocation and replacement. Bond proceeds from this financing will fund the remaining \$28,000,000 of the Kiewit contract plus capitalized costs that are not included in the contract but necessary to complete the construction including police traffic management during construction (\$942,000) and engineering staff time (\$686,000). In addition, \$750,000 is added to the construction fund for unforeseen conditions and remediation costs relating to asbestos abatement.</i>
Relevant Legislation													
Bear Cut and West Bridges on the Rickenbacker Causeway													
Date and Reso.	Legislation												
1/23/2013 R-32-13	RESOLUTION WAIVING COMPETITIVE BIDS AND AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO CONTRACT FOR THE REPAIRS OF THE BEAR CUT BRIDGE AND THE WEST BRIDGE [PLEASE SEE ORIGINAL VERSION UNDER FILE NO. 130069]												
1/23/2013 R-33-13	RESOLUTION AMENDING IMPLEMENTING ORDER 4-57 RELATING TO THE SCHEDULE OF FEES AND TOLLS FOR THE RICKENBACKER CAUSEWAY <i>Resolution No. 33-13, amended Implementing Order 4-57 to adjust the toll structure of the Causeway in order to strengthen reserves and facilitate the sale of bonds needed to fund the necessary capital improvements to address the emergency conditions. The Board was advised that the funding source would be a combination of toll revenues and financing proceeds backed by toll revenues.</i>												
4/16/13 R-288-13	RESOLUTION APPROVING RATIFICATION OF THE CONTRACT AWARD TO KIEWIT INFRASTRUCTURE SOUTH CO., IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$31,000,000.00, FOR THE DESIGN-BUILD SERVICES FOR THE PROJECT ENTITLED REHABILITATION OF BRIDGES NO. 874541 (WEST BRIDGE) AND 874544 (BEAR CUT BRIDGE) ON THE RICKENBACKER CAUSEWAY <i>Resolution No. 288-13 ratified a \$31,000,000 contract award to Kiewit Infrastructure South Co. (Kiewit) for the design-build services to rehabilitate the Bear Cut and West Bridges. A portion (\$3,000,000) of the Kiewit contract is funded by the Water and Sewer Department for costs related to a water main relocation and replacement. Bond proceeds from this financing will fund the remaining \$28,000,000 of the Kiewit contract plus capitalized costs that are not included in the contract but necessary to complete the construction including police traffic management during construction (\$942,000) and engineering staff time (\$686,000). In addition, \$750,000 is added to the construction fund for unforeseen conditions and remediation costs relating to asbestos abatement.</i>												

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 3, 2013 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes												
5E 132014	ORDINANCE APPROVING REVOLVING LINE OF CREDIT IN AGGREGATE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$75,000,000 FROM WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A. TO COUNTY FOR PURPOSES OF PROVIDING FUNDS TO PUBLIC HEALTH TRUST AND PAYING COSTS OF ISSUANCE; PROVIDING THAT SUCH LINE OF CREDIT BE SECURED BY COUNTY COVENANT TO ANNUALLY BUDGET AND APPROPRIATE FROM LEGALLY AVAILABLE NON-AD VALOREM REVENUES OF THE COUNTY SUFFICIENT FUNDS TO PAY DEBT SERVICE ON LINE OF CREDIT; APPROVING TERMS OF RELATED COMMITMENT LETTER; APPROVING FORM AND EXECUTION OF MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING BETWEEN COUNTY AND PUBLIC HEALTH TRUST REGARDING PAYMENT OF LINE OF CREDIT FROM CERTAIN TRUST REVENUES; AUTHORIZING COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE AND ALL OTHER COUNTY OFFICIALS TO TAKE ALL ACTION TO SECURE LINE OF CREDIT AND TO EXTEND ITS TERM WITHIN CERTAIN PARAMETERS INCLUDING ENTERING INTO RELATED AGREEMENTS AND DOCUMENTS WITH TERMS CONSISTENT WITH THOSE SET FORTH IN THE COMMITMENT LETTER; AND PROVIDING SEVERABILITY AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE(Finance Department)												
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance authorizes the issuance of a Revolving Line of Credit (Line of Credit) from Wells Fargo Bank (Wells Fargo) on behalf of the Public Health Trust (PHT) in an amount not to exceed \$75,000,000, approving the terms of the Line of Credit, and approving the related Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with PHT.</p> <p>Additionally, the proposed ordinance renews the Line of Credit for one year and also authorizes the County Mayor, after consultation with the Office of the County Attorney, to extend the term of the Line of Credit under the same or more favorable terms in the Commitment Letter (Commitment) to the County.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• PHT will make the payments for all draws and costs of the Line of Credit from its net operating revenues.</li><li>• The County will guarantee PHT's commitment to make all payments with a County covenant to Wells Fargo to budget and appropriate annually sufficient legally available non-ad valorem revenues of the County.</li><li>• Pursuant to the MOU, the County will have the right to deduct any payment made on the Line of Credit by the County from the PHT's One Half Cent Healthcare Sales Surtax or the annual Maintenance of Effort. Therefore, the County will not be incurring any additional costs.</li></ul> <p>Pursuant to Ordinance No. 12-110, adopted by the BCC on December 18, 2012, this item renews for one year and provides for future extensions of the Line of Credit previously entered into by the County with Wells Fargo. At that time, the Line of Credit was presented to the Trust and accepted by the County as an unsolicited proposal from Wells Fargo. The 2012 Ordinance also approved a MOU between the County and PHT providing for PHT's repayment obligation to the County. To date, all payments on the current Line of Credit have been made by the Trust from its net revenues and it is in compliance with its obligations pursuant to the existing MOU.</p> <p>The PHT has requested renewal of the Line of Credit for at least one additional year (through December 30, 2014) to enable it to continue managing these cash flow requirements. Since the previous Ordinance limited the term for the current Line of Credit to December 30, 2013, a new Ordinance is necessary to renew the Line of Credit.</p> <p><u>Memorandum of Understanding (MOU)</u></p> <p>In connection with the renewal of the Line of Credit, it is necessary for the County and the Trust to enter into a new MOU pursuant to the same PHT repayment obligations as the current MOU. The MOU provides that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• PHT will borrow and repay the Line of Credit under the terms negotiated by the County with Wells Fargo;</li><li>• Subject to PHT being current on all principal and interest payments, all draw requests by PHT on the Line of Credit require the approval of the Deputy Mayor in charge of matters related to Finance or the Deputy Mayor in charge of matters related to the PHT;</li><li>• In addition to the quarterly repayment requirements, the County will require of PHT that all outstanding principal and interest be repaid in full between August 1, 2014 and September 30, 2014 and any draws made after September 30, 2014, by 15 days prior to the end of the term of the Line of Credit;</li><li>• If at any time PHT fails to make payments of principal and interest when due, the County has the right to withhold such amounts due from the One Half Cent Healthcare Sales Surtax or the annual Maintenance of Effort and not to permit any further draws; and</li><li>• The term of the MOU will commence with the effective date of the Board approval of the Ordinance and terminate on the termination date of the Line of Credit and when all PHT's payment obligations on the Line of Credit terminate.</li></ul>												
7A 131587	ORDINANCE PERTAINING TO INCORPORATION PROCEDURES; MODIFYING REQUIREMENTS RELATING TO PETITIONS FOR INCORPORATION AND REQUIREMENTS RELATING TO ESTABLISHING MUNICIPAL ADVISORY COMMITTEES; AMENDING SECTIONS 20-20 AND 20-29 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE												
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance, pertaining to incorporation procedures, does the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Modifies requirements relating to petitions for incorporation and requirements relating to establishing Municipal Advisory Committees (MACs);</li><li>• Amends Sections 20-20 and 20-29 of the Code of Miami-Dade County.</li></ul> <table><tr><th colspan="3">Comparison of Miami-Dade County Current Code and Proposed Amendments</th></tr><tr><th colspan="3">Incorporation Procedures</th></tr><tr><th>Section of Code</th><th>Current Code</th><th>Proposed Amendments New Language in Bold</th></tr><tr><td>Sec. 20-20(A)(3)  Petition for</td><td>In order for the submitted petition to be complete, the petition shall include consent from no less than <b>twenty-five (25)</b> percent of the electors in the area proposed for</td><td>In order for the submitted petition to be complete, the petition shall include consent from no less than <b>twenty (20)</b> percent of the electors in the area proposed for</td></tr></table>	Comparison of Miami-Dade County Current Code and Proposed Amendments			Incorporation Procedures			Section of Code	Current Code	Proposed Amendments New Language in Bold	Sec. 20-20(A)(3)  Petition for	In order for the submitted petition to be complete, the petition shall include consent from no less than <b>twenty-five (25)</b> percent of the electors in the area proposed for	In order for the submitted petition to be complete, the petition shall include consent from no less than <b>twenty (20)</b> percent of the electors in the area proposed for
Comparison of Miami-Dade County Current Code and Proposed Amendments													
Incorporation Procedures													
Section of Code	Current Code	Proposed Amendments New Language in Bold											
Sec. 20-20(A)(3)  Petition for	In order for the submitted petition to be complete, the petition shall include consent from no less than <b>twenty-five (25)</b> percent of the electors in the area proposed for	In order for the submitted petition to be complete, the petition shall include consent from no less than <b>twenty (20)</b> percent of the electors in the area proposed for											

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 3, 2013 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes		
	<i>incorporation initiated by individual or group; Creation of MAC related to petition</i>	incorporation.	incorporation. Each circulator of the petition shall <b>certify that the circulator has witnessed the signatures of all resident electors signing such petition. Where a circulator certifies that the circulator has witnessed the signatures, but has failed to do so, such failure shall constitute a violation of this Code and upon conviction shall be punished by a fine of not to exceed five hundred dollars (\$500.00) or imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than sixty (60) days, or by both such fine and imprisonment</b>
	Sec. 20-20(A)(4)  <i>Petition for incorporation initiated by individual or group; Creation of MAC related to petition</i>	Signed petitions shall be submitted to the Clerk of the Board within <b>90 days</b> of the date the County Commission approves the form and authorizes the circulation of the petition in order for the petition to receive any further review or consideration by the County. Petitioners should submit any resolutions of support or opposition, if any exist, from the closest existing municipality within such <b>90 day</b> period.	Signed petitions shall be submitted to the Clerk of the Board within <b>180</b> days of the date the County Commission approves the form and authorizes the circulation of the petition in order for the petition to receive any further review or consideration by the County. Petitioners should submit any resolutions of support or opposition, if any exist, from the closest existing municipality within such <b>180</b> day period.
	Sec. 20-20(C)  <i>Petition for incorporation initiated by individual or group; Creation of MAC related to petition</i>	The Clerk of the Board of County Commissioners shall notify the County Commission that the petition is complete and contains sufficient signatures. Upon notification of the completeness and sufficiency of the petition and upon sponsorship of the County Commissioner whose district comprises the majority of the area proposed to be incorporated by the petition, the Board of County Commissioners may create a Municipal Advisory Committee ("MAC"), which shall carry out the functions set forth in the resolution or ordinance creating the MAC and be subject to the requirements of Section 20-29 of the Code of Miami-Dade County (the "Code"), excluding the requirement of consent of resident electors. Notwithstanding the creation of a MAC, the procedures for consideration of a petition set forth in Section 20-20 <i>et seq.</i> of the Code shall apply. If the boundaries in the completed petition differ from the boundaries of the MAC study area, the boundaries of the MAC study area shall supplant and be substituted for the boundaries included in the petition; provided, however, <b>25%</b> of the electors residing within the boundaries as revised to conform to the MAC study area shall have signed the completed petition indicating their interest in incorporating the area.	The Clerk of the Board of County Commissioners shall notify the County Commission that the petition is complete and contains sufficient signatures. Upon notification of the completeness and sufficiency of the petition and upon sponsorship of the County Commissioner whose district comprises the majority of the area proposed to be incorporated by the petition, the Board of County Commissioners may create a Municipal Advisory Committee ("MAC"), which shall carry out the functions set forth in the resolution or ordinance creating the MAC and be subject to the requirements of Section 20-29 of the Code of Miami-Dade County (the "Code"), excluding the requirement of consent of resident electors. Notwithstanding the creation of a MAC, the procedures for consideration of a petition set forth in Section 20-20 <i>et seq.</i> of the Code shall apply. If the boundaries in the completed petition differ from the boundaries of the MAC study area, the boundaries of the MAC study area shall supplant and be substituted for the boundaries included in the petition; provided, however, <b>20%</b> of the electors residing within the boundaries as revised to conform to the MAC study area shall have signed the completed petition indicating their interest in incorporating the area.
	Sec. 20-29(A)  <i>Municipal Advisory Committee— Creation and Limitation of Study Area</i>	A Municipal Advisory Committee may only be created by ordinance of the Board in accordance with the provisions of this section to study and give advice to the County Commission regarding the creation of a proposed municipality. However, as of the effective date of this ordinance, no Municipal Advisory Committee shall be created by the County Commission, unless no less than <b>twenty-five (25)</b> percent of the resident electors in the area to be studied consent to the creation of a Municipal Advisory Committee on a consent form which shall be approved by the Office of Strategic Business Management. The signed consent forms shall be submitted to the Clerk of the Board of County Commissioners. Upon submission of the signed consent forms, the Clerk of the Board of County Commissioners shall submit the signed consent forms to the Department of Elections for certification as to the sufficiency of signatures on the consent forms. Upon notification of certification by the Department of Elections, the Clerk of the Board shall forward to the County Commission the signed consent forms of area residents and the certification of the sufficiency of the consent forms.	A Municipal Advisory Committee may only be created by ordinance of the Board in accordance with the provisions of this section to study and give advice to the County Commission regarding the creation of a proposed municipality. However, as of the effective date of this ordinance, no Municipal Advisory Committee shall be created by the County Commission, unless no less than <b>twenty (20)</b> percent of the resident electors in the area to be studied consent to the creation of a Municipal Advisory Committee on a consent form which shall be approved by the Office of Strategic Business Management. The signed consent forms shall be submitted to the Clerk of the Board of County Commissioners. Upon submission of the signed consent forms, the Clerk of the Board of County Commissioners shall submit the signed consent forms to the Department of Elections for certification as to the sufficiency of signatures on the consent forms. Upon notification of certification by the Department of Elections, the Clerk of the Board shall forward to the County Commission the signed consent forms of area residents and the certification of the sufficiency of the

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 3, 2013 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes	
	<p>Following public hearing, the County Commission may create a Municipal Advisory Committee by ordinance. It is provided, however, that where a Municipal Advisory Committee has been established, prior to the effective date of this ordinance, no consent of resident electors shall be required for the adoption of an ordinance creating a Municipal Advisory Committee involving the same study area. Upon receipt of the Municipal Advisory Committee report, which shall include findings of fiscal feasibility, evidence of desirability, and a plan for the development of a viable community, and upon motion of the district commissioner whose district comprises the majority of the proposed area to be incorporated, the Board of County Commissioners, at a regular meeting of the Board, may schedule the Municipal Advisory Committee report and resolution for consideration by the Planning Advisory Board.</p>	<p>consent forms. Following public hearing, the County Commission may create a Municipal Advisory Committee by ordinance. It is provided, however, that where a Municipal Advisory Committee has been established, prior to the effective date of this ordinance, no consent of resident electors shall be required for the adoption of an ordinance creating a Municipal Advisory Committee involving the same study area. Upon receipt of the Municipal Advisory Committee report, which shall include findings of fiscal feasibility, evidence of desirability, and a plan for the development of a viable community, and upon motion of the district commissioner whose district comprises the majority of the proposed area to be incorporated, the Board of County Commissioners, at a regular meeting of the Board, may schedule the Municipal Advisory Committee report and resolution for consideration by the Planning Advisory Board.</p>
	<p><b>Additional Information</b> On November 20, 2012, under Resolution No. 983-12, the BCC created the Annexation and Incorporation Task Force (Task Force) to review pending annexation and incorporation proposals and to make recommendations by May 19, 2013 (extended to September 30, 2013 under Resolution No. 379-13), on how the County should proceed to address the remainder of the unincorporated communities.</p> <p>The County Mayor's memo dated April 1, 2013, titled, <i>Municipal Incorporation and Annexation</i>, included general recommendations for the Task Force, including the following under Incorporation Recommendations:</p> <p><u>Petition Requirements</u> <i>Currently, the County Code requires the incorporation efforts obtain a petition of 25 percent of the proposed incorporation area residents or a Municipal Advisory Committee be formed. Recently, voters approved a change to the County Charter that requires a petition of 20 percent for incorporation proposals. The County Code should be revised to mirror the County Charter. Additionally, the petition circulation period should be revised from the current 90 days to the County Charter approved 180 days.</i></p> <p>Subsequently, the Task Force Final Report dated September 11, 2013 included the following recommendations:</p> <p><b>Recommendation 20</b> <i>That the Code be amended to allow 180 days to gather petition for incorporations, making the Code consistent with the Charter.</i> <i>Motion Passed: 9 – 0.</i></p>	
<b>7B 131994</b>	ORDINANCE RELATING TO ZONING REGULATIONS; AMENDING STANDARDS FOR CERTAIN ADMINISTRATIVE MODIFICATIONS; AMENDING SECTION 33-310.1 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE	
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed ordinance amends Section 33-310.1 of the Code of Miami-Dade County (Code), amending standards for certain administrative modifications relating to Zoning Regulations.</p> <p>Currently, under Section 33-310.1 of the Code, Administrative Modification or Elimination of Conditions and Restrictive Covenants, the Director is authorized to consider and approve applications to modify or eliminate a condition or part thereof, or a restrictive covenant or part thereof, where it is demonstrated that the proposed modification or elimination will result in substantial compliance with the previous zoning action regarding a site plan, as demonstrated in the Code including that the design has not materially changed, in that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Elevations and renderings of buildings have substantially similar architectural expressions as those shown on the approved plans.</li> </ul> <p>The proposed ordinance provides an exception, amending Section 33-310.1 of the Code, Administrative Modification or Elimination of Conditions and Restrictive Covenants the Code:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Elevations and renderings of buildings have substantially similar architectural expressions as those shown on the approved plans, <i>except that single use outparcel buildings fronting on section line, half-section line, or quarter-section line roads in business zoning districts shall not be subject to this requirement.</i></li> </ul> <p><b>Additional Information</b> According to the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources, if the single use outparcel buildings were shown on a previously approved site plan with detailed elevations and renderings, they now have to be substantially similar in order to go through the "Substantial Compliance with Previous Approval" process (approved administratively). Currently, if they are not deemed substantially similar, they would be required to go back to CZAB for approval.</p> <p>With the proposed amendment, a single use outparcel building will be exempt from that requirement if their elevations and renderings change from the previously approved plan. Through the amendment, the applicant will not have to go back to CZAB for approval if the elevations and renderings are not deemed substantially similar to the architectural expressions shown on the previously approved plan, it</p>	

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 3, 2013 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>can be done administratively.</p> <p>The proposed amendment is to allow changes to single use outparcel buildings that were submitted with detailed elevation and rendering plans that now may not fit the needs of an end user. This will make it easier for an applicant to change building elevations and renderings without the need for a public hearing. This will only apply to previously approved plans that detailed elevations and renderings during the public hearing process.</p> <p>Generally, substantial compliance is less expensive than a public hearing.</p>
<b>7C 131965</b>	ORDINANCE RELATING TO ADMINISTRATION OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT FUNDS; AMENDING CHAPTER 2 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, TO PERMIT THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO CHANGE THE NATIONAL OBJECTIVE UPON DETERMINATION THAT THE PROJECT HAS MET ANOTHER NATIONAL OBJECTIVE; AND PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed ordinance amends Chapter 2, Section 2-10.5 of the Code of Miami Dade County, Florida, to add a new subsection (g) relating to Administrative guidelines for community development block grant funds. This will permit the Mayor or Mayor's designee to change the national objective upon determination that the project has met another national objective.</p> <p><i>(g) The Mayor or Mayor's designee may change the national objective on CDBG-funded projects and amend contracts accordingly, including revising job creation requirements, upon a determination by the Mayor or Mayor's designee that the project has met another national objective. This authorization applies retroactively to existing, executed contracts for CDBG funds and future CDBG contracts executed after the adoption date of this ordinance and is intended to expedite the appropriate spending of CDBG dollars in order to meet the 1.5 spending ratio required by the U.S. HUD and to allow the County and the agencies it funds the flexibility to meet alternative national objectives. The Mayor or Mayor's designee is directed to report to the Board on a quarterly basis all administrative changes of the CDBG national objective.</i></p>
<b>7D 131975</b>	ORDINANCE RELATING TO TRAFFIC INTERSECTION SAFETY AND RED LIGHT VIOLATIONS; AMENDING SECTION 30-422 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; PROVIDING A LOCAL HEARING PROCESS FOR RED LIGHT CAMERA VIOLATIONS; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed ordinance relating to Traffic Intersection Safety and Red Light Violations, amends Section 30-422 of the Code of Miami-Dade County (Code), providing a local hearing process for red light camera violations.</p> <p>The proposed ordinance adds the following language to Section 30-422 of the Code, Traffic Intersection Safety and Traffic Infraction Detectors, Notice and Appeals:</p> <p><i>Pursuant to Chapter 2013-160, Laws of Florida, the Board elects to use and hereby designates its currently appointed code enforcement hearing officers under Chapter 8CC of the Code of Miami-Dade County, Florida, to serve as local hearing officers, as that term is defined in section 316.0083(91), Florida Statutes, as such may be amended from time to time, for purposes of conducting hearings by alleged violators wishing to contest a notice of violation received for a red light camera violation. A person may request such a hearing within 60 days of the notice of violation. No payment or fee shall be required in advance to receive such a hearing, but if a person is found to have committed the violation at the hearing, he or she shall be required to pay \$150 in hearing costs in addition to the penalty imposed by law.</i></p> <p>Currently, Florida Statutes Section 316.003(91) defines Local Hearing Officer as the person, designated by a department, county, or municipality that elects to authorize traffic infraction enforcement officers to issue traffic citations under s. 316.0083(1)(a), who is authorized to conduct hearings related to a notice of violation issued pursuant to s. 316.0083. The charter county, noncharter county, or municipality may use its currently appointed code enforcement board or special magistrate to serve as the local hearing officer. The department may enter into an interlocal agreement to use the local hearing officer of a county or municipality.</p> <p><b>Additional Background and Relevant Information</b></p> <p>In 2003, Mark Wandall, a young husband and father tragically lost his life in an auto accident when he was broadsided by a driver who ran a red light. His widow, Melissa Wandall, spearheaded the campaign for the installation of red light cameras at intersections in Florida to curb the behavior of red light running.</p> <p>During the 2010 state legislative session, the Florida Legislature enacted Chapter 2010-80, Laws of Florida (HB 325), the Mark Wandall Traffic Safety Act (316.0083 F.S.), authorizing counties and municipalities to use cameras for red light enforcement.</p> <p>Florida Statute 316.0083 provides for a \$158 fine levied on violators who fail to stop at a traffic signal as required by ss. 316.074(1) or 316.075(1)(c)1., F.S. When the \$158 fine is the result of a local government's traffic infraction detector, \$75 is retained by the local government and \$83 is deposited with the Florida Department of Revenue (DOR). The DOR subsequently distributes the fines by depositing \$70 in the State General Revenue Fund, \$10 in the Department of Health Administrative Trust Fund, and \$3 in the Brain and Spinal Cord Injury Trust Fund.</p> <p>If a law enforcement officer cites a motorist for the same offense, the fine is still \$158, but the revenue is distributed from the local clerk of court to DOR, where \$30 is distributed to the General Revenue Fund, \$65 is distributed to the Department of Health Administrative Trust Fund, and \$3 is distributed to the Brain and Spinal Cord Injury Trust Fund. The remaining \$60 is distributed in small percentages to a number of funds pursuant to s. 318.21, F.S.</p> <p>On July 8, 2010, the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) adopted Resolution No. 759-10, which authorized the installation of red light</p>

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 3, 2013 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>cameras at high crash, high volume intersections and directed the Mayor or designee to implement a red light camera program in Miami-Dade County. Subsequently, on January 20, 2011, under Ordinance No. 11-01, the BCC authorized the use and regulation of red light cameras in the unincorporated area of Miami-Dade County consistent with the Mark Wandall Traffic Safety Act. Ordinance No. 11-01 also prohibited the use of red light cameras for enforcement of right turns on red. Currently, the Administration is in the process of procuring a red light camera vendor and implementing a red light camera program, but no red light cameras have been installed as of yet by Miami-Dade County.</p> <p>During the 2013 state legislation session, HB 4011 was introduced, repealing the authority for cities and counties to have red light camera programs. Subsequently, on May 3, 2013, HB 4011 died in committee.</p> <p>During the 2013 state legislative session, the Florida Legislature enacted Chapter 2013-160, Laws of Florida (HB 7125), which amended the Mark Wandall Traffic Safety Act to provide counties and municipalities the option of providing a local hearing process at the notice of violation stage related to red light camera violations. In addition, Chapter 2013-160 provides that no payment or fee is required prior to the hearing, and authorizes a county or municipality to recover hearing costs not to exceed \$250 if the person is found to have committed the red light camera violation.</p> <p>Prior to the passage of Chapter 2013-160, a person receiving a red light camera violation did not have a right to request a hearing based on the \$158 notice of violation, and instead had to wait for the violation to become a uniform traffic citation which carried a fine of \$256 or more and possible points on a person's driving record.</p> <p>Pursuant to Chapter 2013-160, the proposed ordinance establishes a local hearing process for red light camera violations so that people who receive a red light camera notice of violation can request a hearing without the added expense of the violation becoming a uniform traffic citation and risking possible points on a person's driving record.</p> <p><b>Additional Information</b>  <u>Revenue Collected by the State of Florida Department of Revenue</u>  According to the Florida DOR, counties and municipalities remit the collection of funds provided in Chapter 2010-80 to the DOR weekly.  <b>There was a spike in the utilization of Red Light Cameras from 44 jurisdictions in FY 2010-11 to 71 jurisdictions in FY 2011-12; and in FY 2012-13, the number of jurisdictions utilizing Red Light Cameras increased to 77.</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>For FY 2010-11, the State collected a grand total of \$19,774,851; and the Brain and Spinal Cord Injury Trust Fund collected \$728,276.</li> <li>For FY 2011-12, the State collected a grand total of \$51,065,842; and the Brain and Spinal Cord Injury Trust Fund collected \$1,851,361.</li> <li>For FY 2012-13, the State collected a grand total of \$62,454,920; and the Brain and Spinal Cord Injury Trust Fund collected \$2,257,262.</li> </ul> <p><u>Collection and Disbursement of Red Light Camera Proceeds</u>  According to the Miami-Dade County Clerk of Courts, from October 2011 to September 2012 a total of \$3,495,398 was collected by the Miami Dade County Court System for Red Light Camera violations and/or citations and distributed to municipalities with Red Light Camera Programs.</p> <p>Additionally, for the period of October 2012 to September 2013 a total of \$4,652,129 was collected by the Miami Dade County Court System for Red Light Camera violations and/or citations and distributed to municipalities with Red Light Camera Programs.</p>
<b>7E 132334</b>	ORDINANCE GRANTING EXTENDED COMPLIANCE PERIOD FOR PROPERTY OWNERS TO COMPLY WITH BUILDING CODE AND ZONING CODE AND CREATING A LIMITED EXCEPTION FROM PAYMENT OF CIVIL PENALTIES AND LIENS FOR BUILDING AND ZONING CODE VIOLATIONS UPON A PROPERTY OWNER'S SATISFACTION OF CERTAIN CONDITIONS, INCLUDING COMPLIANCE WITH THE BUILDING AND ZONING CODE OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 131663]
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed ordinance extends the compliance period for property owners to comply with Building and Zoning Code of Miami-Dade County (Code), creating a limited exception from payment of civil penalties and liens for Building and Zoning Code violations upon a property owner's satisfaction of certain conditions.</p> <p>Upon application of a property owner within the <b>area generally bounded by NW 27th Avenue to NW 37th Avenue, and NW 92nd Street to NW 106 Street</b>, the County will waive any and all civil penalties (and all liens associated to such civil penalties), related to the enforcement of Chapter 8 and Chapter 33 of the Code in connection with a single family structure that has qualified for and received homestead exemption, provided the property owner satisfies each of the following conditions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Enter into and compliance with a consent agreement with the Miami-Dade County Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources;</li> <li>A permit is issued to correct all Code violations within the Extended Compliance Period as defined in this ordinance;</li> <li>The structure is brought into compliance with the Code within the period provided in the Building Code for completion of the work under the permit obtained within the Extended Compliance Period; and</li> <li>All direct costs of the Miami-Dade County Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources in connection with prior enforcement in connection with the structure, as documented by the Department, will be satisfied in full.</li> </ul> <p>The Extended Compliance Period will be effective for a period of five years from its effective date.</p>
<b>7F</b>	ORDINANCE RELATING TO THE PROPERTY OWNER AND MERCHANT ACT; MODIFYING PROVISIONS FOR MAINTENANCE OF BUILDING



**Board of County Commissioners  
December 3, 2013 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes																
131981	SURFACES, WALLS AND FENCES; AMENDING SECTIONS 19-15.10 AND 19-15.11 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE																
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance relating to the Property Owner and Merchant Act, amends Sections 19-15.10 and 19-15.11 of the Code of Miami-Dade County (Code), modifying provisions for maintenance of building surfaces, walls and fences.</p> <p><i>Currently, the Responsible Property Owner and Merchant Act relates to the maintenance of the exterior of shopping centers, strip malls, restaurants, gas stations, banks and other commercial properties, requiring that property owners and tenants maintain the exterior of the building structures, parking lots and public rights-of-ways abutting the commercial property in a safe, sanitary and litter free manner to prevent neighborhood blight and deterioration.</i></p> <p><b>Provided below is a comparison of the current Property Owner and Merchant Act and the proposed amendments.</b></p> <table><tr><th colspan="4">Comparison of Current Property Owner and Merchant Act and the Proposed Amendments Section 19-15 of the Code, Maintenance of Business and Commercial Premises.</th></tr><tr><th>Section of Code</th><th>Current Code</th><th>Proposed Amendments <i>Bold refers to proposed amendments.</i></th><th>Notes</th></tr><tr><td>Sec. 19-15.10  <b>Exterior Building Surfaces.</b></td><td><i>Exterior walls, rooftops, and other exterior features of structures shall be maintained free of peeling paint and graffiti.</i></td><td><b>All exterior building walls, rooftops and other structural parts including fascia, soffits and balconies shall be maintained in a manner, free of chipping, rotting, pitting, cracking, graffiti, and peeling. All exterior surfaces subject to deterioration shall be properly maintained and protected from the elements by paint or other approved protective coating or treatment applied in a workmanlike fashion. All cornices, trim, windows and window frames that are damaged, sagging or otherwise deteriorated shall be repaired or replaced and all exposed materials shall be properly maintained and protected from the elements by paint, or other protective treatment or coating applied in a workmanlike fashion.</b></td><td><i>Expands the provisions for the maintenance of building surfaces.</i></td></tr><tr><td>Sec. 19-15.11  <b>Maintenance of Masonry Walls, Fences, Landscape Buffers and Entrance Features.</b></td><td><i>Masonry walls, fences, landscape buffers, and entrance features shall be maintained in accordance with County Code and zoning site plans. Masonry walls, fences and entrance features shall be maintained in working order and shall be free from structural deterioration, sagging, disrepair, or other deterioration or defects. Walls and fences shall be painted and maintained free from peeling paint and graffiti.</i></td><td><i>Masonry walls, fences, landscape buffers, and entrance features shall be maintained in accordance with County Code and zoning site plans. Masonry walls, fences and entrance features shall be maintained in working order and shall be free from structural deterioration, sagging, disrepair, or other deterioration or defects. <b>Walls and fences shall be maintained in a manner free of chipping, pitting, cracking, rotting, graffiti or peeling. Such walls and fences shall be protected from the elements by paint or other protective treatment or coating applied in a workmanlike fashion.</b></i></td><td><i>Modifies provisions for maintenance of walls and fences.</i></td></tr></table>	Comparison of Current Property Owner and Merchant Act and the Proposed Amendments Section 19-15 of the Code, Maintenance of Business and Commercial Premises.				Section of Code	Current Code	Proposed Amendments <i>Bold refers to proposed amendments.</i>	Notes	Sec. 19-15.10  <b>Exterior Building Surfaces.</b>	<i>Exterior walls, rooftops, and other exterior features of structures shall be maintained free of peeling paint and graffiti.</i>	<b>All exterior building walls, rooftops and other structural parts including fascia, soffits and balconies shall be maintained in a manner, free of chipping, rotting, pitting, cracking, graffiti, and peeling. All exterior surfaces subject to deterioration shall be properly maintained and protected from the elements by paint or other approved protective coating or treatment applied in a workmanlike fashion. All cornices, trim, windows and window frames that are damaged, sagging or otherwise deteriorated shall be repaired or replaced and all exposed materials shall be properly maintained and protected from the elements by paint, or other protective treatment or coating applied in a workmanlike fashion.</b>	<i>Expands the provisions for the maintenance of building surfaces.</i>	Sec. 19-15.11  <b>Maintenance of Masonry Walls, Fences, Landscape Buffers and Entrance Features.</b>	<i>Masonry walls, fences, landscape buffers, and entrance features shall be maintained in accordance with County Code and zoning site plans. Masonry walls, fences and entrance features shall be maintained in working order and shall be free from structural deterioration, sagging, disrepair, or other deterioration or defects. Walls and fences shall be painted and maintained free from peeling paint and graffiti.</i>	<i>Masonry walls, fences, landscape buffers, and entrance features shall be maintained in accordance with County Code and zoning site plans. Masonry walls, fences and entrance features shall be maintained in working order and shall be free from structural deterioration, sagging, disrepair, or other deterioration or defects. <b>Walls and fences shall be maintained in a manner free of chipping, pitting, cracking, rotting, graffiti or peeling. Such walls and fences shall be protected from the elements by paint or other protective treatment or coating applied in a workmanlike fashion.</b></i>	<i>Modifies provisions for maintenance of walls and fences.</i>
Comparison of Current Property Owner and Merchant Act and the Proposed Amendments Section 19-15 of the Code, Maintenance of Business and Commercial Premises.																	
Section of Code	Current Code	Proposed Amendments <i>Bold refers to proposed amendments.</i>	Notes														
Sec. 19-15.10  <b>Exterior Building Surfaces.</b>	<i>Exterior walls, rooftops, and other exterior features of structures shall be maintained free of peeling paint and graffiti.</i>	<b>All exterior building walls, rooftops and other structural parts including fascia, soffits and balconies shall be maintained in a manner, free of chipping, rotting, pitting, cracking, graffiti, and peeling. All exterior surfaces subject to deterioration shall be properly maintained and protected from the elements by paint or other approved protective coating or treatment applied in a workmanlike fashion. All cornices, trim, windows and window frames that are damaged, sagging or otherwise deteriorated shall be repaired or replaced and all exposed materials shall be properly maintained and protected from the elements by paint, or other protective treatment or coating applied in a workmanlike fashion.</b>	<i>Expands the provisions for the maintenance of building surfaces.</i>														
Sec. 19-15.11  <b>Maintenance of Masonry Walls, Fences, Landscape Buffers and Entrance Features.</b>	<i>Masonry walls, fences, landscape buffers, and entrance features shall be maintained in accordance with County Code and zoning site plans. Masonry walls, fences and entrance features shall be maintained in working order and shall be free from structural deterioration, sagging, disrepair, or other deterioration or defects. Walls and fences shall be painted and maintained free from peeling paint and graffiti.</i>	<i>Masonry walls, fences, landscape buffers, and entrance features shall be maintained in accordance with County Code and zoning site plans. Masonry walls, fences and entrance features shall be maintained in working order and shall be free from structural deterioration, sagging, disrepair, or other deterioration or defects. <b>Walls and fences shall be maintained in a manner free of chipping, pitting, cracking, rotting, graffiti or peeling. Such walls and fences shall be protected from the elements by paint or other protective treatment or coating applied in a workmanlike fashion.</b></i>	<i>Modifies provisions for maintenance of walls and fences.</i>														
7G 131729	ORDINANCE RELATING TO INCORPORATION PROCEDURES; PROVIDING THAT A REQUEST OR PETITION FOR INCORPORATION SHALL NOT BE FILED NOR ANY FILED PETITION FOR INCORPORATION BE HEARD, CONSIDERED, OR APPROVED WHERE THE INCORPORATION REQUEST OR PETITION CREATES A NEW ENCLAVE; CREATING SECTION 20-21.2 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE																
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance, relating to incorporation procedures, provides that a request or petition for incorporation will not be filed, nor any filed petition for incorporation be heard, considered, or approved where the incorporation request or petition creates a new enclave. The proposed ordinance creates Section 20-21.2 of the Code of Miami-Dade County (Code).</p> <p>The proposed ordinance would create the following section of the Code: <i>Sec. 20-21.2 Exception to filing and consideration of petition or request for incorporations. Notwithstanding anything in this article to the contrary, no request or petition for incorporation shall be filed, nor shall any filed request or petition for incorporation be heard, considered, or approved pursuant to Section 20-23, by the Board of County Commissioners when the proposed incorporation would create a new enclave.</i></p> <p>The proposed ordinance would preclude consideration of a proposed incorporation that creates a new enclave, so that annexations and incorporations related to the creation of a new enclave are treated the same.</p> <p>Currently, Section 20-3.1 of the Code precludes the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) from considering an annexation application that creates a new enclave.</p>																
7H	ORDINANCE RELATING TO ZONING: MODIFYING PROCEDURES FOR AMENDING URBAN CENTER DISTRICT REGULATING PLANS: CREATING																



**Board of County Commissioners  
December 3, 2013 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
<b>131969</b>	SECTION 33-284.89.3 AND AMENDING SECTION 33-314 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA ("CODE"); PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE(Regulatory and Economic Resources)
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed ordinance relating to Zoning, creates Section 33-284.89.3 and amends Section 33-314 of the Code of Miami-Dade County (Code), modifying procedures for amending Urban Center District regulating plans.</p> <p><u>Background</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>On July 7, 2005, under Ordinance No. 05-143, the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) established Article XXXIII(K) of the Zoning Code, the County's Standard Urban Center District Regulations. The Standard Urban Center District provides the regulatory framework that guides the development within the Comprehensive Development Master Plan (CDMP) designated urban centers and mixed-use corridors and that also constitutes the modern, form-based portion of the County's Zoning Code.</li> <li>The adoption of Standard Urban Center District (SUCCO) Regulations has been followed by the BCC's establishment of the area-specific urban center districts which include those addressing the following areas: Cutler Ridge, Goulds, Leisure City, Model City, Naranja, North Central, Ojus, Perrine, and Princeton.</li> <li><b>Currently, to establish an urban center or urban area district, a two-step process is used.</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>First, the BCC adopts an ordinance, through its legislative process, that sets the boundaries of the urban center, the land use plan designating the uses permitted on each property, and other regulating plans and regulations applicable to that urban center district.</li> <li>Secondly, the BCC holds a quasi-judicial zoning hearing on a district boundary change, to rezone each of the underlying properties to the urban center district. Upon the rezoning there is no formal process for an individual property owner or staff to change the land use category or other regulating plan of the urban center or urban area district.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p><i>The proposed ordinance will establish a one-step process that property owners and staff can utilize to initiate a change in land use category or other regulating plan for properties located in an urban center or urban area district. This new process will be quasi-judicial and require the submittal of a zoning application with the BCC having direct jurisdiction to hear such applications.</i></p>
<b>7I 131960</b>	ORDINANCE RELATING TO ZONING; AUTHORIZING AGRICULTURAL USES ON LOTS LESS THAN FIVE ACRES UNDER CERTAIN CIRCUMSTANCES IN THE AU (AGRICULTURAL) ZONING; AMENDING SECTIONS 33-280, 28-4, AND 8CC-10 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE(Regulatory and Economic Resources)
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed ordinance relating to Zoning; amends Sections 33-280, 28-4, and 8CC-10 of the Code of Miami-Dade County (Code), authorizing agricultural uses on lots less than five acres under certain circumstances in the AU (agricultural) zoning.</p> <p>The proposed ordinance allows non-residential agricultural uses on lots less than five (5) acres, provided that the lot is:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Located outside the Urban Development Boundary (UDB);</li> <li>Has been created by recorded warranty deed ; and</li> <li>Has a restrictive covenant recorded by the property owner that discloses that the deed and the property is solely for non-residential agricultural uses.</li> </ul> <p>The proposed ordinance is consistent with the Comprehensive Development Master Plan (CDMP) as it does not limit the size of a lot in the Agriculture land use category when the lot is to be used for non-residential agricultural uses.</p>
<b>7J 131959</b>	ORDINANCE RELATING TO ZONING; CREATING SECTION 33-279.2 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA TO PROVIDE FOR AGRICULTURAL USES IN PROPERTIES OUTSIDE THE URBAN DEVELOPMENT BOUNDARY THAT ARE DESIGNATED AGRICULTURE BY THE COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT MASTER PLAN BUT ARE NOT ZONED AU, AGRICULTURAL DISTRICT; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE(Regulatory and Economic Resources)
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed ordinance relating to Zoning; creates Section 33-279.2 of the Code of Miami-Dade County (Code), providing for agricultural uses in properties outside the Urban Development Boundary (UDB) that are designated agriculture by the Comprehensive Development Master Plan, but are not zoned AU, agricultural district.</p> <p><b>Background</b></p> <p>Approximately 2,231 acres located outside the UDB and designated Agriculture on the LUP map are zoned Estate (EU), Residential (RU), Business (BU) or Industrial (IU). These properties cannot establish agricultural uses based on their underlying zoning district even though their LUP map designation is Agriculture. This proposed ordinance seeks to permit agricultural uses on such properties.</p> <p>Properties establishing any agricultural use must meet the minimum lot size and setback requirements of the underlying zoning district; <b>however, they will be exempt from the underlying zoning districts landscaping and lot coverage requirements.</b></p>
<b>8A1 132127</b>	RESOLUTION APPROVING AWARD OF A NON-EXCLUSIVE LEASE AND CONCESSION AGREEMENT, RETAIL CONCESSIONS PROGRAM 2012, PACKAGE 1, RFP NO. MDAD-07-12, TO MASTER CONCESSIONAIR, LLC, WITH A MINIMUM ANNUAL GUARANTEE OF \$631,218.00, AND FOR A TERM OF EIGHT (8) YEARS, WITH A TWO (2) YEAR OPTION TO RENEW; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE THE AGREEMENT AND TO EXERCISE RENEWAL AND TERMINATION PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN(Aviation Department)
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution approves the award of a Non-Exclusive Lease and Concession Agreement for the Retail Concessions Program 2012, Package 1 (nationally branded chicken franchise and two "open" nationally branded franchises), to Master ConcessionAir, LLC for a term of eight (8) years. The term may be extended for two (2) years if mutually agreed to in writing by both parties.</p> <p><b>Fiscal Impact</b></p> <p>The concessionaire will pay the Miami-Dade Aviation Department (MDAD) the greater of 13% of gross revenues or the Minimum Monthly Guarantee. The Minimum Annual Guarantee (MAG) submitted by Master ConcessionAir, LLC, is \$631,218.00.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 3, 2013 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><b>Background</b></p> <p>The Request for Proposals (RFP) was advertised on November 9, 2012, for qualified firms to submit proposals to operate a food and beverage location with three (3) concepts at MIA consisting of a nationally branded fried chicken and two “open” nationally branded offerings. The restaurants are to be located pre-security in the North Terminal at Checkpoint Four (4).</p> <p>The Selection Committee met July 9, 2013, to review the proposals submitted by the three (3) responding companies on Package 1 -- Beyond Expectations Carmen Morris Concessions, LLC; Sun Concessions, LLC; and Master ConcessionAir, LLC. The County Attorney’s Office found Sun Concessions non-responsive.</p> <p>The Committee met August 13, 2013, to hear oral presentations from the two (2) remaining proposers for Package 1. The concepts provided by Master ConcessionAir, LLC, were Kentucky Fried Chicken, Taco Bell, and Pizza Hut. Beyond Expectations proposed with Popeyes, Bennigan’s, and Steak ‘n Shake.</p> <p>At the conclusion of the presentations, the price scores were calculated and committee members rated the proposers based on the RFP selection criteria. The results were:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Master ConcessionAir, LLC received an overall score of 4,645 ranking in at first place. <i>This firm received higher technical points.</i></li> <li>• Beyond Expectations Carmen Morris Concessions, LLC received an overall score of 4,260 ranking second place. <b>However, this firm received higher price points. They offered a price of \$708,000 which is \$76,782 more than the first ranked firm.</b></li> </ul>
<p><b>8A2 132129</b></p> <p><b>8A3 132130</b></p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND H.J. ROSS ASSOCIATES, INC., FOR AVIATION PLANNING CONSULTANT SERVICES FOR THE COUNTY’S SYSTEM OF PUBLIC USE AIRPORTS, PROJECT NO. E13-MDAD-01; IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$825,000; AND AUTHORIZING COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE THE AGREEMENT AND TO EXERCISE THE TERMINATION PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN(Aviation Department)</p> <p>RESOLUTION APPROVING PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND RICONDO &amp; ASSOCIATES, INC., FOR AVIATION PLANNING CONSULTANT SERVICES FOR THE COUNTY’S SYSTEM OF PUBLIC USE AIRPORTS, PROJECT NO. E13-MDAD-01; IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$825,000; AND AUTHORIZING COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE THE AGREEMENT AND TO EXERCISE THE TERMINATION PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN(Aviation Department)</p>
<p><b>Notes</b></p>	<p><b>8A2</b></p> <p>The proposed resolution approves the award of a Non-Exclusive Professional Services Agreement, for a five year term, to H.J. Ross Associates, Inc. for Aviation Planning Consultant Services in the amount of \$825,000.00, and authorizes the Mayor or designee to execute the agreement for and on behalf of the County. Services performed under this Agreement will be work-order driven.</p> <p><b>8A3</b></p> <p>The proposed resolution approves the award of a Non-Exclusive Professional Services Agreement, for a five year term, to Ricondo &amp; Associates, Inc. for Aviation Planning Consultant Services in the amount of \$825,000.00, and authorizes the Mayor or designee to execute the agreement for and on behalf of the County. Services performed under this Agreement will be work-order driven.</p> <p><b>Project Description</b></p> <p>The scope of services includes providing aviation planning services which may include but are not limited to airfield, airspace and terminal planning; aircraft and airport operational analysis; master, regional and strategic planning; Aviation Layout Plan (ALP) development and support; Capital Improvement Program (CIP) and Joint Automated CIP development and support; airspace analysis; zoning airport planning technology applications; operations of planning models, economic impact analysis, and other activities normally associated with planning at large commercial and general aviation airports.</p> <p><b>Background</b></p> <p>The Miami-Dade Aviation Department Planning Division needs to maintain contracts with aviation planning firms to support the work of the Division. The support of the planning consultant is needed in developing reports, analysis and planning studies on short notice, typically ranging in duration of 30 to 90 days and requiring the mobilization on the average of 200 to 400 man hours, which internal professional staff capabilities, existing responsibilities, and workload do not permit. Average service order amounts range from \$80,000.00 to \$90,000.00 and are for expedited requirements driven by stakeholder requests, regulatory mandates, compliance requirements, and/or or an operational or market need.</p> <p>In accordance with Chapter 287.055 of the Florida Statutes and Chapter 2-10.4 of the Code of Miami-Dade County, both of which govern the certification, selection, and negotiation procedures, the Selection Committee held a First Tier meeting where the following four (4) firms who submitted proposals were determined to have met the qualification requirements: Ricondo &amp; Associates, Inc.; Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.; URS Corporation Southern; and H.J. Ross Associates, Inc. The Committee waived the Second Tier phase and ranked the firms as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ricondo &amp; Associates, Inc.: Qualitative Points – 479; Ordinal Score – 3; Final Ranking – 1</li> <li>• H.J. Ross Associates, Inc.: Qualitative Points – 448; Ordinal Score – 6; Final Ranking – 2</li> <li>• URS Corporation Southern: Qualitative Points – 430; Ordinal Score – 9; Final Ranking – 3</li> <li>• Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.: Qualitative Points – 403; Ordinal Score – 12; Final Ranking – 4</li> </ul>

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 3, 2013 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes						
	<p>The Mayor approved the Committee recommendation and appointed a Negotiation Committee for the purpose of negotiating two (2) Agreements for the subject services. The Negotiation Committee successfully negotiated with the two (2) top ranked firms listed above.</p> <p><b>8A2</b>  SBD ASSIGNED CONTRACT MEASURES: CBE/AE 15% \$110,859.00  CONTRACT MEASURES ACHIEVED AT AWARD: 16% (\$118,250)  CBE SUBCONSULTANTS:  Nova Consulting, Inc. 8% (\$59,125.00)  Nifah &amp; Partners Consulting Engineers, Inc. 8% (\$59,125.00)</p> <p><b>8A3</b>  SBD ASSIGNED CONTRACT MEASURES: CBE/AE 15% \$110,859.00  CONTRACT MEASURES ACHIEVED AT AWARD: 15% (\$110,859.00)  CBE SUBCONSULTANTS:  M.C. Harry &amp; Associates, Inc. 7.5% (\$55,430.00)  F.R. Aleman &amp; Associates, Inc. 7.5% (\$55,430.00)</p>						
<b>8C1 132040</b>	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE FUNDING OF THIRTY-SIX (36) GRANTS FOR A TOTAL OF \$452,900.00 FROM THE FY 2013-2014 FIRST QUARTER TOURIST DEVELOPMENT ROOM TAX PLAN AND SURTAX CATEGORY TO PROMOTE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY TOURISM WITH: ACTORS' PLAYHOUSE PRODUCTIONS, INC.; AMERICAN SOCIETY OF TRAVEL AGENTS; BAYFRONT PARK MANAGEMENT TRUST; CITY OF SUNNY ISLES BEACH; CLASSICAL SOUTH FLORIDA, INC.; COMMUNITY ARTS AND CULTURE, INC. A/F/A MOKSHA FAMILY ARTS COLLECTIVE; CORAL GABLES CONGREGATIONAL CHURCH (UNITED CHURCH OF CHRIST), INC.; EXPONICA INTERNATIONAL, INC.; FLORIDA GRAND OPERA, INC.; FLORIDA INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY BOARD OF TRUSTEES, FOR THE BENEFIT OF THE PATRICIA &amp; PHILLIP FROST ART MUSEUM; FLORIDA INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY BOARD OF TRUSTEES, FOR THE BENEFIT OF WOMEN BASKETBALL; FLORIDA INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY BOARD OF TRUSTEES, FOR THE BENEFIT OF JEWISH MUSEUM OF FLORIDA, FLORIDA INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY BOARD OF TRUSTEES, FOR THE BENEFIT OF INSTITUTE FOR PUBLIC MANAGEMENT AND COMMUNITY SERVICE; GREATER SOUTH DADE/SOUTH MIAMI/KENDALL CHAMBER OF COMMERCE D/B/A CHAMBER SOUTH; IRREVERSIBLE: AN INTERNATIONAL ART PROJECT, INC.; ITALIAN FILM FESTIVAL, INC.; MDGLCC FOUNDATION; MIAMI BEACH CHAMBER OF COMMERCE; MIAMI BEACH LATIN CHAMBER OF COMMERCE, INC.; MIAMI BOOK FAIR INTERNATIONAL, INC.; MIAMI CENTER FOR ARCHITECTURE AND DESIGN, INC.; MIAMI NICE JAZZ FESTIVAL; MIAMI SHORT FILM FESTIVAL, INC.; MIAMI-DADE COUNTY DAYS, INC.; MUSEUM OF CONTEMPORARY ART, INC.; OCEAN PROMOTIONS &amp; EVENTS, LLC; OLYMPIA CENTER, INC.; PARKS FOUNDATION OF MIAMI-DADE, INC.; SERAPHIC FIRE, INC.; TEATRO EN MIAMI CORP; THE DANCE NOW! ENSEMBLE, INC.; THE DAVE AND MARY ALPER JEWISH COMMUNITY CENTER, INC.; THE KEY BISCAINE CHAMBER OF COMMERCE; TIGERTAIL PRODUCTIONS, INC.; TROPICAL EVERGLADES VISITOR ASSOCIATION, INC.; UNIVERSITY OF MIAMI, FROST SCHOOL OF MUSIC; WAIVING RESOLUTION R-130-06, AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE GRANT AGREEMENTS AND TO EXERCISE THE CANCELLATION PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN</p>						
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution waives the requirements of Resolution No. 130-06 in order to expedite the allocation of funding support, and approves funding of 36 grants for a total of \$452,900 from the FY 2013-2014 First Quarter meeting of the Tourist Development Council Grants Program-Room Tax Plan and Surtax Category to promote Miami-Dade County tourism by funding tourist-oriented cultural, sporting, television and special event/promotions. Resolution No. 130-06 requires that contracts with non-governmental entities be signed by the other parties before being submitted to the Board of County Commissioners (BCC).</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact</u>  Funding for the Tourist Development Council (TDC) Grants Program comes from the 2% Tourist Development Room Tax Revenue and the 2% Hotel/Motel Food and Beverage Surtax revenues. In addition, the Greater Miami Convention and Visitors Bureau provides \$25,000.00 to the TDC pursuant to a multi-year agreement. Further, a remaining balance of \$183,627.00 in unspent grant funds in FY 2012-13 was carried over and is being appropriated as part of the FY 2013-14 Program.</p> <p><u>TDC Review</u>  The TDC convened on September 23, 2013 to review 37 applications requesting \$486,375.00 for the First Quarter of the program. The TDC recommended funding 36 applicants for a total of \$452,900.00.</p> <p>The TDC specifically evaluated each applicant organization based on the following competitive review criteria: 1) tourism impact/marketing plan; 2) quality and track record of the organization and its event; 3) event coordination and management; 4) fiscal feasibility and accountability; and 5) efforts to comply with and incorporate the American with Disabilities Act (ADA) into projects.</p> <p><b>Additional Information</b></p> <table border="1" data-bbox="272 1717 1482 1900"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2" data-bbox="272 1717 418 1801">FY 2012-13 TDC Grants Program Allocations</th></tr> <tr> <th data-bbox="272 1801 418 1837">Date and Reso No.</th><th data-bbox="418 1801 1482 1837">Legislation</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="272 1837 418 1900">Jan. 23, 2013 R-14-13</td><td data-bbox="418 1837 1482 1900"> <u>FY 2012-13 First Quarter:</u>  Under this resolution, the BCC waived the requirements of Resolution No. 130-06, and approved the funding of thirty-two (32) grants for a total of \$411,500 from the FY 2012-2013 First Quarter meeting of the TDC Grants Program-Room Tax Plan and Surtax Category to promote Miami-Dade County tourism by funding tourist-oriented cultural, sporting, </td></tr> </tbody> </table>	FY 2012-13 TDC Grants Program Allocations		Date and Reso No.	Legislation	Jan. 23, 2013 R-14-13	<u>FY 2012-13 First Quarter:</u> Under this resolution, the BCC waived the requirements of Resolution No. 130-06, and approved the funding of thirty-two (32) grants for a total of \$411,500 from the FY 2012-2013 First Quarter meeting of the TDC Grants Program-Room Tax Plan and Surtax Category to promote Miami-Dade County tourism by funding tourist-oriented cultural, sporting,
FY 2012-13 TDC Grants Program Allocations							
Date and Reso No.	Legislation						
Jan. 23, 2013 R-14-13	<u>FY 2012-13 First Quarter:</u> Under this resolution, the BCC waived the requirements of Resolution No. 130-06, and approved the funding of thirty-two (32) grants for a total of \$411,500 from the FY 2012-2013 First Quarter meeting of the TDC Grants Program-Room Tax Plan and Surtax Category to promote Miami-Dade County tourism by funding tourist-oriented cultural, sporting,						

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 3, 2013 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes						
	<p>television and special event/promotions.</p> <p>At the December 10, 2012 Recreation and Cultural Affairs Committee meeting, the FY 2012-13 TDC grant recommendation for the first quarter was amended to remove and not authorize the grant in the amount of \$14,400 to Florida International University for the benefit of the Institute of Public Management. Resolution No. 14-13 reflects the amendment.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="272 401 1484 793"> <tr> <td data-bbox="272 401 418 527">April 2, 2013 R-223-13</td><td data-bbox="418 401 1484 527"> <u>FY 2012-13 Second Quarter:</u>  Under this resolution, the BCC waived the requirements of Resolution No. 130-06, and approved the funding of twenty-five (25) grants for a total of \$301,350 from the FY 2012-13 Second Quarter meeting for the TDC Grants Program - Room Tax Plan and Surtax Category to promote Miami-Dade County tourism by funding tourist-oriented cultural, sporting, television and special event/promotions. </td></tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="272 527 418 653">June 4, 2013 R-416-13</td><td data-bbox="418 527 1484 653"> <u>FY 2012-13 Third Quarter:</u>  Under this resolution, the BCC waived the requirements of Resolution No. 130-06, and approved funding of twenty-three (23) grants for a total of \$181,000 from the FY 2012-13 Third Quarter meeting of the TDC Grants Program-Room Tax Plan and Surtax Category to promote Miami-Dade County tourism by funding tourist-oriented cultural, sporting, television and special event/promotions. </td></tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="272 653 418 793">Sept. 4, 2013 R-679-13</td><td data-bbox="418 653 1484 793"> <u>FY 2012-13 Fourth Quarter:</u>  Under the proposed resolution, the BCC waived the requirements of Resolution No. 130-06, and approved funding of nineteen (19) grants for a total of \$218,000 from the FY 2012-2013 Fourth Quarter meeting of the TDC Grants Program-Room Tax Plan and Surtax Category to promote Miami-Dade County tourism by funding tourist-oriented cultural, sporting, television and special event/promotions. </td></tr> </table>	April 2, 2013 R-223-13	<u>FY 2012-13 Second Quarter:</u> Under this resolution, the BCC waived the requirements of Resolution No. 130-06, and approved the funding of twenty-five (25) grants for a total of \$301,350 from the FY 2012-13 Second Quarter meeting for the TDC Grants Program - Room Tax Plan and Surtax Category to promote Miami-Dade County tourism by funding tourist-oriented cultural, sporting, television and special event/promotions.	June 4, 2013 R-416-13	<u>FY 2012-13 Third Quarter:</u> Under this resolution, the BCC waived the requirements of Resolution No. 130-06, and approved funding of twenty-three (23) grants for a total of \$181,000 from the FY 2012-13 Third Quarter meeting of the TDC Grants Program-Room Tax Plan and Surtax Category to promote Miami-Dade County tourism by funding tourist-oriented cultural, sporting, television and special event/promotions.	Sept. 4, 2013 R-679-13	<u>FY 2012-13 Fourth Quarter:</u> Under the proposed resolution, the BCC waived the requirements of Resolution No. 130-06, and approved funding of nineteen (19) grants for a total of \$218,000 from the FY 2012-2013 Fourth Quarter meeting of the TDC Grants Program-Room Tax Plan and Surtax Category to promote Miami-Dade County tourism by funding tourist-oriented cultural, sporting, television and special event/promotions.
April 2, 2013 R-223-13	<u>FY 2012-13 Second Quarter:</u> Under this resolution, the BCC waived the requirements of Resolution No. 130-06, and approved the funding of twenty-five (25) grants for a total of \$301,350 from the FY 2012-13 Second Quarter meeting for the TDC Grants Program - Room Tax Plan and Surtax Category to promote Miami-Dade County tourism by funding tourist-oriented cultural, sporting, television and special event/promotions.						
June 4, 2013 R-416-13	<u>FY 2012-13 Third Quarter:</u> Under this resolution, the BCC waived the requirements of Resolution No. 130-06, and approved funding of twenty-three (23) grants for a total of \$181,000 from the FY 2012-13 Third Quarter meeting of the TDC Grants Program-Room Tax Plan and Surtax Category to promote Miami-Dade County tourism by funding tourist-oriented cultural, sporting, television and special event/promotions.						
Sept. 4, 2013 R-679-13	<u>FY 2012-13 Fourth Quarter:</u> Under the proposed resolution, the BCC waived the requirements of Resolution No. 130-06, and approved funding of nineteen (19) grants for a total of \$218,000 from the FY 2012-2013 Fourth Quarter meeting of the TDC Grants Program-Room Tax Plan and Surtax Category to promote Miami-Dade County tourism by funding tourist-oriented cultural, sporting, television and special event/promotions.						
<p><b>8D1 132147</b></p> <p><b>8D2 132150</b></p>	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ISSUANCE FROM TIME TO TIME OF NOT TO EXCEED \$29,545,000.00 MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA SPECIAL OBLIGATION COURT FACILITIES BONDS, SERIES 2014B, PURSUANT TO CERTAIN AUTHORIZING ORDINANCE TO PAY COSTS OF COMPLETION OF JUVENILE COURTHOUSE PROJECT, FUND RESERVE FUND, IF NECESSARY, AND PAY COSTS OF ISSUANCE; PROVIDING THAT PRINCIPAL OF, PREMIUM, IF ANY, AND INTEREST ON BONDS SHALL BE PAYABLE SOLELY FROM PLEDGED REVENUES; APPROVING COVENANT TO BUDGET AND APPROPRIATE AS ADDITIONAL SECURITY FOR BONDS; ESTABLISHING CERTAIN GENERAL TERMS, SECURITY, RIGHTS OF BONDHOLDERS, COVENANTS AND OTHER PROVISIONS OF SERIES 2014B BONDS; CREATING CERTAIN FUNDS AND ACCOUNTS; PROVIDING CERTAIN DETAILS OF SERIES 2014B BONDS; AUTHORIZING PUBLIC SALE OF BONDS BY COMPETITIVE BID; AUTHORIZING COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE, WITHIN CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND RESTRICTIONS, TO FINALIZE DETAILS, TERMS AND OTHER PROVISIONS OF SERIES 2014B BONDS, INCLUDING ACCEPTANCE OF BID; APPROVING FORM AND USE OF OFFICIAL NOTICE OF SALE, PRELIMINARY OFFICIAL STATEMENT AND FINAL OFFICIAL STATEMENT; APPROVING FORMS AND AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF CERTAIN DOCUMENTS; AUTHORIZING COUNTY OFFICIALS TO DO ALL THINGS DEEMED NECESSARY IN CONNECTION WITH ISSUANCE, SALE, EXECUTION AND DELIVERY OF SERIES 2014B BONDS; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY AND EFFECTIVE DATE [SEE AGENDA ITEM NO. 8D2]</p> <p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ISSUANCE OF NOT TO EXCEED \$20,000,000.00 MIAMIDADE COUNTY, FLORIDA SPECIAL OBLIGATION COURT FACILITIES REFUNDING BONDS, SERIES 2014A, PURSUANT TO CERTAIN AUTHORIZING ORDINANCE TO REFUND CERTAIN COUNTY SPECIAL OBLIGATION BONDS (COURTHOUSE CENTER PROJECT), FUND RESERVE FUND, AND PAY COSTS OF ISSUANCE; MAKING CERTAIN FINDINGS TO SUPPORT SUCH REFUNDING WITH ESTIMATED NET PRESENT VALUE SAVINGS IN EXCESS OF 5%, ESTIMATED COSTS OF ISSUANCE OF \$179,000.00 AND ESTIMATED FINAL MATURITY OF APRIL 1, 2020; APPROVING COVENANT TO BUDGET AND APPROPRIATE AS ADDITIONAL SECURITY FOR BONDS; PROVIDING CERTAIN DETAILS OF BONDS; AUTHORIZING PUBLIC SALE OF BONDS BY COMPETITIVE BID; AUTHORIZING COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE, WITHIN CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND RESTRICTIONS, TO FINALIZE DETAILS, TERMS AND OTHER PROVISIONS OF BONDS, INCLUDING ACCEPTANCE OF BID; APPROVING FORM AND USE OF OFFICIAL NOTICE OF SALE, PRELIMINARY OFFICIAL STATEMENT AND FINAL OFFICIAL STATEMENT; APPROVING FORMS AND AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF CERTAIN OTHER DOCUMENTS; PROVIDING CERTAIN COVENANTS; AUTHORIZING COUNTY OFFICIALS TO DO ALL THINGS DEEMED NECESSARY IN CONNECTION WITH ISSUANCE, SALE, EXECUTION AND DELIVERY OF BONDS; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY AND EFFECTIVE DATE [SEE AGENDA ITEM NO. 8D2]</p>						
<p><b>Notes</b></p>	<p><u>Agenda Item 8D1 - Series 2014B Bonds</u>  The proposed resolution authorizes the issuance of Miami-Dade County, Florida Special Obligation Court Facilities Bonds, Series 2014B (Series 2014B Bonds) in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$29,545,000.</p> <p>The Series 2014B Bonds will be issued pursuant to Ordinance 02-172, enacted by the Board on September 24, 2002, which authorized up to \$120 million for the construction of a Juvenile Courthouse (also known as the Children's Courthouse). Bond proceeds together with a bond premium, if any, and other funds on deposit with the County (Traffic Surcharge revenues) will fund and reimburse the County for funds spent in advance to complete the Children's Courthouse as well as to fund a debt service reserve and issuance costs including underwriter's discount.</p> <p><u>Agenda Item 8D2 - Series 2014A Refunding Bonds</u>  The proposed resolution approves the accompanying resolution (Series 2014A Resolution) which authorizes the issuance of Miami-Dade County, Florida Special Obligation Court Facilities Refunding Bonds, Series 2014A (Series 2014A Refunding Bonds) in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$20,000,000 with a debt service savings in excess of five percent.</p> <p>The Series 2014A Refunding Bonds will be issued pursuant to Ordinance 94-98 as amended. Bond proceeds together with a bond premium, if any, and other funds on deposit with the County (Traffic Surcharge revenues) will refund a portion of both the outstanding Miami-Dade</p>						

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 3, 2013 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>County, Florida Special Obligation (Courthouse Center Project), Series 1998A and the Miami-Dade County, Florida Special Obligation Refunding Bonds, (Courthouse Center Project) Series 1998B (collectively, the Series 1998 Bonds) as well as funding estimated costs of issuance (\$179,000), underwriters discount (\$269,000) and a debt service reserve (\$1,248,000).</p> <p>The Series 1998 Bonds to be refunded were originally issued to acquire and build-out the Courthouse Center located at 175 NW 1 Avenue, Miami, Florida in District 5.</p> <p><u>Series 2014B Bonds</u> The proposed Series 2014B Bonds are to be paid by the \$30 Traffic Surcharge. The Series 2014B Bonds will also be secured by an existing secondary pledge, a covenant to budget and appropriate of the County's legally available non-ad valorem revenues (Secondary Pledge) as authorized under Ordinance No. 04-117, enacted by the Board on June 8, 2004.</p> <p><u>Series 2014A Refunding Bonds</u> The proposed Series 2014A Refunding Bonds are to be secured by, and annual debt service will be paid by the \$30 Traffic Surcharge. The Series 2014A Refunding Bonds will also be secured by an existing secondary pledge, a covenant to budget and appropriate of the County's legally available non-ad valorem revenues (Secondary Pledge) as authorized under Ordinance No. 04-117.</p> <p><b>Background</b> On July 2, 2013, the Board enacted Ordinance 63-13 and approved Resolution R-511-13, which collectively authorized the issuance of \$150 million of Miami-Dade County Special Obligation Court Facilities Bonds secured by the \$30 Traffic Surcharge without the Secondary Pledge. This required all outstanding special obligation debt relating to the Courthouse Center Project and the Children's Courthouse Project to be refunded in addition to issuing new money bonds to complete the Children's Courthouse Project. After a preliminary review with two of the major rating agencies, the indicative ratings determined that while Traffic Surcharge revenues are sufficient to fund aggregate projected debt service, the resulting underlying credit rating that would be assigned would have increased the cost of borrowing for the proposed refunding and new money transaction.</p> <p>The County has a legal obligation to complete funding of the Children's Courthouse in the amount of \$30,343,778.</p> <p><u>The Children's Courthouse</u> The Prior Bonds financed all or a portion of (i) the Children's Courthouse located at 155 NW 3 Street which will provide court facilities for the Juvenile Division of the 11th Judicial Circuit and related agencies; and (ii) the Courthouse Center located at 175 NW 1 Avenue, which provides court facilities for the Family Division of the 11th Judicial Circuit and related agencies.</p> <p>The Children's Courthouse requires completion funds of approximately \$30.4 million due to expansion of the original design scope in 2009 in order to house all juvenile court-related agencies in one court facility. At the time the Board adopted Ordinance 09-72 increasing the Traffic Surcharge from \$15 to \$30, the Board expressed its intent that the additional revenue be used for the Children's Courthouse. The expanded scope was included in the construction contract award.</p>
<b>8E1 132230</b>	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF AN INTER-LOCAL AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND PALM BEACH COUNTY, THROUGH THE MIAMI-DADE FIRE RESCUE DEPARTMENT, FOR INTEROPERABLE COMMUNICATIONS AMONG PUBLIC SAFETY AND GENERAL GOVERNMENT AGENCIES; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE THE AGREEMENT, AMENDMENTS, RENEWALS, EXTENSIONS, AND ANY CANCELLATION PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN(Miami-Dade Fire and Rescue Department)
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the Mayor or Mayor's designee to enter into an inter-local agreement, through its department, Miami-Dade Fire Rescue, with Palm Beach County for interoperable communications among public safety and general government agencies.</p> <p>Inter-local agreements secured through this authorization will have no fiscal impact.</p> <p>As the largest fire department in the State of Florida, Miami-Dade Fire Rescue may be asked to support operations at other fire departments across jurisdictional lines. The Agreement provides radio interoperability between all parties and sets forth the parameters under which Miami-Dade County will have access to the Common Talk Groups established on the Palm Beach County system to provide interoperable communications among public safety and general government agencies. This agreement also identifies the conditions of use of the parties to participate in the operational decisions relating to the use of the Common Talk Groups. Broward and Monroe County Sheriff's offices are currently parties to the Common Talk Groups.</p>
<b>8F1 132012</b>	RESOLUTION DECLARING SURPLUS VACANT COUNTY-OWNED PROPERTY LOCATED BETWEEN PERIMETER ROAD AND STATE ROAD 836; AUTHORIZING A PRIVATE SALE TO PERIMETER ROAD MANAGEMENT, LLC IN ACCORDANCE WITH FLORIDA STATUTE 125.35(2) FOR NO LESS THAN \$7,252, AND AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF A COUNTY DEED FOR SUCH PURPOSE(Internal Services)
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the following actions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Declares as surplus a 1,813 square foot County-owned property located between Perimeter Road and SR 836 (Folio No. 30-3035-000-0193); and</li> <li>Authorizes the private sale to Perimeter Road Management, LLC, the owner of both adjacent properties, for no less than \$7,252.</li> </ul> <p><b>Fiscal Impact</b> The sale of this property will eliminate approximately \$90 in annual maintenance costs and will generate an estimated \$135 in annual ad valorem taxes.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 3, 2013 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><b>Background</b> The County acquired this property via Special Warranty Deed on May 9, 1962. It is a strip of land that measures approximately 5 feet in width by 362 feet in length for a total of 1,813 square feet. If approved for surplus, the property will be sold to Perimeter Road Management, LLC, the owner of both adjacent properties for no less than \$7,252, which is equal to \$4 per square foot, and based on the square foot assessed value of the adjacent property.</p> <p>Additionally, the Planning Advisory Board, at their July 1, 2013 meeting, recommended that the property be declared surplus and sold to the adjacent property owner.</p> <p><b>Additional information</b> According to the Property Appraiser's website, the 2013 assessed value for Folio No. 30-3035-000-0193 is \$181.</p>
<b>8F2 132083</b>	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING AWARD OF LEGACY CONTRACT L9763-1/23, STRETCHER MAINTENANCE, SPARE PARTS AND REPAIR SERVICES, TO ERLA, INC. D/B/A EMSAR FLORIDA FOR MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR OF EMERGENCY CONVEYANCE EQUIPMENT IN THE AGGREGATE AMOUNT OF \$900,000.00, AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE AN AGREEMENT FOR AND ON BEHALF OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND TO EXERCISE ANY CANCELLATION AND RENEWAL PROVISIONS, AND TO EXERCISE ALL OTHER RIGHTS CONTAINED THEREIN</p>
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes award of Legacy Contract I9763-1/23, Stretcher Maintenance, Spare Parts and Repair Services, to ERLA, inc. d/b/a EMSAR Florida (EMSAR) for maintenance and repair of Ferno and Stryker emergency conveyance equipment in the aggregate amount of \$900,000.00.</p> <p>Pursuant to Section 2-8.1(b)(2) of the County Code, the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) authorizes Legacy Purchases by a majority vote of the members present.</p> <p><u>Rational for Legacy Contract</u> The Ferno and Stryker emergency conveyance equipment is used by Miami-Dade Fire Rescue (MDFR). The conveyance equipment is vital to patient care during rescue emergencies, and is carried on all operational and spare rescue transport vehicles.</p> <p>In accordance with manufacturer recommendations, Ferno stretchers and Stryker chairs must undergo consistent preventative maintenance in quarterly and annual intervals, respectively, to function at their optimum capacity. EMSAR is the only Ferno distributor that is authorized to sell, warrant and service Ferno stretchers products. In addition, EMSAR is authorized to provide parts, warranty repair and services to Stryker emergency product lines. The County has contracted with EMSAR for services and parts since 1999. It is essential for MDRF to have a single source to manage all conveyance equipment service needs. The proprietary nature of the manufacturer's parts, services and warranties preclude any other vendor, at this time, from providing required ongoing maintenance and repair services.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact</u> The fiscal impact for the initial five-year term is \$450,000. If the County chooses to exercise the one, five-year option-to-renew, the cumulative value will be \$900,000. The existing contract is valued at \$378,000 for 54 months. The proposed contract amount increased slightly based on negotiated contract rates and manufacturer's recommended preventive maintenance schedule.</p>
<b>8F3 132095</b>	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO THE PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND GURRI-MATUTE, P.A. FUNDED BY BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION FUNDS IN THE AMOUNT OF \$307,655.00 FOR THE DESIGN SERVICES FOR THE WYNWOOD/ALLAPATTAH REGIONAL NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICE CENTER DEMOLITION AND RECONSTRUCTION, ISD PROJECT NO: A05-GSA-03 GOB, ISD CONTRACT NO: Z00020; AUTHORIZES A RETROACTIVE EXTENSION OF THIS AGREEMENT; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO MODIFY AMENDMENT NO. 1 AS NEEDED AND TO EXERCISE ANY AND ALL RIGHTS CONFERRED THEREIN(Internal Services)</p>
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes Amendment No. 1 to the Professional Services Agreement between the County and Gurri Matute, P.A. (Gurri) for the Wynwood/Allapattah Regional Neighborhood Service Center Demolition and Reconstruction project. This amendment authorizes an increase in expenditure authority of \$307,655 as well as retroactively extending the expiration date of this contract from September 7, 2013 through March 30, 2018 to coincide with the revised project schedule and warranty period.</p> <p>Design of the New Wynwood/Allapattah Regional Neighborhood Service Center project initially began in May 2009. The project is fully funded and the additional \$307,655 will be used to address various design, project scope, and regulatory changes that have occurred since this project was originally conceived. This project is scheduled to be completed in March 2018.</p> <p><b>Fiscal Impact</b> The total amount of this amendment is \$307,655, which is currently budgeted in the overall project budget. The funding source for this project is the Building Better Communities General Obligation Bond (BBC-GOB) Program and is funded in the FY 2013-14 adopted budget.</p> <p>The total project budget, both for construction and design, is \$15 million, funded entirely from BBC-GOB proceeds.</p> <p><b>Background</b> On December 18, 2007 the Board adopted R-1392-07, which made a significant modification to the project by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Deleting BBC-GOB Project No. 216 (Allapattah) and;</li> <li>• Combining the BBC-GOB allocations for Project No's. 215 (Wynwood Neighborhood Service Center) and 216 (Allapattah</li> </ul>



**Board of County Commissioners  
December 3, 2013 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>Neighborhood Service Center) for a total of \$15 million.</p> <p>This allowed for the construction of one comprehensive social services facility at the current Wynwood Neighborhood Service Center site, instead of two geographically close locations. Gurri had completed the design documents when, in FY2010-11, the project was placed on hold.</p> <p>On August 14, 2013, the Community Action Agency with the Department of Human Services (now CAHS) issued formal approval to ISD to proceed with the project. Consequently, additional services are now required by Gurri in order to complete the design portion of the project. The contract duration time needs to be extended to March 30, 2018, at a cost of \$307,655, in order to address the additional architectural and engineering services required, which include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Design revisions to the Construction Documents required by several new updates to the Florida Building Code, Accessibility Code, and Florida Fire Prevention Code; and</li> <li>• Revisions to the interior and exterior reconfigurations of the facility, such as office space, parking, etc., in order to accommodate the consolidation of the former CAHS.</li> </ul>
<p><b>8F4 132149</b></p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING TERMS OF AND AUTHORIZING EXECUTION BY THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE OF A RETROACTIVE LEASE AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, FOR PREMISES LOCATED AT THE MIAMI BEACH CONVENTION CENTER, 1700 CONVENTION CENTER DRIVE, MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA, TO BE UTILIZED BY COMMISSIONER BRUNO BARREIRO AS A DISTRICT OFFICE, WITH A TOTAL FISCAL IMPACT TO THE COUNTY ESTIMATED TO BE \$6,408.00 FOR THE INITIAL 46-MONTH TERM OF THE LEASE AND THE ADDITIONAL THREE-YEAR RENEWAL OPTION TERM; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE ANY AND ALL OTHER RIGHTS CONFERRED THEREIN(Internal Services)</p>
<p><b>Notes</b></p>	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the execution of a Retroactive Lease Agreement between the County and the City of Miami Beach (Landlord), for Commissioner Bruno A. Barreiro's District Office located at the Miami Beach Convention Center, 1700 Convention Center Drive, Miami Beach, Florida, which is the City of Miami Beach's City Hall (City Hall).</p> <p><b>Fiscal Impact</b> The total fiscal impact for the first year of the initial lease term will be \$1,031 dollars. This amount is comprised of \$1 in annual base rent, \$550 for operating expenses, and \$480 for the security alarm. The total projected fiscal impact for the initial 46 month term of the lease, plus the additional three-year renewal option period will be \$6,408. The funding source is the General Fund.</p> <p><b>Background</b> The City of Miami Beach approved the lease for this space on January 16, 2013 through Resolution No. 2013-28118, with a start date of February 1, 2013. The County only recently received the executed leases from the City of Miami Beach, and, as a result, this item will be retroactive to February 1, 2013.</p>
<p><b>8F5 132151</b></p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING TERMS OF AND AUTHORIZING EXECUTION BY THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE OF A LEASE AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND DADE CORNERS PLAZA, INC., FOR PREMISES LOCATED AT 2780 N.W. 167TH STREET, MIAMI GARDENS, FLORIDA, TO BE UTILIZED BY COMMISSIONER BARBARA J. JORDAN AS A DISTRICT OFFICE, WITH A TOTAL FISCAL IMPACT TO THE COUNTY ESTIMATED TO BE \$550,041 FOR THE INITIAL FOUR-YEAR TERM OF THE LEASE AND THE ADDITIONAL FOUR-YEAR RENEWAL OPTION PERIOD; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE ANY AND ALL OTHER RIGHTS CONFERRED THEREIN(Internal Services)</p>
<p><b>Notes</b></p>	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the execution of a Lease Agreement between the County and Dade Corners Plaza, Inc. (Landlord), for Commissioner Barbara J. Jordan's District Office located at 2780 N.W. 167 Street, Miami Gardens, Florida 33054.</p> <p><b>Fiscal Impact</b> The total fiscal impact for the first year of the initial lease term will be \$65,524. This amount is comprised of \$44,175 in annual base rent (\$16.99 per square foot), \$8,532 for electricity, alarm monitoring, phone and data, \$7,800 for common area maintenance, \$3,250 for janitorial and custodial services, and a \$1,767 lease management fee. The total projected fiscal impact for the initial four-year lease term, plus the additional four-year renewal option term is estimated to be \$550,041. The funding source is the General Fund.</p> <p><b>Background</b> Commissioner Barbara J. Jordan's district office has been at this location since 2005, with the original lease between the Landlord and the County approved by the Board through R-371-05.</p>
<p><b>8F6 132082</b></p>	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF AGREEMENTS WITH INTERCRUISES SHORESIDE &amp; PORT SERVICES, INC.; SMS INTERNATIONAL SHORE OPERATIONS US, INC.; AMERICAN GUARD SERVICES, INC. D/B/A WORLDWIDE SOURCING SOLUTIONS, LLC; AND AMERICA'S GATEWAY TRANSPORTATION, INC., TO ESTABLISH A POOL OF CONTRACTS TO OBTAIN PIER CHECK-IN SERVICES FOR VARIOUS CRUISE LINES AT PORTMIAMI ON AN AS NEEDED BASIS FOR A TOTAL ESTIMATED COST OF \$21,000,000.00 IF THE OPTION PERIODS ARE EXERCISED, SUCH COST TO BE PAID TO THE COUNTY BY THE CRUISE LINES; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE CONTRACTS FOR AND ON BEHALF OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND TO EXERCISE ANY CANCELLATION AND RENEWAL PROVISIONS, AND TO EXERCISE ALL OTHER RIGHTS CONTAINED THEREIN CONTRACT NOS. RFQ879A; RFQ879B; RFQ879C; AND RFQ879D(Internal Services)</p>
<p><b>Notes</b></p>	<p>The proposed resolution approves the establishment of Contract No. 869, Pier Check-In Services Pool for PortMiami. The County will offer, through the pool of recommended vendors, services to cruise lines that include providing embarkation and debarkation staff to process passengers, coordination with governmental agencies, processing of passengers for boarding, and producing turnaround reports and passenger manifests. When the need for the services becomes available, a competitive Work Order Proposal Request will be issued to all pool members.</p>



**Board of County Commissioners  
December 3, 2013 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes															
	<p>In January 2009, Norwegian Cruise Line (NCL) and the County entered into a Cruise Terminal Agreement (CTA) through Resolution R-1442-08. This agreement set forth the terms and conditions under which NCL would homeport its vessels at PortMiami. In April 2012, the Board approved Resolution R-295-12, which authorized the execution of an Amendment to the CTA, which extended the term of the CTA, increased passenger guarantees, and provided incentives for NCL to homeport its Norwegian Gateway year-round in Miami.</p> <p>Among these incentives was the County’s commitment to establish a pool of qualified screening or pier check-in service providers. Participation in the pool will be an available option to all cruise lines at PortMiami. A separate access agreement will be required for each individual cruise line accessing the pool, which will require separate Board approval.</p> <p><b>Fiscal Impact</b> The contract is for three years, with two, one-year options-to-renew. The allocation for the initial three-year term is \$12,600,000, and the two option-to-renew periods are \$4,200,000 per year, totaling \$21,000,000 if the option-to-renew periods are exercised. These figures are based on the estimated gross cost of these services to meet projected needs of the cruise lines.</p> <p>There is no financial impact to the County as all costs will be incurred by the cruise lines. An administrative fee, in the amount of \$350 per vessel call, will be assessed by PortMiami to each cruise line that utilizes the pier check-in services.</p> <p><b>Delegated Authority</b> Upon approval of this item, a pool of pre-qualified vendors will be established to participate in spot market competitions. The County Mayor or the County Mayor’s designee will have the authority to solicit pricing and award contracts up to an aggregate contract amount of the allocation authorized by the Board. Additionally, the County Mayor or the County Mayor’s designee may add qualified vendors to the pool at any time during the term of the contract, subject to bi-annual ratification by the Board. If this item is approved, the County Mayor or County Mayor’s designee will have the authority to exercise, at their discretion, contract modifications and extensions in accordance with the terms and conditions of the contract.</p>															
8F7 132201	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING AWARD OF COMPETITIVE CONTRACTS IN A TOTAL AMOUNT UP TO \$11,706,000.00, AWARD OF LEGACY CONTRACTS IN A TOTAL AMOUNT UP TO \$5,554,000.00, AND AUTHORIZING ADDITIONAL TIME AND EXPENDITURE AUTHORITY IN A TOTAL AMOUNT UP TO \$15,528,000.00 FOR VARIOUS CONTRACTS FOR THE PURCHASE OF GOODS AND SERVICES															
Notes	<p>The proposed Procurement Package includes a total of <b>fifteen (15) procurement actions totaling \$32,788,000</b>, authorizing the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Award of competitively established contracts in a total amount up to \$11,706,000.00;</li><li>• Award of legacy contracts in a total amount up to \$5,554,000.00;</li><li>• Additional expenditure authority and or time in an amount up to \$15,528,000.00 for the purchase of goods and services;</li><li>• The County Mayor or his designee to conduct spot bids, award subsequent contracts, and add vendors to the pool at any time, subject to ratification by the Board on a bi-annual basis; and</li><li>• The County Mayor or his designee to execute contracts for the items approved and exercise contract modifications, options-to-renew, any cancellation provisions, and any other rights contained therein in accordance with the terms and conditions of such contracts.</li></ul> <p><b>Competitive Contract Awards</b></p> <table><tr><th colspan="3">Item 1.1 – Paper-Based Disposable Trays</th></tr><tr><th>Area of Comparison</th><th>Proposed Contract</th><th>Current Contract</th></tr><tr><td>Description</td><td><p>The proposed contract awards a contract for the purchase of various types of disposable trays used by Miami-Dade Corrections and Rehabilitation.</p><p>The solicitation has two groups: Group I – Paper-based Dual Oven-able Trays for hot meals, and Group II – Fiber Trays for cold snacks and lunch meals. As part of Group 1, the awarded vendor will also be providing Oliver 1908 Automatic Heat Seal Lidding Machines, at no cost to the County, to seal each tray with protective film. Vendor is also responsible for all maintenance and service to the Oliver 1908 Automatic Heat Seal Lidding Machines.</p></td><td>On May 3, 2011, under the County Manager’s authority, the current contract was awarded to provide paper-based disposable trays for the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation.</td></tr><tr><td>Cumulative Value</td><td><p>The amount requested for the five-year term is \$4,461,000, of which \$3,459,000 is for the purchases under Group I and \$1,002,000 is for purchases under Group II.</p><p>The increase in allocation compared to the current contract is attributed to various factors, including an expanded contract scope.</p></td><td><p>The current contract is for two years and six months and valued at \$951,000.</p><p>The current contract expires on Jan. 31, 2014.</p></td></tr><tr><td>Vendors</td><td>On June 19, 2013, an Invitation to Bid was issued under</td><td>In August 2010, an Invitation to Bid was issued. The</td></tr></table>	Item 1.1 – Paper-Based Disposable Trays			Area of Comparison	Proposed Contract	Current Contract	Description	<p>The proposed contract awards a contract for the purchase of various types of disposable trays used by Miami-Dade Corrections and Rehabilitation.</p> <p>The solicitation has two groups: Group I – Paper-based Dual Oven-able Trays for hot meals, and Group II – Fiber Trays for cold snacks and lunch meals. As part of Group 1, the awarded vendor will also be providing Oliver 1908 Automatic Heat Seal Lidding Machines, at no cost to the County, to seal each tray with protective film. Vendor is also responsible for all maintenance and service to the Oliver 1908 Automatic Heat Seal Lidding Machines.</p>	On May 3, 2011, under the County Manager’s authority, the current contract was awarded to provide paper-based disposable trays for the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation.	Cumulative Value	<p>The amount requested for the five-year term is \$4,461,000, of which \$3,459,000 is for the purchases under Group I and \$1,002,000 is for purchases under Group II.</p> <p>The increase in allocation compared to the current contract is attributed to various factors, including an expanded contract scope.</p>	<p>The current contract is for two years and six months and valued at \$951,000.</p> <p>The current contract expires on Jan. 31, 2014.</p>	Vendors	On June 19, 2013, an Invitation to Bid was issued under	In August 2010, an Invitation to Bid was issued. The
Item 1.1 – Paper-Based Disposable Trays																
Area of Comparison	Proposed Contract	Current Contract														
Description	<p>The proposed contract awards a contract for the purchase of various types of disposable trays used by Miami-Dade Corrections and Rehabilitation.</p> <p>The solicitation has two groups: Group I – Paper-based Dual Oven-able Trays for hot meals, and Group II – Fiber Trays for cold snacks and lunch meals. As part of Group 1, the awarded vendor will also be providing Oliver 1908 Automatic Heat Seal Lidding Machines, at no cost to the County, to seal each tray with protective film. Vendor is also responsible for all maintenance and service to the Oliver 1908 Automatic Heat Seal Lidding Machines.</p>	On May 3, 2011, under the County Manager’s authority, the current contract was awarded to provide paper-based disposable trays for the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation.														
Cumulative Value	<p>The amount requested for the five-year term is \$4,461,000, of which \$3,459,000 is for the purchases under Group I and \$1,002,000 is for purchases under Group II.</p> <p>The increase in allocation compared to the current contract is attributed to various factors, including an expanded contract scope.</p>	<p>The current contract is for two years and six months and valued at \$951,000.</p> <p>The current contract expires on Jan. 31, 2014.</p>														
Vendors	On June 19, 2013, an Invitation to Bid was issued under	In August 2010, an Invitation to Bid was issued. The														

**Board of County Commissioners**  
**December 3, 2013 Meeting**  
**Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes																
	<p>full and open competition. The method of award was to the two responsive and responsible bidders, by group, as primary and secondary vendors, offering the lowest aggregate price for group.</p> <p><u>Vendor</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Pancar Industrial Supply, Corp. (MICRO/SBE)</li> <li>District Healthcare &amp; Janitorial Supply Inc. (MICRO/SBE)</li> <li>Pemica, Inc.</li> </ul>	<p>method of award was to the two (2) responsive and responsible bidder(s), as primary and secondary offering the lowest aggregate price.</p> <p><u>Vendor</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>District Healthcare &amp; Janitorial Supply Inc. (MICRO/SBE)</li> <li>Simbio USA, Inc. (MICRO/SBE)</li> </ul>															
	<b>Funding Source</b>	General Fund															
		General Fund.															
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Item 1.2 – Video and Miscellaneous Services for Leachate Pipe System</b></p> <table> <tr> <th>Area of Comparison</th><th>Proposed Contract</th><th>Current Contract</th></tr> <tr> <td><b>Description</b></td><td>Awards a contract for the purchase of video inspection services of the landfill leachate transmission system and under-drain piping for landfills managed by Public Works and Waste Management (PWWM).</td><td>There is no current contract in place because according to the termination letter dated March 31, 2013, the awarded vendor on the previous contract was terminated for default as they were not able to provide the proper equipment required to perform the services.</td></tr> <tr> <td><b>Cumulative Value</b></td><td>The amount requested for the five-year term is \$2,038,000.</td><td>N/A</td></tr> <tr> <td><b>Vendors</b></td><td> <p>On May 23, 2013, an Invitation to Bid was issued under full and open competition. The method of award is to the two responsive and responsible bidders, as primary and secondary vendors, offering the lowest aggregate price.</p> <p><u>Vendor</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Envirowaste Services Group, Inc.</li> <li>Jetclean America, LLC.</li> </ul> </td><td>N/A</td></tr> <tr> <td><b>Funding Source</b></td><td>Proprietary Funds</td><td>N/A</td></tr> </table>		Area of Comparison	Proposed Contract	Current Contract	<b>Description</b>	Awards a contract for the purchase of video inspection services of the landfill leachate transmission system and under-drain piping for landfills managed by Public Works and Waste Management (PWWM).	There is no current contract in place because according to the termination letter dated March 31, 2013, the awarded vendor on the previous contract was terminated for default as they were not able to provide the proper equipment required to perform the services.	<b>Cumulative Value</b>	The amount requested for the five-year term is \$2,038,000.	N/A	<b>Vendors</b>	<p>On May 23, 2013, an Invitation to Bid was issued under full and open competition. The method of award is to the two responsive and responsible bidders, as primary and secondary vendors, offering the lowest aggregate price.</p> <p><u>Vendor</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Envirowaste Services Group, Inc.</li> <li>Jetclean America, LLC.</li> </ul>	N/A	<b>Funding Source</b>	Proprietary Funds	N/A
Area of Comparison	Proposed Contract	Current Contract															
<b>Description</b>	Awards a contract for the purchase of video inspection services of the landfill leachate transmission system and under-drain piping for landfills managed by Public Works and Waste Management (PWWM).	There is no current contract in place because according to the termination letter dated March 31, 2013, the awarded vendor on the previous contract was terminated for default as they were not able to provide the proper equipment required to perform the services.															
<b>Cumulative Value</b>	The amount requested for the five-year term is \$2,038,000.	N/A															
<b>Vendors</b>	<p>On May 23, 2013, an Invitation to Bid was issued under full and open competition. The method of award is to the two responsive and responsible bidders, as primary and secondary vendors, offering the lowest aggregate price.</p> <p><u>Vendor</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Envirowaste Services Group, Inc.</li> <li>Jetclean America, LLC.</li> </ul>	N/A															
<b>Funding Source</b>	Proprietary Funds	N/A															
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Item 1.3 – Uniforms for Miami-Dade Police Department</b></p> <table> <tr> <th>Area of Comparison</th><th>Proposed Contract</th><th>Current Contract</th></tr> <tr> <td></td><td><i>Uniforms for the Miami-Dade Police Department (MDPD), Contract No. 9465-1/15</i></td><td><i>Uniform Shirts and Pants for MDPD, Contract No. BW9465-0/12</i></td></tr> <tr> <td><b>Description</b></td><td> <p>The proposed contract awards a contract for the purchase of uniforms for the Miami-Dade Police Department.</p> <p>Class A uniforms, which are issued to sworn officers, per MDPD's collective bargaining agreement, for use during the winter season, formal occasions and by the motorcycle unit; and Class B uniforms, which are non-formal uniform items, such as short sleeve shirts and bike patrol uniforms, and miscellaneous other types of uniforms used by MDPD.</p> <p>Each class of uniform is comprised of two groups. Group 1 is awarded to a single primary and secondary vendor offering the lowest price for all items within the group. Items in Group 2 are awarded to primary and secondary vendors offering the lowest price on an item by item basis.</p> </td><td> <p>On May 3, 2011, under Resolution No. 325-11, the BCC approved the award of this contract to provide Uniform Shirts and Pants for MDPD, approved waiver of competitive bid procedures and waiver of bid protest procedures.</p> <p>Initially, on February 1, 2011, under Resolution No. 75-11, the BCC approved a contract for Public Safety Uniforms to FPP Ventures, Inc. (FPP) as the primary vendor for nine groups which covered sixty items. Following the award, the County defaulted FPP due to the firms' failure to comply with contractual obligations; no secondary vendor had been awarded for police uniforms. Therefore a competitive bid waiver contract, requesting that the protest process be waived, was recommended for award.</p> <p>Subsequently, this contract was modified on July 3, 2012, under Resolution No. 546-12, increasing the contract by \$418,000 for twelve months on a month-to-month basis.</p> </td></tr> <tr> <td><b>Cumulative Value</b></td><td>The amount requested for the one-year term is \$573,000. If the County exercises the one, one-year option-to-renew, the cumulative value will be</td><td>The current contract has a total allocation of \$991,000 for 33 months.</td></tr> </table>		Area of Comparison	Proposed Contract	Current Contract		<i>Uniforms for the Miami-Dade Police Department (MDPD), Contract No. 9465-1/15</i>	<i>Uniform Shirts and Pants for MDPD, Contract No. BW9465-0/12</i>	<b>Description</b>	<p>The proposed contract awards a contract for the purchase of uniforms for the Miami-Dade Police Department.</p> <p>Class A uniforms, which are issued to sworn officers, per MDPD's collective bargaining agreement, for use during the winter season, formal occasions and by the motorcycle unit; and Class B uniforms, which are non-formal uniform items, such as short sleeve shirts and bike patrol uniforms, and miscellaneous other types of uniforms used by MDPD.</p> <p>Each class of uniform is comprised of two groups. Group 1 is awarded to a single primary and secondary vendor offering the lowest price for all items within the group. Items in Group 2 are awarded to primary and secondary vendors offering the lowest price on an item by item basis.</p>	<p>On May 3, 2011, under Resolution No. 325-11, the BCC approved the award of this contract to provide Uniform Shirts and Pants for MDPD, approved waiver of competitive bid procedures and waiver of bid protest procedures.</p> <p>Initially, on February 1, 2011, under Resolution No. 75-11, the BCC approved a contract for Public Safety Uniforms to FPP Ventures, Inc. (FPP) as the primary vendor for nine groups which covered sixty items. Following the award, the County defaulted FPP due to the firms' failure to comply with contractual obligations; no secondary vendor had been awarded for police uniforms. Therefore a competitive bid waiver contract, requesting that the protest process be waived, was recommended for award.</p> <p>Subsequently, this contract was modified on July 3, 2012, under Resolution No. 546-12, increasing the contract by \$418,000 for twelve months on a month-to-month basis.</p>	<b>Cumulative Value</b>	The amount requested for the one-year term is \$573,000. If the County exercises the one, one-year option-to-renew, the cumulative value will be	The current contract has a total allocation of \$991,000 for 33 months.			
Area of Comparison	Proposed Contract	Current Contract															
	<i>Uniforms for the Miami-Dade Police Department (MDPD), Contract No. 9465-1/15</i>	<i>Uniform Shirts and Pants for MDPD, Contract No. BW9465-0/12</i>															
<b>Description</b>	<p>The proposed contract awards a contract for the purchase of uniforms for the Miami-Dade Police Department.</p> <p>Class A uniforms, which are issued to sworn officers, per MDPD's collective bargaining agreement, for use during the winter season, formal occasions and by the motorcycle unit; and Class B uniforms, which are non-formal uniform items, such as short sleeve shirts and bike patrol uniforms, and miscellaneous other types of uniforms used by MDPD.</p> <p>Each class of uniform is comprised of two groups. Group 1 is awarded to a single primary and secondary vendor offering the lowest price for all items within the group. Items in Group 2 are awarded to primary and secondary vendors offering the lowest price on an item by item basis.</p>	<p>On May 3, 2011, under Resolution No. 325-11, the BCC approved the award of this contract to provide Uniform Shirts and Pants for MDPD, approved waiver of competitive bid procedures and waiver of bid protest procedures.</p> <p>Initially, on February 1, 2011, under Resolution No. 75-11, the BCC approved a contract for Public Safety Uniforms to FPP Ventures, Inc. (FPP) as the primary vendor for nine groups which covered sixty items. Following the award, the County defaulted FPP due to the firms' failure to comply with contractual obligations; no secondary vendor had been awarded for police uniforms. Therefore a competitive bid waiver contract, requesting that the protest process be waived, was recommended for award.</p> <p>Subsequently, this contract was modified on July 3, 2012, under Resolution No. 546-12, increasing the contract by \$418,000 for twelve months on a month-to-month basis.</p>															
<b>Cumulative Value</b>	The amount requested for the one-year term is \$573,000. If the County exercises the one, one-year option-to-renew, the cumulative value will be	The current contract has a total allocation of \$991,000 for 33 months.															

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 3, 2013 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes	
	<p>\$1,146,000.</p> <p>The increase for the recommended contract is attributable to various factors, including the inclusion of bike patrol uniforms as part of the scope, and MDPD anticipates at least three classes of new officers during this fiscal year for which uniforms will be required. In addition, 50 school crossing guards have been hired who also require uniform apparel.</p>	
<b>Vendors</b>	<p>On May 10, 2013, an Invitation to Bid was issued under full and open competition. The method of award is to the two lowest priced, responsive and responsible bidders as primary and secondary vendor for Group 1, and to the two lowest priced, responsive and responsible bidders as primary and secondary vendors on an item by item basis in Group 2. There are no recommended vendors for Group 2, items 15 (men's patrol shorts, brown with taupe stripe) and 16 (women's patrol shorts, brown with taupe stripe).</p> <p><u>Vendor</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Global Trading, Inc. (SBE)</li> <li>• Miami Partners (SBE)</li> <li>• Palmetto Uniforms, Inc. (SBE)</li> <li>• Glod Nugget, Inc.</li> <li>• Design Lab, Inc.</li> </ul>	<p>On February 16, 2011, an Invitation to Bid (ITB) was issued for shirts and pants used as uniforms by MDPD. MDPD has standardized its uniform to certain styles manufactured by Elbeco, Inc.(Elbeco). The ITB did not allow for brands/styles other than those which are the acceptable uniform of MDPD. The ITB was issued using normal DPM procedures, 344 electronic notifications were sent to all registered vendors listed under commodity code 200-72. In addition to the registered vendors, fourteen authorized Florida Elbeco distributors in addition to the manufacturer were also solicited (359 total). Four proposals were received, after applying the Small Business Enterprise (SBE) preference.</p> <p><u>Vendor</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Global Trading, Inc.</li> <li>• Elbeco Inc.</li> </ul> <p>To a maximum of two responsive and responsible bidders in the aggregate as primary and secondary vendors.</p>
<b>Funding Source</b>	General Funds	General Funds

Item 1.4 – Transportation Services		
Area of Comparison	Proposed Contract	Current Contract
<b>Description</b>	<p>The proposed contract awards a contract for motor coach and rentals to provide transportation services for various departments. The primary departments will be PortMiami and the Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces (PROS) departments.</p> <p>The award consists of three groups: Group 1 – Motor Coach Rentals; Group 2 – School Bus Rentals; and Group 3 – Establishment of a Pre-qualified pool of vendors to provide transportation services, including the annual Sony Open Tennis Tournament for PROS.</p>	<p><i>Information regarding the three current contracts that are consolidated for the proposed contract was unknown at time of printing.</i></p>
<b>Cumulative Value</b>	<p>The amount requested for the five-year term is \$4,061,000.</p> <p>This is a consolidation of three contracts with a combined total allocation of \$3,026,000 for three years and six months.</p>	<p>Currently, the three contracts have a combined total of \$3,026,000 for three years and six months.</p>
<b>Vendors</b>	<p>On April 9, 2013, an Invitation to Bid was issued under full and open competition. The method of award for Groups 1 and 2 is to the two lowest-priced responsive and responsible bidders, on a group-by-group basis. The method of award for Group 3 is to prequalify all responsive and responsible bidders meeting the minimum qualifications in this solicitation. Pre-qualified bidders will participate in future spot market competitions. Twelve proposals were received in response to the solicitation.</p>	N/A

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 3, 2013 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes	
	<div><u>Vendor</u><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>JGT Transportation Inc. (DBE; Micro/SBE)</li><li>Evergreen Trails d/b/a Horizon Coach Lines</li><li>Franmar Corp.</li><li>A Plus Bus USA Corp.</li><li>Transtour Motor Coach</li></ul></div> <div>All of the vendors are local firms.</div>	
	<b>Funding Source</b>	The funding sources for the various departments include the following: General Fund, Federal Funds, Fire District Funds, and Proprietary Funds. N/A
Legacy Contract Awards		
<b>Item No.</b>	<b>Legacy Contract</b>	
2.1	<b>Automated Vehicle Identification Software System, Licenses, Maintenance and Support Services</b> Awards a legacy contract to Transcore LP for the purchase of an automated vehicle identification system for the Aviation Department. The amount requested for the initial five-year term is \$1,915,000. If the County exercises the two, five-year options-to-renew, the cumulative value will be \$2,929,000.	
2.2	<b>Airport Noise Operating Management System, Maintenance, and Support Services</b> Awards a legacy contract to Bruel & Kjaer EMS Inc, for the purchase of software and hardware maintenance support services for the Aviation Department. The amount requested for the initial five-year term is \$875,000. If the County exercises the two, five-year options-to-renew, the cumulative value will be \$2,625,000.	
Additional Expenditure Authority and/or Term Extension		
<b>Item No.</b>	<b>Modifications</b>	
3.1	<b>Milk, Dairy Products and Fruit Drinks</b> Extends this contract for an additional six months until June 30, 2014, so various County departments may continue to purchase milk, dairy products and fruit drinks. No additional funding is required; therefore, the contract allocation remains \$1,149,000.  A replacement contract is expected to be in place by mid-2014.	
3.2	<b>PlantCML Software License and Support Service</b> The Information Technology Department is requesting additional expenditure authority of up to \$298,000 to support the existing Reverse 311 System. The Reverse 311 System sends automatic telephone and e-mail notifications to residents regarding County emergency updates, services and event announcements.  The additional allocation brings the cumulative value of this contract to \$497,000.	
3.3	<b>Truck Scales Purchase, Repair &amp; Maintenance – Prequalification Pool</b> PWWM is requesting additional expenditure authority of up to \$1,600,000 to purchase onboard scales for PWWM’s transfer station heavy equipment fleet.  The results of a pilot program revealed the use of onboard scales increased efficiency and will result in long-term lower costs for PWWM. The increase in expenditure authority will supplement PWWM’s existing allocation to allow for the purchase of 130 onboard scales.  The additional allocation brings the cumulative value of this contract to \$2,413,000.	
3.4	<b>Liquid Level Controllers – Prequalification Pool</b> Extends this pool for an additional five years until December 31, 2018, and \$2,270,000 in expenditure authority so the Water and Sewer Department (WASD) may continue to purchase parts, components, units and services for liquid level controller systems.  Market research shows the requirements, terms and conditions of the contract will not change if a replacement contract is issued, and it is anticipated that the same vendors will pre-qualify for a replacement solicitation. Qualified vendors may be added to the pool at any time during the term of the contract, subject to bi-annual ratification by the Board.  The additional allocation brings the cumulative value of this contract to \$4,985,000.	
3.5	<b>Embossers, Stamps and Marking Products – Prequalification Pool</b>	

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 3, 2013 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>Extends this pool contract for an additional five years until January 31, 2019, and \$232,000 in expenditure authority so that various County departments can continue to purchase various stamps and marking products.</p> <p>Market research shows the requirements, terms and conditions of the contract will not change if a replacement contract is issued, and it is anticipated that the same vendors will pre-qualify for a replacement solicitation. Qualified vendors may be added to the pool at any time during the term of the contract, subject to bi-annual ratification by the Board.</p> <p>The additional allocation brings the cumulative value of this contract to \$592,000.</p> <p><b>3.6 Automotive Vehicles – Prequalification Pool</b> Extends this pool contract for an additional five years until January 31, 2019, and \$875,000 in expenditure authority so that the Internal Services Department may continue to purchase vehicles on an as-needed basis for various County departments.</p> <p>Market research shows the requirements, terms and conditions of the contract will not change if a replacement contract is issued, and it is anticipated that the same vendors will pre-qualify for a replacement solicitation. Qualified vendors may be added to the pool at any time during the term of the contract, subject to bi-annual ratification by the Board.</p> <p>The additional allocation brings the cumulative value of this contract to \$1,275,000.</p> <p><b>3.7 Fire Rescue Replacement Equipment – Prequalification Pool</b> Extends this pool contract for an additional five years until February 28, 2019, and \$6,059,000 in expenditure authority so that the Aviation and Fire Rescue departments may continue to purchase fire rescue replacement equipment an as-needed basis.</p> <p>Market research shows the requirements, terms and conditions of the contract will not change if a replacement contract is issued, and it is anticipated that the same vendors will pre-qualify for a replacement solicitation. Qualified vendors may be added to the pool at any time during the term of the contract, subject to bi-annual ratification by the Board.</p> <p>The additional allocation brings the cumulative value of this contract to \$18,311,000.</p> <p><b>3.8 Mobile Material Handling Equipment – Prequalification Pool</b> Extends this pool contract for an additional expenditure authority in the amount of \$1,194,000 so that WASD and PWWM may continue to purchase mobile material handling equipment an as-needed basis.</p> <p>The results of a pilot program that entailed the use of utility vehicles at PWWM landfills proved to be cost effective and PWWM will purchase one utility vehicle through this contract for its operational use. Also, WASD will purchase additional equipment for an estimated total of \$309,000 to support its operations.</p> <p>The additional allocation brings the cumulative value of this contract to \$2,729,000.</p> <p><b>3.9 Hurricane Shutters Furnish/Install/Repair – Prequalification Pool</b> Community Action and Human Services is requesting additional expenditure authority of up to \$3,000,000 to use grant funding for the provision of hurricane shutters to eligible County residents.</p> <p>The additional allocation brings the cumulative value of this contract to \$4,360,000.</p>
<b>8F8 132212</b>	RESOLUTION ESTABLISHING PRE-QUALIFICATION POOL CONTRACT 9743-0/23 GROUNDS MAINTENANCE SERVICES PREQUALIFICATION POOL IN A TOTAL AMOUNT UP TO \$81,888,000.00 FOR PURCHASE OF GOODS AND SERVICES
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the establishment of Contract No. 9743-0/23, Grounds Maintenance Services Prequalification Pool for grounds maintenance. This contract will consolidate 14 existing grounds maintenance contracts and establishes three groups of prequalified vendors, as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Group 1 will be utilized for purchase of grounds maintenance sites/locations throughout Miami-Dade County, and includes turf mowing; trimming of grass, hedges, shrubs, trees and palms; edging; maintaining plants and flowerbeds; fertilization of turf areas, ornamental shrubs, groundcovers, palms and trees; weed and vine control; and litter control and mulching.</li> <li>• Group 2 will be utilized for purchase of pest control services to treat plant material.</li> <li>• Group 3 will be utilized for purchase of lawn sprinkler repair services to damaged sprinkler heads and valves.</li> </ul> <p>Pre-qualified vendors will be invited to participate in future competitions. All Invitations to Quote will be reviewed by the Small Business Development Division of the County's Regulatory and Economic Resources Department for contract measures prior to advertising. Our practice of consolidating certain contracts, such as the countywide consolidated janitorial contract, has resulted in improved pricing while maintaining a vendor pool that is representative of our community. The improved pricing trends as we continue to implement the consolidated janitorial contract have demonstrated that the consolidation of multiple contracts, strategic grouping of sites when issuing Invitations to Quote, all while maintaining equal or better small business participation, show that consolidation of multiple contracts is an effective contracting method. Of the 14 firms pre-qualified to date for this proposed contract, 10 of those firms are either Micro/SBE or CSBE firms.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact</u> The fiscal impact for the initial five-year term is \$40,944,000 (approximately \$8.2 million annually). The cumulative value if the one, five-year</p>

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 3, 2013 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>option to renew is exercised will be \$81,888,000. The proposed allocation is based on a ten percent reduction of the last ten-year history of expenditures for the existing 14 grounds maintenance contracts. Structuring the replacement contract as a pool is most beneficial to the County as it will encourage vendor participation and increase competition, reduce administrative costs, expedite the award phase, and allow additional vendors the opportunity to join at any time during the term of the pool. The proposed allocations are budgeted as follows:</p> <p>The Funding Sources include Proprietary Funds, General Fund, Federal Funds, Fire District, Internal Service Funds, and Library District.</p> <p><u>Vendor(s) Pre-Qualified for Pool</u></p> <p>A Request to Qualify (RTQ) was issued under full and open competition on February 27, 2013. The method of award was to include all responsive and responsible vendors that meet the minimum qualifications in the RTQ, per group, as specified for participation in future spot market competitions. Additional qualified vendors may be added at any time during the contract term, subject to ratification by the Board on a bi-annual basis. The vendors listed in the table below meet the prequalification criteria.</p> <p><u>Vendors</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A Native Tree Service, Inc. (MICRO/SBE)</li> <li>• Ballpark Maintenance, Inc. (MICRO/SBE)</li> <li>• Bug Busters, Inc.</li> <li>• Crodon, Inc. (MICRO/SBE)</li> <li>• Florida Turf and Landscape Horticulture, Inc. (MICRO/SBE, CSBE)</li> <li>• General Mow LLC d/b/a Groundkeepers 255 University Drive</li> <li>• Ginley Lawn Service &amp; Landscaping, Inc. (MICRO/SBE)</li> <li>• Hulett Environmental Services, Inc.</li> <li>• Lawn Keepers of South Florida, Inc. (MICRO/SBE)</li> <li>• Royal Regions Incorporation (MICRO/SBE)</li> <li>• Thomas Maintenance Services, Inc. (MICRO/SBE)</li> <li>• Tower Pest Control, Inc.</li> <li>• Visualscape, Inc. (MICRO/SBE)</li> <li>• Ynigo Landscaping and Lawn Services, Inc. (MICRO/SBE)</li> </ul>
<p><b>8F10 132178</b></p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING AMENDMENT 1 TO MIAMI-DADE COUNTY RENTAL REGULATORY AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND CUTLER BAY CENTRE ASSOCIATES, LLC TO CHANGE THE COUNTY DEPARTMENT RESPONSIBLE FOR MONITORING THE AFFORDABLE HOUSING PROJECT AND TO INCLUDE AN ANNUAL MONITORING FEE TO BE PAID TO MIAMI-DADE COUNTY; AND AUTHORIZING COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE AND DELIVER AMENDMENT NUMBER ONE (Internal Services)</p>
<p><b>Notes</b></p>	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the execution of Amendment 1 to the Rental Regulatory Agreement between the County and Cutler Bay Centre Associates, LLC, for the senior citizens affordable housing development project known as Cutler Bay Centre Senior Apartments, located at 11150 SW 211 Street, Cutler Bay, Florida. More specifically, this resolution does the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Authorizes Public Housing and Community Development (PHCD) to perform monitoring and inspections required to ensure continued compliance with federal and/or County standards for this project;</li> <li>• Authorizes PHCD to collect a fee for monitoring and inspection activities, to be paid by Cutler Bay Centre Associates, LLC to the County;</li> <li>• Establishes a fee structure for ongoing annual monitoring and inspections throughout the established term of the Rental Regulatory Agreement; and</li> <li>• Reduces the monitoring and inspection period to 25 years, minus one day.</li> </ul> <p><b>Fiscal Impact</b></p> <p>For the first year of the Rental Regulatory Agreement, Cutler Bay Centre Associates, LLC will pay a monitoring and inspection fee of \$1,142. This fee will increase three percent each year for the remainder of the agreement, for a total of \$41,711.71 to the County.</p> <p><b>Background</b></p> <p>On January 24, 2012, the BCC approved R-58-12, which authorized Building Better Communities General Obligation Bond Program funding for the development of this project and authorized the County to enter into the original Rental Regulatory Agreement. The executed Rental Regulatory Agreement does not include a monitoring and inspection fee, as is customary for these types of agreements.</p> <p>Additionally, the original Rental Regulatory Agreement provided that the Internal Services Department would be the County Department conducting the monitoring and inspection activities. The amendment serves to assign the monitoring and inspection responsibilities for this project to PHCD, authorizes PHCD to collect the monitoring and inspection fee from Cutler Bay Centre Associates, LLC, establishes the ongoing monitoring and inspection fee scheduled for the duration of this agreement, and reduces the term from 25 years, to 25 years, minus one day.</p>
<p><b>8G1 132211</b></p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING THE BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2013-14 FOR THE N.W. 79TH STREET COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY</p>
<p><b>Notes</b></p>	<p>The proposed resolution approves the N.W. 79<sup>th</sup> Street Community Redevelopment Agency's (Agency's) annual budget for Fiscal Year 2013-14 for the NW 79th Street Corridor Community Redevelopment Area (Area). The Agency's budget includes revenues and expenditures in the amount of \$8,679. The Board must approve the Agency's budget prior to the Agency expending any funds.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 3, 2013 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><u>Fiscal Impact</u> Because there was a decrease in the Preliminary 2012 Tax Roll over the base year for the Area, the Agency will not receive any TIF revenue for the current fiscal year.</p> <p><b><i>However, at the September 20, 2011, BCC meeting, District 2 allocated \$20,000 from District office funds to the NW 79<sup>th</sup> Street CRA's FY 2011-12 Budget. Subsequently on April 17, 2012, under Resolution No. 336-12, the BCC approved the NW 79<sup>th</sup> Street CRA's FY 2011-12 Budget for the \$20,000 allocation.</i></b></p> <p>During FY 2012-13, the Agency's board entered into an agreement to fund an economic development coordinator position. The economic development coordinator is responsible for providing the Agency with a comprehensive strategic plan in order to focus the Agency's future development efforts in the Area. The Agency's board proposes to use the FY 2012-13 carryover funds in the amount of \$8,679 for the completion of the strategic plan.</p> <p>The Agency's FY 2013-14 budget was approved by the Agency on September 9, 2013.</p>
<b>8G2 132213</b>	RESOLUTION APPROVING THE BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2013-14 FOR THE N.W. 7TH AVENUE COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution approves the NW 7th Avenue Corridor Community Redevelopment Agency's (Agency's) FY 2013-14 budget for the NW 7th Avenue Corridor Community Redevelopment Area (Area). The Agency's budget includes revenues and expenditures in the amount of \$2,517,830. The Board must approve the Agency's budget prior to the Agency expending any funds.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact</u> The Agency's revenue sources are generated through the incremental growth of ad valorem revenues beyond an established base year, tax increment financing (TIF), as defined in Section 163.387 of Florida State Statutes. The Countywide TIF revenue payment into the Agency's Trust Fund for FY 2013-14 is \$203,803 and the Unincorporated Municipal Service Area (UMSA) TIF revenue payment into the Trust Fund is \$83,296.</p> <p>On September 16, 2013, the Agency approved the FY 2013-14 budget of \$2,517,830. The budget includes revenue sources of County TIF revenues (\$203,803), UMSA TIF revenues (\$83,296), carryover from prior years (\$2,224,731), and interest earnings (\$6,000).</p> <p>Administrative expenditures total \$146,500, inclusive of \$30,000 for the continuing services of an economic development coordinator to provide a comprehensive strategic plan that focuses the Agency's future development efforts in the Area. Administrative expenditures represent 13 percent of the total contemplated expenditures, excluding the 1.5 percent County Administrative Charge (\$4,306). The administrative expenses do not exceed the 20 percent cap in administrative expenditures required by the Interlocal Agreement.</p> <p>Operating expenditures total \$1,152,200. The remaining \$1,214,824 will be held in reserve for future projects and grants currently being identified by the Agency.</p>
<b>8H1 132139</b>	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE CONVEYANCE OF A CONSERVATION EASEMENT TO THE STATE OF FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION FOR WETLANDS AREAS WITHIN HAULOVER PARK, LOCATED AT 10800 COLLINS AVENUE, AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE EASEMENT AND OTHER DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO PROCESS EASEMENT
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the granting of a conservation easement to the State of Florida Department of Environmental Protection for wetlands areas within Haulover Park, located at 10800 Collins Avenue, and authorizes the County Mayor or his designee to execute the easement and other documents necessary to process easement.</p> <p>On July 2, 2013, under Resolution No. 519-13, Westrec Equities, Inc., was authorized to construct a new dry rack boat storage building at Haulover Park. As part of the permitting for the new building, the State of Florida Department of Environmental Protection requires a conservation easement for nearby wetlands areas within the park.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact</u> Maintenance of the lands affected by the easement will cost approximately \$50,000 in the first year, \$23,000 the second, and \$13,000 the third, with costs increasing with inflation thereafter. These costs will be paid by the lessee of the dry rack storage building, Westrec Equities, Inc. <b>The County will not be responsible for these costs.</b></p>
<b>8J1 132137</b>	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF AMENDMENT NO. 01 TO THE NON-EXCLUSIVE PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND HDR ENGINEERING, INC. TO PROVIDE ADDITIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES FOR CONTRACT NO. E09-SEA-01, WHARVES STRENGTHENING PROGRAM, WHICH INCREASES THE CONTRACT BY A MAXIMUM AMOUNT OF \$250,000; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE THE AMENDMENT FOR AND ON BEHALF OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND TO EXERCISE THE PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN (Port of Miami)
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes Amendment No. 1 on a Contract between HDR Engineering, Inc. and Miami-Dade County increasing the total compensation by \$250,000.00 from \$2,500,000.00 to \$2,750,000.00 (10%).</p> <p>On November 3, 2009, the BCC approved R-1258-09, awarding Contract No. E09-SEA-01, to HDR Engineering, Inc. (HDR), for a total compensation of \$2,500,000.00. The scope of work provided for professional engineering design and post design services to strengthen the existing steel sheet pile bulkheads along Gantry Crane Wharves I through VII, to accommodate the Miami Harbor Phase 3 deepening program to a depth of -50 feet below mean lower low water (MLLW), including an additional 2-foot over-dredge allowance at PortMiami.</p>



**Board of County Commissioners  
December 3, 2013 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><b>Background</b></p> <p>This contract was awarded with a 20% Community Business Enterprise (CBE) Goal of \$500,000.00. The sole CBE firm, ADA Engineering, Inc., (ADA) has been paid a cumulative amount of \$383,777.29 or 15.51% of the amount paid to date to the prime. This resulted in an \$111,192.31 CBE goal deficit.</p> <p>On October 1, 2013, HDR submitted a written request, for a CBE goal reduction, to the Regulatory and Economic Resources Department, Division of Small Business Development (SBD). The reduction was requested due to PortMiami's reallocation of resources, previously assigned to ADA for "On-Site Observation" services during construction, to HDR, to perform additional engineering and design analysis. This change was necessary to support schedule changes and the evaluation of proposed contractor-initiated modifications to the Project. ADA was not technically certified to perform the additional engineering and design services; therefore, concurred with the reduction in scope. On October 2, 2013, SBD concurred with HDR's utilization of ADA at 15.51% and the CBE goal deficit was excused.</p> <p>However, SBD advised that the 20% CBE goal would remain on the project and apply to any future amendments.</p> <p>The CBE goal cannot be applied to this Amendment because the additional services require that the firm be certified in Technical Certification (TC) Category 5.08 – Port and Waterway Systems – Marine Engineering Design. ADA is not certified in TC 5.08; therefore, cannot provide the specialty engineering services. SBD has reviewed Amendment No. 1 and determined that HDR is in compliance with the CBE requirements. Approval of this Amendment will allow HDR to continue providing supplemental Engineer of Record services during construction until close-out of the Wharves Strengthening Project.</p>
<p><b>8K1 132313</b></p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING THE SALE OR TRANSFER OF OWNERSHIP INTEREST IN PARK CITY, LTD., OWNER OF PARK CITY APARTMENTS; EXTENDING THE \$859,100.00 HOME LOAN MATURITY DATE TO JANUARY 5, 2026; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO ENTER INTO OR MODIFY CONTRACT(S) AND LOAN DOCUMENTS WITH THE NEW ENTITY(S) TO SECURE OR SUBORDINATE THE COUNTY'S INTEREST IN THE PROJECT AND ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH FEDERAL, STATE AND LOCAL REQUIREMENTS(Public Housing and Community Development)</p>
<p><b>Notes</b></p>	<p>The proposed resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Approves the sale or transfer of ownership of the Park City, Ltd., and/or the general partner interest in the Park City, Ltd., the owner of Park City Apartments, from FSGP/Park City, LLC (a wholly owned affiliate of Enterprise Community Partners, Inc., and previously County-approved successor to Greater Miami Neighborhoods Inc.), to HallKeen Management, Inc. or its affiliate(s);</li> <li>• Approves the sale, redemption or conversion of the co-general partner interest of Opa Locka-Park City Inc. (an affiliate of Opa Locka Community Development Corporation (OLCDC)) to a special limited partner interest in Park City Apartments;</li> <li>• Authorizes the County Mayor or the County Mayor's designee to enter into contract(s) and loan documents with the new entity(s) to secure or subordinate the County's interest in the project; and</li> <li>• Extends the maturity date of the \$859,100.00 HOME loan from December 31, 2013 to January 5, 2026, to avoid any negative financial implications for the project while continuing to ensure compliance with all federal, state and local requirements.</li> </ul> <p>The approval of this item would allow the HOME loan to run concurrently with the Surtax loan terms and avoid any potential hardships and negative capital implications on the project, if the HOME loan were to mature on December 29, 2013.</p> <p>Additionally, approval of this item authorizes Miami-Dade County to enter into or modify existing agreements with Park City, Ltd., or its new general partners acting on behalf of the owner of Park City, Ltd., to assume and/or be approved as the ongoing borrower of the outstanding debt owed to the County. The current combined debt to the County for Park City Apartments is approximately \$1,103,651.94.</p> <p><b>Background</b></p> <p>In 2008, Miami-Dade County, the Florida Housing Finance Corporation (FHFC), and Greater Miami Neighborhoods, Inc. worked together to develop a financing solution whereby properties still under Greater Miami Neighborhoods Inc. control could remain affordable through the following methods: by transferring ownership of properties by bringing in a new general partner into each owner entity and by transferring ownership and control to protect the affordable units from the bankruptcy process.</p> <p>On January 10, 2008, prior to Greater Miami Neighborhoods, Inc. declaring bankruptcy, the BCC adopted R-24-08, which permitted the transfer of the general partnership interest and/or ownership of 18 developments (of which Park City Apartments is one of the 18 developments) owned in part or in full by Greater Miami Neighborhoods, Inc. to two entities: Enterprise Community Partners, Inc. (or its affiliate) and Preservation of Affordable Housing, Inc. This transfer was necessary to preserve the County's interest in the eighteen (18) affordable housing properties.</p> <p>On December 29, 1993 Park City, Ltd., whose co-general partners were Opa Locka-Park City, Inc. (an affiliate of OLCDC) and Greater Miami Neighborhoods, Inc., received \$859,100.00 in HOME funds, approved by the BCC under R-1251-93, to rehabilitate the project; all rehabilitation work was completed on the property subsequent to the loan closing.</p> <p>The HOME loan was secured with a 20-year, six percent (6%) interest only mortgage payable if the owner realizes ten percent (10 %) "Return of Equity". To date, the return on equity was not realized as expected. All principal and interest on this loan is due payable on December 29, 2013, the maturity date. Also in January 1995, the County closed with Park City, Ltd. on a \$500,000.00 Documentary Stamp Surtax (Surtax) loan, approved by the BCC under R-1049-92, for rehabilitation of the property. That loan included a maturity date of the Surtax note and</p>

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 3, 2013 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>affordability period until January 5, 2026. In addition, the property has a \$4 million Florida Housing Finance Corporation loan which matures in 2025.</p> <p>FSGP/Park City, LLC has committed financing for the property conditioned on the proposed transfer which will be used to address upgrades related to Americans with Disabilities Act ("ADA") and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act issues; and HallKeen, through its approved affiliates, has committed to pursuing additional financing which will be used for extensive renovations to the units and the overall development, greatly improving the property.</p> <p>The current developer is requesting that Miami-Dade County provide consent to approve the conversion of the Opa Locka-Park City Inc. interest to a special limited partner interest and the sale of the property or interests owned by the FSGP/Park City, LLC, an affiliate of Enterprise, to HallKeen Management, Inc. or its affiliate, and permit HallKeen to assume all County financing related to this development.</p> <p><b>The additional financing may include an increase of the first mortgage and require the County's subordination to that additional amount. The County's financing and the Florida Housing Finance Corporation's loans are currently in a shared first position. Additionally, the County's interest remains protected.</b></p> <p>All of the units in the development are set aside for families earning sixty percent (60%) or less of the Area Median Income (AMI). The building is currently operating under a Rental Regulatory Agreement that restricts rental rates in accordance with FHFC and the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (U.S. HUD) guidelines.</p>
<b>8M1 132074</b>	<b>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE ACCEPTANCE OF EIGHT (8) ENVIRONMENTALLY ENDANGERED LANDS COVENANTS IN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA</b>
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the acceptance of eight (8) Environmentally Endangered Lands Covenants (Covenants) in Miami-Dade County.</p> <p>Once a site has been determined to qualify as environmentally endangered, the application and covenant are submitted to the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) for approval. The sites listed below meet the criteria for environmentally endangered lands; therefore, the proposed resolution recommends for approval the following properties:</p> <p><u>New Covenants</u></p> <p>A. Bobbe W. Dooley (0.38-acre rockland hammock) Folio 20-5013-023-0380 located at 6540 SW 134 Dr, Miami-Dade County, Florida.</p> <p>B. Raymond T. Crissey TRS (2.26 acres of tropical hardwood hammock) Folio 30-6933-000-0252 located in the vicinity of SW 268 St &amp; SW 154 Ave, Miami-Dade County, Florida.</p> <p>C. Raymond T. Crissey TRS (2.64 acres of tropical hardwood hammock) Folio 30-6933-000-0254 located in the vicinity of SW 268 St &amp; SW 154 Ave, Miami-Dade County, Florida.</p> <p><u>Renewal Covenants</u></p> <p>D. Arlene M. Samalion &amp; L. L. Samalion (5.29 acres of pine rockland) Folio 30-6929-000-0371 located at 26251 SW 162 Ave, Miami-Dade County, Florida.</p> <p>E. John Bolash IV TRS (1.21 acres of pine rockland) Folio 30-6924-000-0940 located in the vicinity of SW 236 St. &amp; SW 125 Ave., Miami-Dade County, Florida.</p> <p>F. Keith E. Fleri (0.90-acre pine rockland and tropical hardwood hammock) Folio 30-6835-000-0092 located at 26955 SW 192 Ave, Miami-Dade County Florida.</p> <p>G. Todd P. Lary &amp; Diane E. (4.50 acres of pine rockland and tropical hardwood hammock) Folio 30-6921-000-0110 located at 14870 SW 238 St, Miami-Dade County Florida.</p> <p>H. Terry Glancy &amp; Barbara (14 acres of pine rockland) Folio 30-7809-000-0110 located at 21100 SW 300 St, Miami-Dade County, Florida.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact</u></p> <p>In accordance with Sec. 193.501(3)(a) of the Florida Statutes and Chapter 25B of the Miami-Dade County Code (Code), these properties will receive preferential tax treatment through reductions in their assessed values from the Miami-Dade County Property Appraiser upon execution of the covenants and approval by the Board of County Commissioners (BCC).</p> <p><u>Background</u></p> <p>On December 4, 1979, under Ordinance No. 79-105, Chapter 25B (Article II) of the Code was approved by the BCC, allowing qualified owners in Miami-Dade County to voluntarily enter into a 10-year covenant with the BCC, stipulating that their property will be preserved and maintained in its natural state subject to one or more conservation restrictions. Ordinance NO. 79-105 provides an economic incentive for owners of environmentally endangered lands, such as hammocks and pinelands, who choose to manage their land in a natural state and thereby maintain the land's natural resource values. Renewals of existing covenants for additional 10-year periods are available to willing property owners.</p> <p>Currently, there are 85 properties with environmentally endangered lands covenants in Miami-Dade County, comprising a total of 427.7 acres. Many of the existing covenanted properties include pine rocklands. Pine rocklands, interspersed with tropical hardwood hammocks, once covered 185,000 acres of Miami-Dade County but have now been officially designated as a globally imperiled habitat. Over 225 native plants occur in pine rocklands with more than 20% of those species being endemic and five species being federally listed as threatened or</p>

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 3, 2013 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	endangered.
<b>8M2 132197</b>	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING HISTORIC PRESERVATION AD VALOREM TAX EXEMPTION FOR THE REHABILITATION TO 1256 CASTILE AVENUE, CORAL GABLES, FLORIDA PURSUANT TO SECTION 196.1997, AND 196.1998 FLORIDA STATUTE AND SECTION 16A-18, MIAMI-DADE COUNTY CODE
<b>8M3 132198</b>	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING HISTORIC PRESERVATION AD VALOREM TAX EXEMPTION FOR THE REHABILITATION TO 1137 ASTURIA AVENUE, CORAL GABLES, FLORIDA PURSUANT TO SECTION 196.1997, AND 196.1998 FLORIDA STATUTE AND SECTION 16A-18, MIAMI-DADE COUNTY CODE
<b>8M4 132126</b>	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING HISTORIC PRESERVATION AD VALOREM TAX EXEMPTION FOR THE REHABILITATION TO 4320 SANTA MARIA STREET, CORAL GABLES, FLORIDA PURSUANT TO SECTION 196.1997, AND 196.1998 FLORIDA STATUTE AND SECTION 16A-18, MIAMI-DADE COUNTY CODE
<b>Notes</b>	<p>Pursuant to Section 196.1997, and 196.1998 of the Florida statute and the requirements of Section 16A-18, Miami-Dade County Code (Code), the proposed resolutions authorize Historic Preservation Ad Valorem Tax Exemption for the rehabilitation of the following properties:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Item No. 8M2</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ 1256 Castile Avenue, Coral Gables, Florida;</li> <li>○ Per the Property Appraiser's calculations, the portions of taxes that will be exempted if this application is granted are estimated at <b>\$3,725.</b></li> <li>○ <i>The total amount spent on the renovation was \$800,000, with \$775,196 attributed to being spent on renovating the historic portions of the home. <b>The amount of the exemption has been based only on the \$775,196 amount.</b></i></li> </ul> </li> <li>• <u>Item No. 8M3</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ 1137 Asturia Avenue, Coral Gables, Florida; and</li> <li>○ Per the Property Appraiser's calculations, the portions of taxes that will be exempted if this application is granted are estimated at <b>\$217.</b></li> <li>○ <i>The total amount spent on the renovation was \$70,000, with \$44,830 attributed to being spent on renovating the historic portions of the home. <b>The amount of the exemption has been based only on the \$44,830 amount.</b></i></li> </ul> </li> <li>• <u>Item No. 8M4</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ 4320 Santa Maria Street, Coral Gables, Florida.</li> <li>○ Per the Property Appraiser's calculations, the portions of taxes that will be exempted if this application is granted are estimated at <b>\$1,717.</b></li> <li>○ <i>The total amount spent on the renovation was \$1,406,999, with \$354,865 attributed to being spent on renovating the historic portions of the home. <b>The amount of the exemption has been based only on the \$354,865 amount.</b></i></li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p>The approval of these applications does not provide a complete exemption of all taxes on the property. The exempted portion is based on how much the property value increased, due to the renovation. For the ten-year abatement period, the County will continue to collect taxes on the property using property values previous to the renovation. Following the ten-year abatement period, the County will collect taxes on the full value of the property, including the renovation.</p>
<b>8N1 &amp; Supp. 132141</b>	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY TO EXECUTE AN INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND THE VILLAGE OF PINECREST FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF TWO NEW BUSWAY STATIONS AT APPROXIMATELY SOUTHWEST 120 STREET NEAR US 1 AND REMOVAL OF TWO EXISTING BUSWAY STATIONS LOCATED AT APPROXIMATELY SOUTHWEST 117 STREET NEAR US 1; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR, COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE, OR MIAMI-DADE TRANSIT DIRECTOR TO EXERCISE THE PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN AND TAKE ANY ACTION REQUIRED BY THE COUNTY</p> <p>SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION RE: INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND THE VILLAGE OF PINECREST</p>
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the execution of an Interlocal Agreement (Agreement) between Miami-Dade County and the Village of Pinecrest for the construction of two new Busway stations near Southwest 120th Street and US 1 and removal of two existing Busway stations located near Southwest 117th Street and US 1.</p> <p>The supplement provides the Agreement referenced in the proposed item.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact</u> There is no fiscal impact to the County for this Agreement. The Village will be fully responsible for all design/construction costs. The costs for design and construction of this project will be funded from the Village's Charter County Transit Surtax allocation.</p> <p><u>Background</u> In March 2013, a Permit Agreement was executed between the Village and Miami-Dade Transit (MDT) to begin the process of constructing two new Busway stations, one to be located on the northbound side of the Busway and the other on the southbound side near the intersection of US 1 and Southwest 120th Street, and the removal of two existing Busway stations located near US 1 and Southwest 117th Street.</p> <p>The Permit Agreement included the following key provisions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Village is responsible for the cost for construction of the two new Busway stations and the removal of the two existing Busway stations.</li> </ul>

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 3, 2013 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Village will secure Engineering design and consulting services from qualified firms, pursuant to Section 2877.055, of the Florida Statutes to develop the construction plans, technical specifications in accordance with Village, County, and/or Florida Department of Transportation standards, as applicable.</li> <li>The Village will procure the services of a Licensed Contractor holding a General Contractor's license to construct the Project. The County agrees that the selection, retention and discharge of such General Contractor will be the responsibility of the Village.</li> </ul> <p>The Busway, which began operating in February 1997 and was extended in 2007 to Florida City, is a 13-mile exclusive roadway built by FDOT for Metrobus routes and emergency vehicles. Construction of the two new Busway stations, which were built in 1995 as part of Phase 1 of the Busway construction and the removal of the two existing stations, is scheduled to be completed in February 2014.</p>
<b>801 132071</b>	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION OF JOINT FUNDING AGREEMENT NO. 14GGESMC0000109 RETROACTIVE TO OCTOBER 1, 2013 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 30, 2018 FOR WATER RESOURCES INVESTIGATIONS WITH THE UNITED STATES GEOLOGICAL SURVEY TO BE FUNDED BY MIAMI-DADE COUNTY IN THE AMOUNT OF \$7,208,160.00; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE THE PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN(Water & Sewer Department)
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the execution of Joint Funding Agreement No. 14GGESMC0000109 between Miami-Dade County through the Water and Sewer Department (WASD) and the U.S. Geological Survey retroactive to October 1, 2013 through September 30, 2018.</p> <p><b>Fiscal Impact</b> The fiscal impact to the County totals \$7,208,160.00, of which \$5,580,526.00 will be funded by WASD's Operating Revenues and \$1,627,634.00 will be funded by the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources (RER) Proprietary Revenues Fund. The U.S. Geological Survey will contribute \$1,478,875.00, making the total dollar amount of this agreement \$8,687,035.00.</p> <p><b>Background</b> The water resources investigations authorized by this Joint Funding Agreement are required to comply with the County's 20-Year Water Use Permit. In the past, this Joint Funding Agreement was submitted to the Board on a yearly basis for approval, however, in order to secure future funding from the U.S. Geological Survey, the County and the U.S. Geological Survey changed the term of this joint funding agreement from one (1) year to five (5) years.</p> <p>The services funded by WASD include the operation and maintenance of sixty-three (63) water level monitoring wells, thirty-five (35) salt water interface monitoring stations, the operation and maintenance of seven (7) rain gauge instruments, the collection of additional data during droughts, and the operation of a website which interacts with the most recent data collected.</p> <p>The services funded by RER include the operation and maintenance of forty (40) water level recording stations, one canal stage meter and rain gauge flow instrumentation, and twenty-six (26) saltwater interface monitoring stations.</p>
<b>802 132080</b>	RESOLUTION APPROVING A CONTRACT AWARD RECOMMENDATION IN THE AMOUNT OF \$5,500,000.00 FOR PROJECT NO. E12-WASD-04: CONTRACT NO. 13PBI001 BETWEEN PARSONS BRINCKERHOFF, INC. AND MIAMI-DADE COUNTY FOR ENGINEERING SERVICES FOR CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION AND CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT FOR THE DESIGN, PERMITTING AND CONSTRUCTION OF A 60-INCH SEWER FORCE MAIN TO REPLACE THE EXISTING 54-INCH SANITARY SEWER FORCE MAIN FROM FISHER ISLAND, UNDER THE NORRIS CUT CHANNEL, TO THE CENTRAL DISTRICT WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT LOCATED ON VIRGINIA KEY; AND AUTHORIZING MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE AND EXERCISE PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN(Water & Sewer Department)
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution approves award of non-exclusive Professional Services Agreement Number 13PBI001: Project Number E12-WASD-04 to Parsons Brinckerhoff, Inc. (formerly known as PB Americas, Inc.). The total compensation amount is \$5,500,000.00 for a term of five years.</p> <p>Parsons Brinckerhoff, Inc. will provide engineering services for contract administration and construction management for the design, permitting and construction of a 60-inch sewer force main to replace the existing 54-inch sewer force main from Fisher Island, under the Norris Cut Channel to the Central District Wastewater Treatment Plant located on Virginia Key.</p> <p><b>Background</b> On July 6, 2010, Parsons Brinckerhoff, Inc. was awarded a separate contract to provide similar engineering services for construction administration and construction management for the replacement of a 20-inch water main from Port Island, under the Fisherman's Channel to Fisher Island, and the replacement of a 54-inch sewer force main from south Miami Beach, under the Government Cut Channel to Fisher Island. To date, the replacement of the 20-inch water main has been successfully completed and the replacement of the 54-inch sewer force main is near completion.</p> <p>On December 11, 2012, a Notice to Professional Consultants was issued under full and open competition for the scope of work in the professional service agreement. The solicitation document included language specifying that the consultant team approved by the Board for award of this capital project would be precluded from the award of the associated design-build contract. On January 11, 2013, the Clerk of the Board received two proposals. In accordance with Section 3.2 of the Notice to Professional Consultants Selection Process, the County was allowed to extend the deadline submittal date to January 28, 2013 to determine if there was interest from other potential respondents to provide the engineering services described for this project. No further interest was expressed or additional proposals received.</p> <p>A 27% Community Business Enterprise goal was established for this project.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 3, 2013 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes																					
10A1 132152	RESOLUTION APPROVING THE ISSUANCE OF MULTIFAMILY MORTGAGE REVENUE BONDS BY THE HOUSING FINANCE AUTHORITY OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY (FLORIDA), IN ONE OR MORE SERIES, TO FINANCE OR REFINANCE ALL OR PORTION OF THE COSTS OF THE CONSTRUCTION OF A MULTIFAMILY HOUSING PROJECT TO BE KNOWN AS COURTSIDE FAMILY APARTMENTS FOR PURPOSES OF SECTION 147(F) OF INTERNAL REVENUE CODE OF 1986, AS AMENDED(Housing Finance Authority)																					
10A2 132153	RESOLUTION APPROVING ISSUANCE OF MULTIFAMILY MORTGAGE REVENUE BONDS BY THE HOUSING FINANCE AUTHORITY OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY (FLORIDA) IN ONE OR MORE SERIES TO FINANCE OR REFINANCE ALL OR A PORTION OF COSTS OF ACQUIRING AND CONSTRUCTING A MULTIFAMILY HOUSING PROJECT TO BE KNOWN AS THE PLAZA AT THE LYRIC FOR PURPOSES OF SECTION 147(F) OF INTERNAL REVENUE CODE OF 1986, AS AMENDED(Housing Finance Authority)																					
10A3 132154	RESOLUTION APPROVING THE ISSUANCE OF MULTIFAMILY MORTGAGE REVENUE BONDS BY THE HOUSING FINANCE AUTHORITY OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY (FLORIDA), IN ONE OR MORE SERIES, TO FINANCE OR REFINANCE ALL OR A PORTION OF THE COSTS OF THE ACQUISITION AND CONSTRUCTION OF A MULTIFAMILY HOUSING PROJECT TO BE KNOWN AS MARCIA GARDENS APARTMENTS FOR PURPOSES OF SECTION 147(F) OF INTERNAL REVENUE CODE OF 1986, AS AMENDED(Housing Finance Authority)																					
10A4 132156	RESOLUTION APPROVING THE ISSUANCE OF MULTIFAMILY MORTGAGE REVENUE BONDS BY THE HOUSING FINANCE AUTHORITY OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY (FLORIDA), IN ONE OR MORE SERIES, TO FINANCE OR REFINANCE ALL OR PORTION OF THE COSTS OF THE CONSTRUCTION OF A MULTIFAMILY HOUSING PROJECT TO BE KNOWN AS WILLOW LAKE FOR PURPOSES OF SECTION 147(F) OF INTERNAL REVENUE CODE OF 1986, AS AMENDED(Housing Finance Authority)																					
10A5 132157	RESOLUTION APPROVING THE ISSUANCE OF MULTIFAMILY MORTGAGE REVENUE BONDS BY THE HOUSING FINANCE AUTHORITY OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY (FLORIDA), IN ONE OR MORE SERIES, TO FINANCE OR REFINANCE ALL OR PORTION OF THE COSTS OF THE CONSTRUCTION OF A MULTIFAMILY HOUSING PROJECT TO BE KNOWN AS KEYS CROSSING FOR PURPOSES OF SECTION 147(F) OF INTERNAL REVENUE CODE OF 1986, AS AMENDED(Housing Finance Authority)																					
10A6 132236	RESOLUTION APPROVING THE ISSUANCE OF MULTIFAMILY MORTGAGE REVENUE BONDS BY THE HOUSING FINANCE AUTHORITY OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY (FLORIDA), IN ONE OR MORE SERIES, TO FINANCE OR REFINANCE ALL OR PORTION OF THE COSTS OF THE ACQUISITION AND CONSTRUCTION OF A MULTIFAMILY HOUSING PROJECT TO BE KNOWN AS NORTHSIDE TRANSIT VILLAGE I FOR PURPOSES OF SECTION 147(F) OF INTERNAL REVENUE CODE OF 1986, AS AMENDED(Housing Finance Authority)																					
Notes	<p>The proposed resolutions authorize the Housing Finance Authority of Miami-Dade County (HFA) to issue Multifamily Mortgage Revenue Bonds (Bonds) in one or more series to the following projects:</p> <table><tr><th>Item</th><th>Project</th><th>Amount</th></tr><tr><td>10A1</td><td>for the construction of Courtside Family Apartments</td><td>Not to exceed \$12,550,000</td></tr><tr><td>10A2</td><td>for the construction of The Plaza At The Lyric Apartments</td><td>Not to exceed \$18,500,000</td></tr><tr><td>10A3</td><td>For the construction of the Marcia Gardens Apartments</td><td>Not to exceed \$ 6,800,000</td></tr><tr><td>10A4</td><td>For the construction of the Willow Lake</td><td>Not to exceed \$14,500,000</td></tr><tr><td>10A5</td><td>For the construction of the Keys Crossing</td><td>Not to exceed \$11,500,000</td></tr><tr><td>10A6</td><td>For the construction of the Northside Transit Village I</td><td>Not to exceed \$15,500,000</td></tr></table> <p>The principal and interest on the Bonds will not constitute a debt, liability or a general obligation of the HFA, County, the State of Florida or any political subdivision of each, but will be the responsibility of the owner of the Project.</p> <p>As stipulated in Section 147(f) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (Code), the Board of County Commissioners, as the highest governing body, must approve the issuance of the Bonds by the HFA as required by the Code after a public hearing. The public hearing was held by the HFA and such public hearing disclosed no reason why the Bonds should not be issued.</p> <p>The Series 2013 Bonds are expected to be issued by the end of 2013.</p>	Item	Project	Amount	10A1	for the construction of Courtside Family Apartments	Not to exceed \$12,550,000	10A2	for the construction of The Plaza At The Lyric Apartments	Not to exceed \$18,500,000	10A3	For the construction of the Marcia Gardens Apartments	Not to exceed \$ 6,800,000	10A4	For the construction of the Willow Lake	Not to exceed \$14,500,000	10A5	For the construction of the Keys Crossing	Not to exceed \$11,500,000	10A6	For the construction of the Northside Transit Village I	Not to exceed \$15,500,000
Item	Project	Amount																				
10A1	for the construction of Courtside Family Apartments	Not to exceed \$12,550,000																				
10A2	for the construction of The Plaza At The Lyric Apartments	Not to exceed \$18,500,000																				
10A3	For the construction of the Marcia Gardens Apartments	Not to exceed \$ 6,800,000																				
10A4	For the construction of the Willow Lake	Not to exceed \$14,500,000																				
10A5	For the construction of the Keys Crossing	Not to exceed \$11,500,000																				
10A6	For the construction of the Northside Transit Village I	Not to exceed \$15,500,000																				
11A1 132323	RESOLUTION DIRECTING MAYOR OR MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO REPORT TO THE BOARD ON THE ADVISABILITY AND FEASIBILITY OF ENTERING INTO AGREEMENTS FOR THE PROVISION OF CONTACT VOLTAGE TESTING ON A COUNTY-WIDE BASIS [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 131948]																					
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the Mayor or Mayor’s designee to report to the Board of County Commissioners within 60 days of the effective date of this resolution on the advisability and feasibility of entering into agreements for the provision of contact voltage testing on a County-wide basis.</p> <p><b>Additional Information</b> According to information provided in 2010, at the 48<sup>th</sup> Annual Midwest Rural Energy Conference in La Crosse, Wisconsin, Contact Voltage or Stray Voltage has caused injuries and deaths to domestic animals and humans in New York, Boston, Las Vegas, Columbus, San Diego, Miami, and Chicago. New York requires utilities to test all publicly accessible equipment every year. Chicago also requires mandatory testing and Massachusetts utilities have agreed to a voluntary inspection program.</p>																					
11A2	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR DESIGNEE TO DEVELOP A PLAN FOR THE CREATION OF A LOCAL ONLINE LOST-AND-FOUND																					

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 3, 2013 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
<b>132101</b>	DATABASE AND SMARTPHONE APPLICATION FOR MISSING PETS WITHIN THE COUNTY
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution directs the Mayor or designee to develop a plan for the creation of a user-friendly local online database, and smartphone application, for lost-and-found pets, accessible by the public on a countywide basis. The plan should address the costs associated with its implementation. The plan will be presented to the Board for committee review within 60 days of the effective date of this resolution.</p> <p>The online database should, at a minimum:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Allow individuals in the County to quickly and easily submit descriptive details of lost or found pets, upload photos, and provide information on how to be contacted;</li> <li>• Provide a live link, or other mechanism, that enables municipalities to connect with the County's online database;</li> <li>• Be prominently featured on the main page of the County's website and on the Miami-Dade Animal Services Department webpage;</li> </ul> <p>Be actively promoted to residents through the County's website, and/or other feasible means, to ensure substantial public awareness and notice.</p>
<b>11A3 132203</b>	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING AND APPROVING, PURSUANT TO SECTION 125.37, FLORIDA STATUTES, THE EXCHANGE OF CERTAIN COUNTY REAL PROPERTY LOCATED AT APPROXIMATELY N.W. 34TH STREET &amp; N.W. 84TH PATH (APPRAISED AT \$11,700.00) FOR OTHER REAL PROPERTY OWNED BY PARK SQUARE 1, LLC LOCATED AT APPROXIMATELY N.W. 34TH STREET &amp; N.W. 84TH PATH (APPRAISED AT \$34,400.00); AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO COMPLETE SUCH EXCHANGE OF LANDS AND TO EXECUTE THE AGREEMENT WITH PARK SQUARE 1, LLC, IN CONNECTION THEREWITH; AUTHORIZING THE COMMISSION CHAIRPERSON OR VICE-CHAIRPERSON TO EXECUTE A COUNTY DEED; AUTHORIZING ACCEPTANCE OF A DEED FROM PARK SQUARE 1, LLC; AUTHORIZING PARK SQUARE 1, LLC TO RELOCATE A FUEL TANK AND VARIOUS APPURTENANCES TO PUMP STATION 1227, AT ITS SOLE COST, TO THE LAND TO BE OWNED BY THE COUNTY AFTER THE EXCHANGE; AUTHORIZING PARK SQUARE 1, LLC TO UTILIZE CERTAIN PARTS FROM PUMP STATION 1227 IN THE RELOCATION OF THE FUEL TANK AND APPURTENANCES; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO VACATE AN EXISTING EASEMENT</p>
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes and approves the exchange of certain County real property located at approximately N.W. 34<sup>th</sup> Street &amp; N.W. 84<sup>th</sup> path and provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Authorizes Park Square or its agent to remove and relocate, at its sole expense, the fuel tank and various appurtenances necessary for the operation of the County's Pump Station 1227 to the Park Square Property as well as complete relocation of a backflow preventer and installation of a new manhole on another parcel of County-owned property that is adjacent to the County Property.</li> <li>• Authorizes Park Square or its agent to utilize parts from Pump Station 1227, including the fuel tank and various valves, vents, lines and antennas, when relocating the fuel tank to the Park Square Property.</li> <li>• Authorizes the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to execute the Agreement with Park Square.</li> <li>• Authorizes the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to join in such permits, licenses, approvals or other administrative documents as may be necessary for the relocation of the fuel tank necessary for operation of Pump Station 1227.</li> <li>• Authorizes the Commission Chairperson or Commission Vice-Chairperson to execute and deliver a statutory County deed conveying the County Property and to accept a deed from Park Square conveying the Park Square Property and to file said deed in the public records of Miami-Dade County.</li> <li>• Authorizes the County's Mayor or Mayor's designee to release the easement currently belonging to the County on the property. In exchange for the easement the County will release, Park Square will dedicate a new easement to the County on the property.</li> </ul>
<b>11A4 132226</b>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING AGREEMENT FOR WATER AND SANITARY SEWAGE FACILITIES WITH FDG HIALEAH, LLC AND SFLC BUILDING 1, LLC FOR A FIFTEEN YEAR PERIOD; AND AUTHORIZING MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE AND EXERCISE PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN</p>
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution approves the Addendum to the Agreement for Water and Sanitary Sewage Facilities with FDG Hialeah, LLC and SFLC Building 1, LLC, which expands the term of the Facilities Agreement from the standard one (1) year term to a fifteen (15) year term, and authorizes the County Mayor or the Mayor's designee to execute the Addendum and exercise the provisions.</p>
<b>11A5 132194</b>	<p>RESOLUTION ADOPTING POLICY THAT, IN CONNECTION WITH THE REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL FOR UNDERWRITERS, FINANCIAL FIRMS SEEKING TO UNDERWRITE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY ISSUED BONDS CERTIFY THAT THEY DO NOT CONDUCT BUSINESS WITH IRAN</p>
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution does the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• To the extent allowable by law, in connection with the request for proposals for underwriters, all financial institutions, including foreign financial institutions, seeking to underwrite bonds issued by Miami-Dade County, will certify that they are not conducting any transaction, commerce, service or business with any Iranian financial institution, including, without limitation, the Central Bank of Iran.</li> <li>• If any such financial institution is unable to make such certification, or makes such certification inaccurately, it will be disqualified from the selection process.</li> <li>• If such underwriter has been selected to be included in the County's underwriting pool based on an inaccurate certification, it is to be removed from the pool by the County Mayor or his designee.</li> </ul> <p>The federal government of the United States has adopted the Iran Threat Reduction Act which requires companies doing business in the United States to disclose business relationships with Iran to the Securities and Exchange Commission and empowers States to take any action consistent with the Iran Threat Reduction Act.</p> <p>The State of Florida has amended its debt management policy such that, in connection with requests for proposals for underwriters, the</p>



**Board of County Commissioners  
December 3, 2013 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>State of Florida will now require all financial institutions seeking to be underwriters for the State of Florida, to certify that neither they nor their subsidiaries conduct investment activities in Iran.</p> <p><b>Additional Information</b> On August 10, 2012, President Obama signed into law the Iran Threat Reduction and Syria Human Rights Act of 2012 (ITRSHRA). The Iran-related provisions in the law provide for sanctions on activities related to Iran's energy and financial sectors, proliferation of weapons of mass destruction, support for terrorism, and human rights abuses. ITRSHRA also amends portions of the Iran Sanctions Act of 1996 (ISA), the Comprehensive Iran Sanctions, Accountability, and Divestment Act of 2010 (CISADA), and section 1245 of the FY 2012 National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA). These new authorities greatly increase the pressure on Iran to comply with its full range of international nuclear obligations and engage in constructive negotiations with the international community. The legislation also contains provisions providing for sanctions on activities related to Syria's human rights abuses.</p>
<b>11A6 132091</b>	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR DESIGNEE TO DEVELOP AND MAINTAIN A WEB PORTAL SITE PROVIDING INFORMATION REGARDING ANNEXATIONS AND INCORPORATIONS
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution directs the Mayor or designee to develop and maintain a web portal site providing information regarding annexations and incorporations.</p> <p>The Mayor or designee is directed to develop and regularly update a web portal site to include frequently asked questions and answers, principles, and pamphlets relating to the incorporation and annexation process, as well as a listing of active incorporations, annexations, and unincorporated areas of Miami-Dade County.</p> <p>Furthermore, the web portal is to be launched no later than sixty (60) days of the effective date of the proposed resolution.</p> <p><b>Additional Information</b> On November 20, 2012, under Resolution No. 983-12, the BCC created the Annexation and Incorporation Task Force (Task Force) to review pending annexation and incorporation proposals and to make recommendations by May 19, 2013 (extended to September 30, 2013 under Resolution No. 379-13), on how the County should proceed to address the remainder of the unincorporated communities.</p> <p>The Task Force Final Report dated September 11, 2013 included the following recommendation:</p> <p><b>Recommendation 19</b> <i>Miami-Dade County to maintain an updated electronic incorporation and annexation web portal site to include frequently asked questions and principles, pamphlets describing how to incorporate and annex provides what the process is, a list of active incorporations and annexations, and a list of enclave areas.</i></p> <p><i>Background: Currently, information on Annexation and Incorporation can be found on the Miami-Dade County web portal, under the Office of Management and Budget. The website address is: <a href="http://www.miamidade.gov/managementandbudget/incorporation-annexation.asp">http://www.miamidade.gov/managementandbudget/incorporation-annexation.asp</a> Motion Passed: 9 – 0.</i></p>
<b>11A7 132098</b>	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR DESIGNEE TO PREPARE A REPORT THAT GIVES A COMPREHENSIVE ACCOUNTING OF THE UNINCORPORATED AREAS THAT ARE NOT INCLUDED IN THE BOUNDARIES OF A MUNICIPAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE OR A PROPOSED INCORPORATION OR ANNEXATION
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution directs the Mayor or designee to prepare a report that gives comprehensive accounting of the unincorporated areas that are not included in the boundaries of a Municipal Advisory Committee (MAC), a proposed incorporation, or a proposed annexation.</p> <p>The report is to describe the population of such area and any other information that would be pertinent to considering the question of annexation or incorporation.</p> <p>Furthermore, the report is to be submitted to the members of the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this resolution.</p> <p><b>Additional Information</b> On November 20, 2012, under Resolution No. 983-12, the BCC created the Annexation and Incorporation Task Force (Task Force) to review pending annexation and incorporation proposals and to make recommendations by May 19, 2013 (extended to September 30, 2013 under Resolution No. 379-13), on how the County should proceed to address the remainder of the unincorporated communities.</p> <p>The Task Force Final Report dated September 11, 2013 included the following recommendation:</p> <p><b>Recommendation 17</b> <i>Miami-Dade County to provide a report to the public, a comprehensive accounting of areas in UMSA including population that are not currently included in any MAC or annexation study, within 60 days. Motion Passed: 8 – 1</i></p>
<b>11A8 132352</b>	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR DESIGNEE TO IDENTIFY ONE OR MORE UNIVERSITIES OR A PROFESSIONAL CONSULTANT TO CONTRACT WITH THE COUNTY TO ANALYZE AND MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS CONCERNING FUTURE INCORPORATIONS AND ANNEXATIONS WITHIN THE UNINCORPORATED AREA
<b>Notes</b>	The proposed resolution directs the Mayor or designee to identify one or more universities or a professional consultant to contract with the County to analyze and make recommendations concerning future incorporations and annexations within the unincorporated area.



**Board of County Commissioners  
December 3, 2013 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>The proposed resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Directs the Mayor or designee to identify one or more universities or a professional consultant that has the ability to study proposed annexations and incorporations and develop a plan, in cooperation with appropriate County departments, on how to address the remaining unincorporated areas; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Such plan is to include any recommendations to annex specific areas to existing municipalities or that a certain area or areas be included as part of one or more incorporations or a combination of both annexations and incorporations.</li> <li>○ Such plan is to also address full annexation or incorporation of the entire unincorporated area, if full incorporation or annexation is determined to be feasible.</li> <li>○ Any proposed annexations and incorporations should be contiguous, logical, compact, and have natural or built barriers as boundaries, to the extent feasible, and should avoid any proposals which would exclude low property value areas from any proposed new city or annexation area.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• The study should also include a review of how businesses located in the Unincorporated Municipal Service Area (UMSA) would be affected, provide recommendations on mitigating the impact to these businesses, and address any economic concerns or benefits to be achieved by incorporations and annexations, should there be a decision or decisions by all appropriate parties, including the electorate, which would move toward accomplishing full incorporation/annexation, with the County's role to be in the nature of a regional government.</li> <li>• Directs the Mayor or designee to develop <b>an abbreviated procurement process taking no longer than three weeks</b> which will be utilized by the Mayor in making recommendation to the Board on the selection of one or more universities or a professional consultant, in accordance with this resolution. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ The Mayor or designee is to suggest an appropriate budget for this study.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• The Mayor or designee is to provide a report to the Board which includes proposed resolution and contract to engage one or more universities or a professional consultant as contemplated in this resolution within 30 days of the effective date of this resolution.</li> </ul> <p><b>Additional Information</b></p> <p>On November 20, 2012, under Resolution No. 983-12, the BCC created the Annexation and Incorporation Task Force (Task Force) to review pending annexation and incorporation proposals and to make recommendations by May 19, 2013 (extended to September 30, 2013 under Resolution No. 379-13), on how the County should proceed to address the remainder of the unincorporated communities.</p> <p>The County Mayor's memo dated April 1, 2013, titled, <i>Municipal Incorporation and Annexation</i>, included general recommendations for the Task Force, including the following regarding a comprehensive plan:</p> <p><i>There are many UMSA residents that would prefer a more local-type government, while others are content with remaining in UMSA. In order to protect all the residents of UMSA, a comprehensive plan should be developed so as to avoid creating enclaves that are unable to support basic municipal services. This plan must include input from all stakeholders, groups on both sides of the incorporation issue and all the municipalities in the County. The plan should consider the needs of the existing municipalities in the County, and annexation to these cities where and when it is feasible. Depending on the area considering incorporation, the cost of basic services may require a newly formed municipality to raise their millage rate, while some new municipalities may raise their millage to provide additional services the residents' request.</i></p> <p><i>I believe that allowing incorporations to continue without a well thought out and comprehensive plan is not in the best interest of the residents we serve. Furthermore, this issue should be brought to voters at the next general election so that the residents of UMSA, who will be most impacted by this policy, will have the opportunity to exercise their right to self-determination.</i></p> <p>Subsequently, the Task Force Final Report dated September 11, 2013 included the following recommendation:</p> <p><b>Recommendation 21</b></p> <p><i>That the Board obtains a consultant to make a recommendation on UMSA. Recommending that the annexation and incorporation boundaries be contiguous, logical, and compact, while seeking natural boundaries and include an economic component. Motion Passed: 6 – 3.</i></p>
<b>11A9 132326</b>	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO PROVIDE A SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT TO THE BOARD ON THE STATUS OF COMPLIANCE WITH COMMUNITY WORKFORCE AND SMALL BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT PROJECT GOALS AT THE 50 PERCENT THROUGH 100 PERCENT COMPLETION LEVELS FOR ELIGIBLE CONTRACTS AND WORK ORDERS VALUED AT \$500,000 OR MORE [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE 132038]
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or his designee to provide a semi-annual report to the Board on the status of compliance with Community Workforce and Small Business Development project goals at the 50 percent through 100 percent completion levels for eligible contracts and work orders valued at \$500,000 or more.</p> <p>Such semi-annual reports should provide data on the compliance with the established workforce goals with regard to Community Workforce Program goals and small business goals with regard to the Community Business Enterprise Program, the Community Small Business Enterprise Program and the Small Business Enterprise Program measures in each applicable contract or work order valued at \$500,000 or more at the 50 percent through 100 percent completion levels of each respective project.</p>
<b>11A10 132196</b>	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO IMPLEMENT POLICY ON RESPONDING TO DETAINER REQUESTS FROM THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY IMMIGRATION AND CUSTOMS ENFORCEMENT

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 3, 2013 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution directs the Mayor or Mayor's designee to implement a policy whereby Miami-Dade Corrections and Rehabilitations Department may, in its discretion, honor detainer requests issued by United States Immigration and Customs Enforcement only if the federal government agrees in writing to reimburse Miami-Dade County for any and all costs relating to compliance with such detainer requests and the inmate that is the subject of such a request has a previous conviction for a Forcible Felony, as defined in Florida Statute section 776.08, or the inmate that is the subject of such a request has, at the time the Miami-Dade Corrections and Rehabilitations Department receives the detainer request, a pending charge of a non-bondable offense, as provided by Article I, Section 14 of the Florida Constitution, regardless of whether bond is eventually granted.</p> <p>Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) issued 3,262 Detainers to Miami-Dade Corrections and Rehabilitation Department in 2011 and 2,499 in 2012, most of which involved inmates <u>not</u> charged with felonies. Compliance with ICE Detainers has cost the taxpayers of Miami-Dade County \$1,002,700 in 2011 and \$667,076 in 2012.</p> <p><b>Additional Information</b> In October 2013, the Transactional Records Access Clearinghouse (TRAC) released a new report showing that only about 10% of ICE detainers target "individuals who pose a serious threat to public safety or national security." Although the agency's highest enforcement priorities are threats to public safety and national security, government data shows that, in recent months, the majority of detainers were issued for individuals who had no criminal convictions. The overuse of detainers has been widely criticized for its negative impacts on communities and public safety. Cities around the country, including Chicago, Los Angeles, Newark, New Orleans, New York City, and Washington, DC, will no longer honor all of ICE's detainer requests.</p>
<b>11A11 132193</b>	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO CONDUCT A FEASIBILITY STUDY TO DETERMINE THE AVAILABILITY, COSTS AND BENEFITS OF USING ARMORED POLICE SURVEILLANCE VEHICLES
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution directs the Mayor or Mayor's designee to conduct a feasibility study to determine the financial costs of purchase, implementation, and use of armored police surveillance vehicles for County law enforcement, including, but not limited to, consideration of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The efficacy of the use of said vehicles for surveillance of criminal conduct in other jurisdictions, and the success rate of the use of any surveillance evidence in court that derived from said vehicles;</li> <li>• The efficacy of said vehicles as a crime deterrent in other jurisdictions as suggested by available statistical data;</li> <li>• The availability of any grants or donor programs to provide the armored vehicles, and the costs associated with the purchase of said vehicles;</li> <li>• The costs associated with the refurbishing of the armored vehicles to meet the County's needs, including but not limited to the addition of technology and equipment, and replacement of worn mechanical parts;</li> <li>• The costs associated with the implementation, use and maintenance of the armored surveillance vehicles and attendant equipment and technology;</li> <li>• The potential manpower savings in terms of sworn law enforcement officers and civilian staff, if any; and</li> <li>• Compliance with Constitutional rights and safeguards in conjunction with implementation and use of armored police surveillance vehicles in residential areas and close proximity to homes and other places where people may have a reasonable expectation of privacy.</li> </ul> <p>Additionally, the proposed resolution directs the Mayor or Mayor's designee to provide a report on the feasibility study to this Board for committee review within 90 days of the effective date of this resolution.</p>
<b>11A12 132195</b>	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE CONVEYANCE OF FOUR PROPERTIES IN WEST PERRINE TO HABITAT FOR HUMANITY TO BE USED AS AFFORDABLE HOUSING; AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT CONTRACT; AUTHORIZING NON-SUBSTANTIAL AMENDMENT TO BE FILED WITH UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the conveyance of four single-family home sites to Habitat for Humanity of Greater Miami, Inc., a not-for-profit corporation, for zero dollars (\$0) to be rehabilitated or developed and sold as affordable housing pursuant to Florida Statutes, Section 125.379.</p> <p>The Four Properties will be sold to individuals or families which meet the requirements of the Community Development Block Grant program, and this project will meet the national objective of benefiting low- to moderate-income individuals and families ("LMI") whose incomes are not greater than eighty percent (80%) of Area Median Income (AMI), as determined by the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development.</p> <p>Each deed contains an automatic reverter whereby each of the Four Properties will revert back to the County in the event Habitat for Humanity fails to rehabilitate the home on the property within two years, unless a waiver is granted by the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee, or if the Four Properties are not sold to and used as the primary residence of individuals or families whose incomes do not exceed eighty percent (80%) area median income (established at the time of conveyance) within three years.</p> <p>The County accepted from West Perrine Community Development Corporation the conveyance of four (4) properties located in West Perrine as part of an Exchange Agreement between the County, West Perrine Community Development Corporation, and Quail Roost Station P-4, LLC, pursuant to R-692-08.</p> <p><b>Additional Information</b> On June 3, 2008, the BCC through R-692-08, did the following:</p>

**Board of County Commissioners**  
**December 3, 2013 Meeting**  
**Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Pursuant to section 125.37 Florida Statutes, declares surplus County-owned property located 10235 SW 186th Street, Miami-Dade County, FL;</li> <li>Authorizes the waiver of Administrative Order 8-4 as it relates to the review by the Planning Advisory Board;</li> <li>Approves a Quit Claim Deed to the property;</li> <li>Approving the Exchange Agreement in the amount of \$2,400,000*;</li> <li>Approving the Supplemental Declaration of Restrictions;</li> <li>Accepting the Warranty Deeds for four (4) residential properties and approving the Exchange Agreement.</li> </ul> <p><i>*The Exchange Agreement based on the February 16, 2008 Quinlivan Appraisal to be allocated as follows:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>\$854,362- The \$908,000 appraised value of the four residential properties owned by the developer which are part of the Exchange Agreement, less \$53,638 paid by QRS P-4 LLC to West Perrine Community Development Corporation (WPCDC);</li> <li>\$333,232- a reduction of the exchange value to reflect the developer's commitment, by Restrictive Covenant to build a minimum of 25% of its units as independent senior housing and/or affordable housing, or 20% of its residential units as Workforce Housing;</li> <li>\$221,618- prepaid development expenses;</li> <li>\$990,788- a "credit" to Miami-Dade Transit toward the lease or purchase of up to 500 parking spaces to be built by QRS P-4 LLC.</li> </ul>
<b>11A13</b> <b>132123</b>	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR DESIGNEE TO PREPARE A REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS ON SEVERAL ISSUES RELATED TO ANNEXATION AND INCORPORATION
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution directs the Mayor or designee to prepare a report and recommendations on several issues related to annexation and incorporation.</p> <p>The report and recommendations is to address the following issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Whether additional County areas or facilities of countywide significance should be considered <b>regional assets</b> that should remain under the regulatory control of the county; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>On September 16, 2005, the Board enacted Ordinance No. 05-141, which identified locations in unincorporated Miami-Dade County that were areas or facilities of countywide significance, establishing the County's regulatory control over such areas and facilities regardless of any annexation or incorporation.</li> <li>Ordinance No. 05-141: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Includes a list of areas or facilities of countywide significance that included facilities associated with the Miami-Dade Police Department, Miami-Dade Water &amp; Sewer Department, Miami-Dade Fire Rescue Department, Miami-Dade Aviation Department and Miami-Dade Seaport Department.</li> <li>Required that the County retain regulatory control over such areas and facilities as a condition of annexation by interlocal agreement and in the charters of newly-incorporated municipalities.</li> <li>Provided that the Board may designate additional facilities and areas as areas or facilities of countywide significance by resolution of the Board; as additional incorporations and annexations without providing for County jurisdiction over additional areas or facilities of countywide significance would place many of these facilities within the boundaries of municipalities and could subject them to a patchwork of regulations.</li> </ul> </li> <li><b>It may be necessary to update the list of areas or facilities of countywide significance identified in Ordinance No. 05-141, as changes may have occurred in the eight years since the enactment of Ordinance No. 05-141 requiring additional facilities to be added to the list of areas or facilities of countywide significance.</b></li> </ul> </li> <li>Whether the area that lies outside of the <b>Urban Development Boundary (UDB)</b> should be defined as a regional asset that should remain under the regulatory control of the county; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Section 6.05 of the Miami-Dade County Home Rule Charter establishes an alternative mechanism for municipal incorporation that provides that any proposed municipality whose boundaries include any area outside the UDB as may be described in the County's Comprehensive Development Master Plan (CDMP) will abide by the permitted uses as set forth in such plan.</li> <li>Section 20-7 of the Code of Miami-Dade County (Code) provides that in deciding whether to annex an area, one of the guidelines the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) considers is whether the proposed annexation area is totally contained within the UDB depicted on the future Land Use Plan map of the Miami-Dade County CDMP; and Section 20-23 includes a substantially similar provision related to incorporations.</li> <li>Section 2-116.1.2 provides that permitted land uses outside the UDB is to be governed by the Miami-Dade County CDMP notwithstanding the fact that the UDB may lie within a municipality, and that any amendments to the UDB line or land use permitted by the CDMP will be filed and processed in accordance with the procedures for applications located within the unincorporated area, and that all municipal land use decisions outside the UDB will be consistent with the CDMP.</li> <li>In approving annexation requests, the Board has in the past required approval of land uses and land development regulations outside Miami-Dade County's UDB to be consistent with the Miami-Dade County CDMP in that portion of the annexing area lying outside the UDB.</li> <li><b>The Board may desire to identify the area that lies outside of the UDB as an area of countywide significance that is subject to the exclusive regulatory control of the County.</b></li> </ul> </li> <li>Whether the Board should further strengthen existing policies that prefer <b>annexation of areas into existing municipalities</b> over creating new municipalities through incorporation; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>There are 34 municipalities within Miami-Dade County; and Section 20-23 provides that one of the guidelines the Board should consider in evaluating the appropriateness of a proposed petition to incorporate a new municipality is if there are no suitable alternatives to incorporation including annexation to an existing municipality.</li> <li>It may be preferential for municipalities located near unincorporated areas to annex such unincorporated areas, rather than</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 3, 2013 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>such areas incorporating into additional new municipalities.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Small and fiscally-constrained municipalities in particular may benefit from annexation of nearby unincorporated areas.</li> <li>○ <b>The Board may desire to amend its policy to provide a greater preference and incentive for annexation of unincorporated areas into existing nearby municipalities than already appears in Section 20-23.</b></li> </ul> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Whether <b>Police and Fire services</b> should be considered regional in nature and remain at the County level when new municipalities incorporate; and <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Section 20-25 of the Code provides that as a condition of incorporation, each new municipality will include a provision in its charter, agreeing to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Remain a part of the Miami-Dade County Fire Rescue District in perpetuity.</li> <li>▪ Contract with the Miami-Dade County Police Department to pay for local patrol services for no less than three years.</li> </ul> </li> <li>○ <b>The Board may desire to adopt a policy that considers both police and fire as regional services and require newly-incorporating municipalities to continue to use police services provided by the County in perpetuity.</b></li> </ul> </li> <li>• Whether there is any <b>outstanding County debt</b> that would be affected by additional annexation and incorporation. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Section 20-8.5 of the Code provides that any changes in the boundaries of a municipality involving the annexation of unincorporated areas will be effective only upon the condition that such municipality will be responsible for its pro-rata share of any County debt outstanding for the area to be annexed at the time of the annexation; and Section 20-26 includes a substantially similar provision related to incorporations.</li> <li>○ <b>The Board wants to be advised of any outstanding County debt that was issued in reliance on unincorporated area revenues and if it may be affected by additional annexation and incorporation.</b></li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p><b><i>The report and recommendations are due to the full Board without committee review within 90 days of the effective date of the proposed resolution.</i></b></p>
<b>11A14 132090</b>	RESOLUTION REQUESTING THAT THE MAYOR OR DESIGNEE PROVIDE COMMENTS AND INPUT ON BILLS, AMENDMENTS AND OTHER LEGISLATIVE PROPOSALS AT THE FEDERAL AND STATE LEVELS AS SOON AS POSSIBLE BUT NO LATER THAN 48 HOURS AFTER A REQUEST FOR SUCH COMMENTS AND INPUT IS MADE
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution requests that the Mayor or his designee provide comments and input on bills, amendments and legislative proposals at the federal and state levels as soon as possible but no later than 48 hours after a request for comment or input is made, and transmit such comments or input in writing to the Board of County Commissioners.</p> <p>The Office of Intergovernmental Affairs is directed to advise the Chairperson of the Board of County Commissioners of any instance when the Mayor or designee has not provided comments and input on bills, amendments or legislative proposals within 48 hours after a request is made.</p>
<b>11A15 132280</b>	RESOLUTION URGING THE FLORIDA LEGISLATURE TO PROVIDE FUNDING TO OFFSET THE ADDITIONAL COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE LEGISLATURE'S ENACTMENT OF CHAPTER 2013-227, LAWS OF FLORIDA, RE: PUBLIC TESTIMONY; DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR DESIGNEE TO PROVIDE A REPORT TO THE BOARD ESTIMATING THE ADDITIONAL ANNUAL COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH CHAPTER 2013-227
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution urges the Florida Legislature to provide funding to offset the additional costs associated with the Legislature's enactment of Chapter 2013-227 re: public testimony and directs the Mayor or designee to provide a report estimating the additional annual costs associated with Chapter 2013-227 within 90 days of the effective date of this resolution for placement on an agenda of the full Board, without committee review.</p> <p>Additionally, the proposed resolution directs the County's state lobbyists to advocate for the legislation, and authorizes and directs the Office of Intergovernmental Affairs to include this item in the 2014 State Legislative Package when it is presented to the Board.</p> <p><b>Additional Information</b></p> <p><i>The following is a summary of SB 50 prepared by the Governmental Oversight and Accountability Committee:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Neither the Florida Constitution nor the Sunshine Law specifies that members of the public have the right to speak at public meetings. This bill creates a new section of law that requires members of the public to be given a reasonable opportunity to be heard on a proposition considered by the board or commission of a state agency or local government. Such opportunity does not have to occur at the same meeting at which the board or commission takes official action if certain requirements are met. The bill excludes specified meetings and acts from the opportunity to be heard requirement.</i></li> <li>• <i>The bill authorizes a board or commission to adopt certain reasonable rules or policies governing the opportunity to be heard. If a board or commission adopts such rules or policies and thereafter complies with them, it is deemed to be acting in compliance with the section.</i></li> <li>• <i>The bill authorizes a circuit court to issue injunctions for the purpose of enforcing the section upon the filing of an application for such injunction by any citizen of Florida. If such an action is filed and the court determines that the board or commission violated the section, the bill requires the court to assess reasonable attorney fees against the board or commission. The bill also authorizes the court to assess reasonable attorney fees against the individual filing the action if the court finds that the action was filed in bad faith or was frivolous. The bill excludes specified public officers from such attorney fee provisions. If a board or commission appeals a court order finding that it violated the section and the order is affirmed, the bill requires the court to assess reasonable appellate attorney fees against the board or commission.</i></li> <li>• <i>The bill provides that any action taken by a board or commission that is found to be in violation of the section is not void as a result of such violation.</i></li> <li>• <i>Finally, the bill includes a legislative finding of important state interest.</i></li> </ul>

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 3, 2013 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Vote: Vote: Senate 40-0; House 113-2</li> </ul>
<b>11A16 132057</b>	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO CONDUCT A COMPARATIVE STUDY REGARDING THE JOB DESCRIPTIONS AND QUALIFICATIONS OF LIBRARIANS IN THE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY LIBRARY SYSTEM AND THE LIBRARY SYSTEMS OF OTHER ENTITIES OF A SIMILAR SIZE AND SCOPE OF OPERATIONS
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or his designee to conduct a study examining and comparing the job description and educational qualification requirements for librarians in the Miami-Dade County Public Library System to other library systems including, but not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Miami-Dade County Public School System;</li> <li>The City of Hialeah public libraries;</li> <li>The library systems of other large counties in Florida;</li> <li>The public library systems of cities or counties of similar or larger size nationally such as New York, Philadelphia, Chicago, Los Angeles, and Houston; and</li> <li>University library systems including, but not limited to, Florida International University, the University of Miami, the University of Florida, and Florida State University.</li> </ul> <p>The study will include, at a minimum: (1) the structure of other libraries' staffing; (2) the educational requirements and job descriptions of librarians in those systems; and (3) salary levels (adjusted for regional cost of living differentials as reported by the federal government) within that system, as compared to the Miami-Dade County Public Library System.</p> <p>The County Mayor or his designee will submit a written report to the Board with committee review within sixty (60) days from the effective date of this resolution detailing the results of the study.</p> <p><b>Additional Information</b>  <u>The Mayor's Blue Ribbon Taskforce</u>  On October 30, 2013, the Mayor's Blue Ribbon Taskforce convened to analyze and develop a Master Plan for the future of the Miami-Dade Public Library System. The taskforce, which will be led by the Mayor, is comprised of business, education and community leaders, as well as library funders, advocates, patrons and employees. A monthly progress report will be provided to the BCC and all participating stakeholder.</p>
<b>11A17 132092</b>	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE, WITHIN NINETY DAYS, TO STUDY THE FEASIBILITY OF CONSTRUCTING FENCES OR WALLS SEPARATING COUNTY PARKS FROM ADJACENT PRIVATE PROPERTY
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or his designee to, within ninety (90) days, identify the County parks abutting or adjacent to private property that have experienced increased criminal or nuisance activity as a result of the parks' location near private property.</p> <p>For each park identified, the County Mayor or his designee will do the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Study the feasibility of constructing barriers between the County park and the nearby private property;</li> <li>Identify funding sources for any barriers to be constructed between the County park and nearby private property; and</li> <li>Suggest alternate means of securing County parks from nearby private property.</li> </ul> <p>In conducting its feasibility study, the County Mayor or his designee will recommend aesthetic standards for the fences or walls proposed to be constructed (i.e., avoiding chain link fences unless covered by shrubbery) so as to protect the appearance of the park and surrounding area.</p>
<b>11A18 132192</b>	RESOLUTION DIRECTING COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO UPDATE COUNTY LOGO AND COUNTY SLOGAN
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or his designee to solicit designs for a newly "refreshed" County logo and a County slogan with the intent to market the County as a destination for business and tourism and to present such "refreshed" County logo and County slogan to the Board for approval within 120 days of the effective date of this resolution.</p> <p>In creating and evaluating the solicitation, the County Mayor or his designee will consult with the Greater Miami Convention and Visitor's Bureau, the Greater Miami Chamber of Commerce and solicit in-kind support from local advertising firms.</p> <p>The Board further directs that, upon approval of the new County logo and County slogan, the County Mayor or his designees begin phasing the new County logo and County slogan into the use throughout the County in a cost effective and efficient manner.</p>
<b>11A19 132362</b>	RESOLUTION CANVASSING THE RETURNS AND DECLARING THE RESULTS OF THE BOND REFERENDUM QUESTION TITLED "FUNDING MODERNIZATION AND IMPROVEMENT OF JACKSON HEALTH SYSTEM THROUGH ISSUANCE OF GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS" AND DIRECTING CLERK OF THE BOARD TO RECORD RESULTS IN THE MINUTES OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS IN ACCORDANCE WITH FLORIDA LAW
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution canvasses the returns of the bond question referendum titled "Funding Modernization and Improvement of Jackson Health System Through Issuance of General Obligation Bonds" held on November 5, 2013 and declares in accordance with Florida Statutes Section 100.241 that the bond referendum question has been approved by a vote of 90,435 votes "For Bonds" to 48,593 votes "Against Bonds."</p>
<b>11A20 132081</b>	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING CONVEYANCE OF FOUR (4) SINGLE FAMILY HOME SITES LOCATED IN THE NORTHWEST QUADRANT OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY TO MIAMI-DADE AFFORDABLE HOUSING FOUNDATION, INC. A NOT-FOR-PROFIT, FLORIDA CORPORATION, FOR INFILL HOUSING DEVELOPMENT AT A PRICE OF TEN DOLLARS (\$10.00); AUTHORIZING CHAIRPERSON OF THE BOARD TO EXECUTE COUNTY DEED

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 3, 2013 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	ON BEHALF OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY; AUTHORIZING THE WAIVER OF ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER 3-44 AS IT RELATES TO THE SECTION ENTITLED AVAILABILITY OF COUNTY PROPERTY
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution approves the conveyance of four (4) single family home sites to the Developer, Miami-Dade Affordable Housing Foundation, Inc., for infill housing development for qualified very-low, low and moderate income families, at a price of ten dollars (\$10.00) pursuant to Section 125.38, Florida Statutes and Sections 17-121, et seq. of the Code of Miami-Dade County.</p> <p>Additionally, the proposed resolution approves the waiver of Administrative Order 3-44 as it relates to the Section entitled "Availability of County Property."</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Availability of County Property: The County will make available buildable land to qualified developers, free and clear of all liens, as provided in this IO.</i></li> </ul> <p><b>Additional Information</b> The Infill Housing Program sets a maximum sales price for homes built through the Program. Said maximum sales price may or may not be the same as the maximum sales price set by County funding programs such as Surtax or SHIP. In the event said maximum sales prices will differ, the overriding maximum sales price will be the maximum sales price allowed by the Infill Housing Program, which is currently \$175,000 for County lots and \$205,000 for Private lots or appraised value whichever is lower.</p>
<b>11A21 131996</b>	RESOLUTION APPROVING ALLOCATION OF \$1 MILLION FROM BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND PROGRAM PROJECT NUMBER 249 - "PRESERVATION OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING UNITS AND EXPANSION OF HOME OWNERSHIP" TO FUND DEVELOPMENT OF ELDERLY AFFORDABLE HOUSING COMPONENT OF METRO SOUTH MULTIFAMILY DEVELOPMENT IN DISTRICT 7
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution approves the allocation to Metro South Senior Apartments Limited Partnership of \$1 million from the \$137.7 million allocated for BBC Program Project No. 249 - "Preservation of Affordable Housing Units and Expansion of Home Ownership" to fund the development of the affordable housing component of the Metro South Project in District 7.</p> <p>The proposed development is a new 7-story residential development with approximately ninety-one (91) units of senior affordable housing for low and moderate income persons to include a mix of efficiency, one- and two- bedroom units, and parking, to be sited at 6101 Sunset Drive, South Miami, Florida.</p> <p><b>Additional Information</b> <i>According to information provided in the Miami Herald on October 4, 2013, the South Miami City Commission has given the green light for a developer to build an affordable housing complex for seniors. The commission approved a settlement with Beneficial Communities, a for-profit developer that plans to build Metro South Senior Apartments at 6101 Sunset Dr., across the street from City Hall, 6130 Sunset Dr. The project is a 91-unit low-rent complex for people 55 and older.</i></p> <p><i>The settlement agreement will end a dispute between the city and the developer that started last year, when commissioners voted against the project because they were unhappy with its height. The vote against the project prompted Metro South to file a federal lawsuit, saying that the city was infringing on the Americans with Disabilities Act and the Fair Housing Act. The ADA was established in 1990 and prohibits discrimination on the basis of disability; the FHA was established in 1968 and prohibits arbitrary restrictions on groups such as seniors.</i></p> <p><i>Metro South also filed a complaint with the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development and a state lawsuit asking the court to rule on whether the South Miami City Commission had previously passed a zoning request by the developer. After the city's vote on the zoning, Metro South turned to the Florida Land Use Environmental Dispute Resolution Act for mediation.</i></p> <p><i>With the commission's approval of the settlement, all claims the developer has against the city will be dismissed. But the release does not become permanent until Metro South obtains the necessary financing to construct the project. That includes tax credits from the Florida Housing Finance Corporation. The developer is under a contract to buy the property from 6101 Sunset LLC and expects to close on the purchase by spring of 2014.</i></p>